# Claremont Colleges Scholarship @ Claremont

All HMC Faculty Publications and Research

HMC Faculty Scholarship

2-15-2006

## Erratum: The Structure of Alkali Halide Dimers: A Critical Test of Ionic Models and New Ab Initio Results

Robert J. Cave Harvey Mudd College

### Recommended Citation

Erratum: "The structure of alkali halide dimers: A critical test of ionic models and new ab initio results." J. Chem. Phys., 8032 (1996). T. Torring, S. Biermann, J. Hoeft, R. Mawhorter, R. J. Cave, and C. Szemenyei, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 079901 (2006), DOI:10.1063/1.2170086.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

# Erratum: "The structure of alkali halide dimers: A critical test of ionic models and new *ab initio* results" [J. Chem. Phys. 104, 8032 (1996)]

### T. Törring, S. Biermann, and J. Hoeft

Institut für Experimentalphysik, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Arnimallee 14, Germany

#### R. Mawhorter

Pomona College, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Claremont, California 91711

#### R. J. Cave and C. Szemenyei

Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, California 91711

(Received 5 January 2006; accepted 5 January 2006; published online 15 February 2006)

[DOI: 10.1063/1.2170086]

It has come to our attention that some of the *ab initio* results presented are incorrect due to errors in the Cs and C1 basis sets, and a small error in the binding energy of  $Rb_2F_2$ . The corrected results are presented below for the species that were affected, modifying the results in Table III of the original paper (see Table I). (Only those values which are different from the results of the original Table III are included.) Note that some of these results are used for comparison with

TABLE I. Corrections to Table III: New MP2 results for monomers and dimers.

System	$E_{\rm mon}(h)$	$r_{\mathrm{mon}}$ (Å)	$\Delta r  (\mathring{\rm A})$	$\Theta_{\mathrm{XMX}}$	$\Delta E_{\mathrm{bind}}$ (kcal/mol)
K <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-42.9886	2.728	0.181	93.4	45.4
$Rb_2F_2$					48.4
$Rb_2Cl_2$	-38.8516	2.844	0.192	90.5	43.4
$Cs_2F_2$	-119.6525	2.440	0.228	78.5	
Cs <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-34.9388	2.984	0.212	86.6	41.0
$Cs_2Br_2$	-33.3359	3.166	0.219	89.1	39.4
$Cs_2I_2$	-31.3691	3.421	0.225	91.7	

the ionic models in later tables. In addition, some HF data (quoted in Tables V and VI) is affected, and the correct values are given in Table II. All the changes in quoted values are small and none of the conclusions drawn in the article are affected, nor are the comparisons with the ionic models significantly affected. However, the error in the C1 basis is what gave rise to the anomalously short M–Cl bond lengths, and the results presented here lead to longer bonds, in somewhat poorer agreement with the experimental results for Cl containing species.

TABLE II. Corrections to Tables V and VI: New RHF data.

System	$\Delta r$ (Å)	$\Delta\Theta_{ m XMX}$
K <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0.193	3.7
Rb <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>		1.0
$Rb_2Br_2$	0.219	3.0
$Rb_2I_2$	0.227	
Cs <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0.237	-2.8
$Cs_2Br_2$	0.240	-0.7
$Cs_2I_2$	0.247	1.7