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Synopsis

Benjamin Banneker, farmer, mathematician, astronomer, and scientist, is known for his mathematical puzzles, ephemeris calculations, almanacs, his wooden clock, land surveying work, and famous letter on human rights. However, as a naturalist, his scientific and systematic observations of the cicadas are less known. In this paper we publicize Banneker’s naturalistic study of the seventeen-year periodic cycle of the cicada and make available the original handwritten document of his observations. We also introduce the audience of this journal to an intriguing natural problem involving prime numbers.

Benjamin Banneker was born in Baltimore County, Maryland, to Robert and Mary Bannaky on November 9, 1731 (his family name changed slightly during his lifetime). Banneker died quietly in his Maryland cabin on October 9, 1806, after taking a leisurely walk with a friend. As he was being buried on October 11th, family and friends were astonished and saddened to see Banneker’s cabin burning. Someone had set the cabin afire. It burned to the ground with valuable documents and artifacts inside. Only a small number of papers were saved. Friends had secured a few of his other written works and documents in their home [6]. In this paper, we share a rare document on Banneker’s study of the cicada. We also introduce the audience of this journal to an intriguing natural problem involving prime numbers.
Banneker was a farmer, self-taught mathematician, astronomer, and scientist. He could have acquired his scientific mind and curiosity for the mysterious from his ancestral past, as he was born into a family of Africans whose ancestors were called the Dogon. The Dogon are known for their interest in the “dog star,” Sirius, and for their philosophy of theology and cosmology which is supported by a sophisticated numerical system [1, 6]. Banneker was a brilliant man and became a distinguished figure in history and academia. He is recognized for his famous letter to Thomas Jefferson on human rights, his wooden clock, his mathematical puzzles, ephemeris calculations, almanacs, and land surveying of the Federal Territory which was later called the city of Washington, now Washington DC [6]. Readers of this journal may be interested in reading more on Banneker’s mathematical puzzles and works; for this we refer them to [1, 14, 15, 19].

However, as a naturalist, Banneker’s observations of the cicadas (locusts) are much less known, taught, and publicized. Therefore, in this paper we hope to help publicize Banneker’s scientific documentations and study of the periodic cycle of the cicada, and to also make available the actual handwritten document of his study of the cicadas. Though mention of Banneker’s observations tends to be limited in much of the relevant literature, his accomplishments are noted in the works of Bedini [1] and Cerami [6].
Benjamin Banneker became fascinated with the mysteries and the magic of nature and science at a young age. In particular an insect the seventeen-year-old Banneker called the locust grabbed his interest. To him the locusts seemed to sing as well as dance. These locusts were in fact the seventeen-year cicada (see Figure 2). Banneker’s curiosity was piqued, so he decided to study the cicada scientifically. Banneker studied and observed these locusts for fifty-one years. The following 1800 journal entry contains Banneker’s scientific and mathematical thoughts about the life and behavior of the cicada. This observation was made on his farm in Maryland:

I like to forgot to inform, that if their lives are Short they are merry, they begin to Sing or make a noise from the first they come out of Earth till they die, the hindermost part rots off, and it does not appear to be any pain to them for they still continue on Singing till they die [See the bottom paragraph of Figure 3.]

He noticed and heard a slew of strange insects (cicadas). The sound was deafening and continuous. The insects were too numerous to get rid of, so he began an intense study of their behavior. They seemed to love each other as much as Banneker enjoyed studying them, for the cicadas’ purpose of rising to the surface of Earth is to mate [21, 25]. The young farmer was curious enough to conduct a scientific and naturalistic observation of the cicada over several years. An interesting way to do this was to study not only the behavior of the cicadas, but also the life cycles. Are they here to stay? Will they be back? If so, when? Thus, as indicated in his Astronomical Journal below, it is obvious that he had a vast and cosmic interest in the re-emerging seventeen-year cycle of the cicada.

Figure 2: Cicada drawing (2004) by: Nkwanta Kinlaw Barber.
The first great flood, yeart hat I can remember was 1722.
I was then about seventeen years of age, when thousands of
the man and woman, and the beast, went up into
the ark and lost the flood. The earth was
made and grew up the trees and bushes, and
were men of all sorts, and women of all
kinds. In the hand, I therefore began to kill and
destroy them. I soon saw that my labour was in
vain, therefore gave over my purpose.
Again in the year 1763. Seventeen years after their
appearance to me, they made a land, and appeared to me to be just as human as
the first. I then being about thirty four years of age, I had more
than to overcome to destroy them, knowing that they were not to
come to the great of the earth, as I did imagine they would be.
Again in the year 1783, which seventeen years after their
appearance to me, they made a land and appeared to me: They were
more than I had expected them to be in the year 1800 which is seventeen years
after their first appearance. So that if 1803 may continue to be as
great as their periodic return is every seventeen years, but they like the once make but a short
triumph. The flood has a thing on the other hand and at the same with
that. The appearance of the times to me. Then holy age, the
tree, man and woman fall, he by Delici, Adam and Eve sorrow, but
a great depth into the earth and there continues for the space
of seventeen years as before.

I like to forget to inform that if these were not the Delici,
like the once began to begin or make a noise from
the first day. In all respect of this fell, they die. The Flood was
no fill off, and if they were not up by any pain. It their fallen continue
or wrong but they die.

Figure 3: Page from Benjamin Banneker's June 1800 Astronomical Journal (MS 2700 - Maryland Historical Society, Baltimore, Maryland).
Below is the exact printed record of the handwritten Banneker document in Figure 3. The last paragraph was quoted earlier.

The first great Locust year that I can Remember was 1749. I was then about Seventeen years of age when thousands of them came and was creeping up the trees and bushes, I then imagined they came to eat and destroy the fruit of the Earth, and would occasion a famine in the land. I therefore began to kill and destroy them, but soon saw that my labor was in vain, therefore gave over my pretension. Again in the year 1766, which is Seventeen years after the first appearance, they made a Second, and appeared to me to be full as numerous as the first. I then, being about thirty-four years of age had more sense than to endeavor to destroy them, knowing they were not so pernicious to the fruit of the Earth as I did immagine they would be. Again in the year 1783 which was Seventeen years since their second appearance to me, they made their third; and they may be expected again in the year 1800, which is Seventeen years since their third appearance to me. So that if I may venture So to express it, their periodical return is Seventeen years, but they, like the Comets, make but a short stay with us—The female has a Sting in her tail as sharp and hard as a thorn, with which she perforates the branches of the trees, and in them holes lays eggs. The branch soon dies and fall, then the egg by some Occult cause immures a great depth into the earth and there continues for the Space of Seventeen years as aforesaid.

Banneker studied the cicada as long as he could because—as he recorded in his Astronomical Journal of scientific observations—these insects spend a very short while above ground each time they surface. Banneker recorded that his first experience with the cicada was in 1749 when he was seventeen years of age. In 1766, seventeen years later at age thirty-four, he observed their noisy presence again. And then again at age fifty-one (1783). And yet again at age sixty-eight (1800). Benjamin Banneker examined, scientifically observed, and documented the recurrence and behavior of the cicada through four seventeen-year cicada life cycles. Note that he wrote: “So that I may venture So to express it, their periodic return is Seventeen years, but they, like the Comets, make but a short stay with us…” This points to Banneker’s scientific understanding and his early documentation of the cicada life cycle.
According to his own documentation, Banneker studied the behavior of the cicada, as well as their interaction with the environment. Throughout history, several observers and writers have noted the appearance of cicadas [9, 17, 22, 25]. As we mentioned earlier, our research found that Banneker is hardly ever mentioned in the literature and history of scientific or mathematical information on the cicada. However, when he is noted, some write that Banneker was first to document the noisy recurrence of the seventeen-year cicada [19]. More accurately, documentation shows that Benjamin Banneker did observe the cicadas as early as 1749, but did not actually document the information until 1800. The cicadas’ presence was first recorded as early as 1633 [11, 22], 1634 [17], and 1666 [13]. Later in 1775, Thomas Jefferson wrote in his Garden Book that locusts (cicadas) appeared at Monticello in Charlottesville, Virginia [3, 11]. He also noted that cicadas have seventeen-year cycles [3]. Banneker’s Astronomical Journal referencing the cicada [1, 6] as well as other more recent [19] writings provide evidence that Benjamin Banneker is among the first American scientists to document and record chronological information of the seventeen-year cycle of the periodic Magicicada—Brood X, also known as the Tibicima Septendecim cicada [17].

There are three distinct species of cicadas in the Eastern United States; they all spend most of their life cycle underground in nymphal stages. Above ground as adults they live for only a few days. These cicadas have almost perfectly synchronized thirteen or seventeen-year periodic emergences. Not all types and species of cicadas are as synchronized, so it is unlikely that the different species of cicadas will cross, or ever get a chance to cross-mate. Furthermore the female cicadas only respond to the sound, or “love” song, of the males of her specific species. In striking synchronicity, mature nymphs periodically emerge above ground where many of adult cicadas mate, deposit their eggs, and then die within a few days. The cycle is then repeated every thirteen or seventeen years [21, 25, 26].

The cicadas seem to understand the prime numbers 13 and 17 innately. But of course we can ask about just how this happens to come about. Indeed several mathematical models relating to the prime numbers 13 and 17 have been developed, well after Banneker’s lifetime, in order to explain why periodical cicadas may have evolved prime number cycles [2, 7, 10, 13, 18, 26].

\[1\] “An insect population is said to be periodical if the life cycle has a fixed length of \(k\) years \((k > 1)\) and if the adults do not appear every year but only every \(k\)th year” [4].
One thing that many mathematical population theorists find interesting is that the periods 13 and 17 of the periodical cicada problem are prime numbers [26]. There are two major hypotheses to explain this prime periodicity. The first involves an evolutionary strategy to avoid parasites. If the life cycle appears in prime numbers, it is highly unlikely that the parasites would match it [5]. The second hypothesis is “that prime periodicities are selected to avoid co-emergence and hybridization with periodical cicadas with different periods” [12].” The cited mathematical models have been constructed to investigate these hypotheses and thus are predator-prey models. This type of deterministic discrete population model studies the dynamics of the interactions between predator and prey. The cicada behaviors of predator satiation, parasitism, and competition between the nymphs under the ground have been studied using the model where various model parameters related to cicada environments and survival are examined. Besides these, other mathematical models have been developed to investigate the periodical cicada problem, such as a real-numbered base deterministic discrete model [24], a simulation base deterministic discrete model [27], a numerical model as a prime number generator [8, 16], and a model involving cellular automaton [5]. Even with all of these investigations, the full mechanism underlying the periodic cycles and the prime number conundrum are still not well understood. The prime number aspect of the question is naturally what most intrigues mathematicians [23, 26]. Based on our understanding of Banneker’s cicada observations and his well-known fascination with mathematics, we believe that he too would have been intrigued by these modern-day mathematical models, as well as the ongoing prime number conundrum of the cicada.

The Benjamin Banneker Historical Park and Museum, housed a few feet from his historical homestead, was again overrun by the pesky and loved periodic Magicicada—Brood X upon the authors’ visit there in May 2004. This area, in what is now named Oella (in Baltimore County, Maryland; see Figure 4 on the next page), is where Banneker was born and raised [6]. It is also where Banneker made notes of and observed the behavior of the cicada. These seventeen-year cicadas were flying everywhere, singing their song, dancing like ballerinas in love, and mating. When the authors visited Banneker’s homestead again in late June 2004, the cicadas were still present but naturally dying out. This was also during the twelfth seventeen-year cicada cycle since Banneker’s last recorded observation that brought him and his curiosity of the cicada back to life in a special way.
Returning to the Banneker homestead in February 2013, the authors realized, as Banneker likely did at some point during his studies, that the cicadas are now at the half-way point of their periodic cycle. A different brood (*Brood II*) should emerge in 2013, while the cicadas that Banneker researched, observed, and documented will return in 2021.

Based on our research, this paper appears to be the first to publish Benjamin Banneker’s handwritten document of his observation of the cicada (Figure 3); we leave that up to the scientific community to decide. Nonetheless we hope that we have made a clear argument that Benjamin Banneker was one of the first naturalists to record scientific information and observations of the seventeen-year cicada. Banneker’s diligent and careful documentation of the cicada is what affords him a rightful place in the scientific, mathematical, and historical documentation of *Tibicina Septendecim* (cicada) literature and their seventeen-year cycle. With this information, not only do the cicadas live on, but so does the legacy of Benjamin Banneker.
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