# Claremont Colleges Scholarship @ Claremont

All HMC Faculty Publications and Research

HMC Faculty Scholarship

12-1-2005

# Pythagorean Primes and Palindromic Continued Fractions

Arthur T. Benjamin Harvey Mudd College

Doron Zeilberger Rutgers University - Camden

## **Recommended** Citation

Benjamin, A.T., & Zeilberger, D. (2005). Pythagorean primes and palindromic continued fractions. Integers: The Electronic Journal of Combinatory Number Theory, 5(1): 1-5.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

## PYTHAGOREAN PRIMES AND PALINDROMIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS

Arthur T. Benjamin

Department of Mathematics, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, CA 91711 benjamin@math.hmc.edu

### **Doron Zeilberger**

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu

Received: 4/12/05, Revised: 11/23/05, Accepted: 11/29/05, Published: 12/9/05

#### Abstract

In this note, we prove that every prime of the form 4m + 1 is the sum of the squares of two positive integers in a unique way. Our proof is based on elementary combinatorial properties of continued fractions. It uses an idea by Henry J. S. Smith ([3], [5], and [6]) most recently described in [4] (which provides a new proof of uniqueness and reprints Smith's paper in the original Latin). Smith's proof makes heavy use of nontrivial properties of determinants. Our purely combinatorial proof is self-contained and elementary.

For  $n \ge 1$  and positive integers  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ , let  $[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$  denote the finite continued fraction

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}},\tag{1}$$

which simplifies to a unique rational number r/s > 1 in lowest terms. Conversely, for every rational number r/s > 1, there is a unique continued fraction  $[a_0, \ldots, a_n] = r/s$  where  $a_0 \ge 1, \ldots a_{n-1} \ge 1$ , and  $a_n \ge 2$ . (It happens that r/s has one other continued fraction representation, namely  $[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1, 1]$ , but we will not use this.)

Continued fractions have a simple combinatorial interpretation, which we describe here. For positive numbers  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ , define the *continuant*  $K(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$  to be the number of ways to tile a strip of length n with dominoes (of length two) and *stackable* squares (of length one). For  $1 \le i \le n$ , if cell *i* is covered by a square, then the number of squares that may be stacked on the *i*th cell is at most  $a_i$ ; if cell *i* is covered by a domino, then nothing is stacked on top of that domino.

For example, K(3,7,15) = 333 since a strip of length three can be tiled as "dominosquare" in 15 ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on the third cell), as "squaredomino" in 3 ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on the first cell), or as "squaresquare-square" in  $3 \times 7 \times 15 = 315$  ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on each cell). Thus for nonnegative integers a and b,

$$K(a) = a, \qquad K(a,b) = ab + 1.$$
 (2)

For example, K(7, 15) = 106. For the empty set, we define K() = 1. For  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$K(a_0, \dots, a_n) = a_n K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) + K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}),$$
(3)

since the first term counts tilings that end with a stack of squares and the second term counts those that end with a domino. More generally, observe that for  $n \ge 1$  and  $0 \le \ell \le n - 1$ ,

$$K(a_0, \dots, a_n) = K(a_0, \dots, a_\ell) K(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_n) + K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1}) K(a_{\ell+2}, \dots, a_n).$$
(4)

The first summand counts tilings that do not have a domino covering cells  $\ell$  and  $\ell + 1$ , while the second summand counts those that do.

Finally, we observe that for any nonnegative  $a_0, \ldots, a_n$ ,

$$K(a_n, \dots, a_0) = K(a_0, \dots, a_n) \tag{5}$$

since any length n tiling that satisfies the conditions on the right (at most  $a_i$  squares stacked on cell i) can be reversed to satisfy the tiling conditions on the left (at most  $a_{n-i}$  squares stacked on cell i), and vice versa.

Using the initial conditions and recurrence in equations (2) and (3), it follows that

$$[a_0, \dots, a_n] = \frac{K(a_0, \dots, a_n)}{K(a_1, \dots, a_n)},$$
(6)

in lowest terms. (See [1], [2] for more details.) That is, if the continued fraction  $[a_0, \ldots, a_n] = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ , in lowest terms, then  $p_n = K(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$  and  $q_n = K(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . Thus, for example, the continued fraction [3, 7, 15] = K(3, 7, 15)/K(7, 15) = 333/106.

Now suppose that p = 4m + 1 is prime. We shall consider the continued fraction expansions of the numbers  $p/1, p/2, \ldots, p/(2m)$ . For each j between 1 and 2m, we have p/j > 2 and is in lowest terms. Thus  $p/j = [a_0, \ldots, a_n]$  where  $a_0 \ge 2$  and  $a_n \ge 2$ . By equations (6) and (5),

$$p = K(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = K(a_n, \ldots, a_0).$$

Thus  $[a_n, \ldots, a_0]$  also has numerator p, and since  $a_n \ge 2$ ,  $[a_n, \ldots, a_0] = p/i$  for some i between 1 and 2m. Thus each fraction p/j can be paired up with its "reversed" fraction p/i.

Now p/1 = [p] is *palindromic*; it is its own reversal. Thus since 2m is even, there must be at least one other fraction  $p/j^*$  that is palindromic. That is, for some  $j^*$  between 2 and 2m,

$$[a_0, \ldots, a_{n^*}] = p/j^* = [a_{n^*}, \ldots, a_0].$$

For example, when p = 5, 5/1 = [5] and 5/2 = [2, 2] are both palindromic. When p = 13, 13/1 = [13], 13/2 = [6, 2], 13/3 = [4, 3], 13/4 = [3, 4], 13/5 = [2, 1, 1, 2], 13/6 = [2, 6]; so 13/1 and 13/5 are palindromic.

We claim that  $n^*$  must be even. For suppose, to the contrary, that  $n^* = 2\ell + 1$ , for some  $\ell \geq 0$ . Then  $p/j^* = [a_0, \ldots, a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}, a_\ell, \ldots, a_0]$ . Thus by applying equations (6), (4), and (5), we have

$$p = K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell}, a_{\ell+1}, a_{\ell}, \dots, a_0)$$
  
=  $K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell})K(a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_0) + K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1})K(a_{\ell}, \dots, a_0)$   
=  $K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell})[(K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell+1}) + K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1})].$ 

But then p is composite (both factors are at least two, since  $a_0 \ge 2$ ), which is a contradiction.

Thus  $n^* = 2\ell$  for some  $\ell \ge 1$ . Consequently,  $p/j^* = [a_0, \ldots, a_l, a_l, \ldots, a_0]$ , and

$$p = K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \dots, a_0)$$
  
=  $K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell})K(a_{\ell}, \dots, a_0) + K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1})K(a_{\ell-1}, \dots, a_0)$   
=  $(K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell}))^2 + (K(a_0, \dots, a_{\ell-1}))^2$ 

is the sum of two squares, as desired.

For example, when p = 13, the palindromic 13/5 leads to

$$13 = K(2, 1, 1, 2) = K(2, 1)K(1, 2) + K(2)K(2) = 3^{2} + 2^{2}.$$

For a larger example, when p = 1069, the palindromic 1069/249 = [4, 3, 2, 2, 3, 4] leads to

$$1069 = K(4, 3, 2, 2, 3, 4) = (K(4, 3, 2))^{2} + (K(4, 3))^{2} = 30^{2} + 13^{2}$$

Following the strategy in [4], we combinatorially prove uniqueness of the sum of squares using one more elementary fact about continued fractions: If  $[a_0, \ldots, a_n] = p_n/q_n$  in lowest terms, then for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n q_{n-1}}$$

Equivalently,  $p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n = (-1)^n$ , or by equation (6), for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$K(a_0, \dots, a_n)K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) - K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})K(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (-1)^n.$$
(7)

For a direct combinatorial proof of equation (7), see [1] or [2].

Now suppose that  $p = r^2 + s^2$  and  $p = u^2 + v^2$  for positive integers r > s and u > v. Since p is prime, gcd(r, s) = 1 = gcd(u, v). Thus r/s and u/v are fractions in lowest terms, and there exist unique positive integers  $r_0, \ldots, r_t$  and  $u_0, \ldots, u_w$  such that  $r/s = [r_0, \ldots, r_t]$  and  $u/v = [u_0, \ldots, u_w]$ , where  $r_t \ge 2$  and  $u_w \ge 2$ .

Hence, by equation (6),

$$\frac{r}{s} = \frac{K(r_0, \dots, r_t)}{K(r_1, \dots, r_t)}$$

in lowest terms. Now by equations (4) and (5),

$$p = r^2 + s^2 = K(r_t, \dots, r_0)K(r_0, \dots, r_t) + K(r_t, \dots, r_1)K(r_1, \dots, r_t) = K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t).$$

Now let  $x = K(r_t, ..., r_0, r_0, ..., r_{t-1})$ . By equation (3),

$$p = K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t) = xr_t + K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_{t-2}) \ge 2x + 1.$$

Thus  $2 \le x \le (p-1)/2$ . Also, by equation (7),

$$K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t) K(r_{t-1}, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_{t-1}) - K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_{t-1}) K(r_{t-1}, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t)$$

equals  $(-1)^{2t} = 1$ . Hence,  $pK(r_{t-1}, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-1}) - x^2 = 1$ , and therefore x satisfies  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

By the same argument, we also have  $u/v = K(u_0 \dots, u_w)/K(u_1 \dots, u_w)$  in lowest terms,  $p = K[u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_w]$ , and  $y = K(u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_{w-1})$  satisfies  $2 \le y \le (p-1)/2$  and  $y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Thus  $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ , and so p divides  $x^2 - y^2 = (x + y)(x - y)$ . Since p is prime it follows that  $x \equiv y$  or  $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$ . But since x and y are both between 2 and (p-1)/2, we must have x = y. But then, by equation (6), the continued fraction

$$[r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t] = \frac{K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t)}{K(r_{t-1}, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t)} = \frac{p}{x} = \frac{p}{y}$$
$$= \frac{K(u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_w)}{K(u_{w-1}, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_w)} = [u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_w],$$

and by the uniqueness of finite continued fraction representations (with  $r_t \ge 2$  and  $u_w \ge 2$ ), we must have t = w and  $r_i = u_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le t$ . Consequently,

$$\frac{r}{s} = [r_0, \dots, r_t] = [u_0, \dots, u_w] = \frac{u}{v}$$

in lowest terms. Thus r = u and s = v as desired.

In summary, every prime number p = 4m + 1 is the unique sum of two squares as follows. Let x be the unique solution to  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , where  $2 \leq x \leq 2m$ . [By Wilson's Theorem, x will be the smallest positive integer congruent to  $\pm (2m)! \pmod{p}$ . We note that if a is any quadratic nonresidue of p, then x can be efficiently calculated. From Euler's criterion,  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Thus we can set x equal to the smallest positive integer congruent to  $\pm a^{(p-1)/4} \pmod{p}$ .] Then p/x has palindromic continued fraction representation  $[r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t]$ , and  $[r_0, \ldots, r_t] = r/s$ , where  $r^2 + s^2 = p$ .

# References

- [1] Arthur T. Benjamin and Jennifer J. Quinn, *Proofs that Really Count: The Art of Combinatorial Proof*, MAA, Washington, DC, 2003.
- [2] Arthur T. Benjamin, Jennifer J. Quinn, and Francis Edward Su, Counting on Continued Fractions, *Mathematics Magazine*, Vol 73, No. 2, pp. 98-104, 2000.
- [3] G. Chrystal, Algebra: an elementary text-book for the higher classes of secondary schools and for colleges, Part II, page 499, reprinted by Chelsea, N.Y., N.Y., 1964.
- [4] F. W. Clarke, W. N. Everitt, L. L. Littlejohn, and S. J. R. Vorster, H. J. S. Smith and the Fermat Two Squares Theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 106 (1999) 652–665.
- [5] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. II*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, pp. 240-241.
- [6] Henry J. S. Smith, De Compositione Numerorum Primorum  $4\lambda + 1$  Ex Duobus Quardatis, *Crelle's Journal* V. 50 (1855), 91–92.