Claremont Colleges [Scholarship @ Claremont](http://scholarship.claremont.edu)

[All HMC Faculty Publications and Research](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_fac_pub) [HMC Faculty Scholarship](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_faculty)

12-1-2005

Pythagorean Primes and Palindromic Continued Fractions

Arthur T. Benjamin *Harvey Mudd College*

Doron Zeilberger *Rutgers University - Camden*

Recommended Citation

Benjamin, A.T., & Zeilberger, D. (2005). Pythagorean primes and palindromic continued fractions. Integers: The Electronic Journal of Combinatory Number Theory, 5(1): 1-5.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact [scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.](mailto:scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu)

PYTHAGOREAN PRIMES AND PALINDROMIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS

Arthur T. Benjamin

Department of Mathematics, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, CA 91711 benjamin@math.hmc.edu

Doron Zeilberger

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu

Received: 4/12/05, Revised: 11/23/05, Accepted: 11/29/05, Published: 12/9/05

Abstract

In this note, we prove that every prime of the form $4m + 1$ is the sum of the squares of two positive integers in a unique way. Our proof is based on elementary combinatorial properties of continued fractions. It uses an idea by Henry J. S. Smith ([3], [5], and [6]) most recently described in [4] (which provides a new proof of uniqueness and reprints Smith's paper in the original Latin). Smith's proof makes heavy use of nontrivial properties of determinants. Our purely combinatorial proof is self-contained and elementary.

For $n \geq 1$ and positive integers a_0, \ldots, a_n , let $[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$ denote the finite continued fraction

$$
a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}},\tag{1}
$$

which simplifies to a unique rational number $r/s > 1$ in lowest terms. Conversely, for every rational number $r/s > 1$, there is a unique continued fraction $[a_0, \ldots, a_n] = r/s$ where $a_0 \geq 1, \ldots a_{n-1} \geq 1$, and $a_n \geq 2$. (It happens that r/s has one other continued fraction representation, namely $[a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n-1, 1]$, but we will not use this.)

Continued fractions have a simple combinatorial interpretation, which we describe here. For positive numbers a_0, \ldots, a_n , define the *continuant* $K(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ to be the number of ways to tile a strip of length n with dominoes (of length two) and stackable squares (of length one). For $1 \leq i \leq n$, if cell i is covered by a square, then the number of squares that may be stacked on the *i*th cell is at most a_i ; if cell *i* is covered by a domino, then nothing is stacked on top of that domino.

For example, $K(3, 7, 15) = 333$ since a strip of length three can be tiled as "dominosquare" in 15 ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on the third cell) , as "squaredomino" in 3 ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on the first cell), or as "squaresquare-square" in $3 \times 7 \times 15 = 315$ ways (by choosing how many squares to stack on each cell). Thus for nonnegative integers a and b ,

$$
K(a) = a, \qquad K(a, b) = ab + 1. \tag{2}
$$

For example, $K(7, 15) = 106$. For the empty set, we define $K() = 1$. For $n \geq 2$,

$$
K(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = a_n K(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) + K(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-2}),
$$
\n(3)

since the first term counts tilings that end with a stack of squares and the second term counts those that end with a domino. More generally, observe that for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$,

$$
K(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = K(a_0, \ldots, a_\ell) K(a_{\ell+1}, \ldots, a_n) + K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}) K(a_{\ell+2}, \ldots, a_n).
$$
 (4)

The first summand counts tilings that do not have a domino covering cells ℓ and $\ell+1$, while the second summand counts those that do.

Finally, we observe that for any nonnegative a_0, \ldots, a_n ,

$$
K(a_n, \ldots, a_0) = K(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \tag{5}
$$

since any length n tiling that satisfies the conditions on the right (at most a_i squares stacked on cell i) can be reversed to satisfy the tiling conditions on the left (at most a_{n-i} squares stacked on cell i , and vice versa.

Using the initial conditions and recurrence in equations (2) and (3), it follows that

$$
[a_0, \dots, a_n] = \frac{K(a_0, \dots, a_n)}{K(a_1, \dots, a_n)},
$$
\n(6)

in lowest terms. (See [1], [2] for more details.) That is, if the continued fraction $[a_0, \ldots, a_n]$ = $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$, in lowest terms, then $p_n = K(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ and $q_n = K(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Thus, for example, the continued fraction $[3, 7, 15] = K(3, 7, 15)/K(7, 15) = 333/106$.

Now suppose that $p = 4m + 1$ is prime. We shall consider the continued fraction expansions of the numbers $p/1, p/2, \ldots, p/(2m)$. For each j between 1 and $2m$, we have $p/j > 2$ and is in lowest terms. Thus $p/j = [a_0, \ldots, a_n]$ where $a_0 \geq 2$ and $a_n \geq 2$. By equations (6) and (5),

$$
p=K(a_0,\ldots,a_n)=K(a_n,\ldots,a_0).
$$

Thus $[a_n, \ldots, a_0]$ also has numerator p, and since $a_n \geq 2$, $[a_n, \ldots, a_0] = p/i$ for some i between 1 and 2m. Thus each fraction p/j can be paired up with its "reversed" fraction p/i . Now $p/1 = |p|$ is *palindromic*; it is its own reversal. Thus since 2m is even, there must be at least one other fraction p/j^* that is palindromic. That is, for some j^* between 2 and 2m,

$$
[a_0, \ldots, a_{n^*}] = p/j^* = [a_{n^*}, \ldots, a_0].
$$

For example, when $p = 5$, $5/1 = 5$ and $5/2 = 2$, are both palindromic. When $p = 13$, $13/1 = [13], 13/2 = [6, 2], 13/3 = [4, 3], 13/4 = [3, 4], 13/5 = [2, 1, 1, 2], 13/6 = [2, 6];$ so 13/1 and 13/5 are palindromic.

We claim that n^* must be even. For suppose, to the contrary, that $n^* = 2\ell + 1$, for some $\ell \geq 0$. Then $p/j^* = [a_0, \ldots, a_\ell, a_{\ell+1}, a_\ell, \ldots, a_0]$. Thus by applying equations (6), (4), and (5) , we have

$$
p = K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}, a_{\ell+1}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_0)
$$

= $K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}) K(a_{\ell+1}, \ldots, a_0) + K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}) K(a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_0)$
= $K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}) [(K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell+1}) + K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1})].$

But then p is composite (both factors are at least two, since $a_0 \geq 2$), which is a contradiction.

Thus $n^* = 2\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. Consequently, $p/j^* = [a_0, \ldots, a_l, a_l, \ldots, a_0]$, and

$$
p = K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}, a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_0)
$$

= $K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}) K(a_{\ell}, \ldots, a_0) + K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}) K(a_{\ell-1}, \ldots, a_0)$
= $(K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell}))^2 + (K(a_0, \ldots, a_{\ell-1}))^2$

is the sum of two squares, as desired.

For example, when $p = 13$, the palindromic 13/5 leads to

$$
13 = K(2, 1, 1, 2) = K(2, 1)K(1, 2) + K(2)K(2) = 32 + 22.
$$

For a larger example, when $p = 1069$, the palindromic $1069/249 = [4, 3, 2, 2, 3, 4]$ leads to

$$
1069 = K(4, 3, 2, 2, 3, 4) = (K(4, 3, 2))^2 + (K(4, 3))^2 = 30^2 + 13^2.
$$

Following the strategy in [4], we combinatorially prove uniqueness of the sum of squares using one more elementary fact about continued fractions: If $[a_0, \ldots, a_n] = p_n/q_n$ in lowest terms, then for $n \geq 2$,

$$
\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n q_{n-1}}.
$$

Equivalently, $p_nq_{n-1} - p_{n-1}q_n = (-1)^n$, or by equation (6), for $n \ge 2$,

$$
K(a_0, \ldots, a_n)K(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) - K(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1})K(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (-1)^n.
$$
 (7)

For a direct combinatorial proof of equation (7), see [1] or [2].

Now suppose that $p = r^2 + s^2$ and $p = u^2 + v^2$ for positive integers $r > s$ and $u > v$. Since p is prime, $gcd(r, s) = 1 = gcd(u, v)$. Thus r/s and u/v are fractions in lowest terms, and there exist unique positive integers r_0, \ldots, r_t and u_0, \ldots, u_w such that $r/s = [r_0, \ldots, r_t]$ and $u/v = [u_0, \ldots, u_w]$, where $r_t \geq 2$ and $u_w \geq 2$.

Hence, by equation (6),

$$
\frac{r}{s} = \frac{K(r_0, \dots, r_t)}{K(r_1, \dots, r_t)}
$$

in lowest terms. Now by equations (4) and (5),

$$
p = r^2 + s^2 = K(r_t, \dots, r_0)K(r_0, \dots, r_t) + K(r_t, \dots, r_1)K(r_1, \dots, r_t) = K(r_t, \dots, r_0, r_0, \dots, r_t).
$$

Now let $x = K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-1})$. By equation (3),

$$
p = K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t) = xr_t + K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-2}) \geq 2x + 1.
$$

Thus $2 \leq x \leq (p-1)/2$. Also, by equation (7),

$$
K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t) K(r_{t-1}, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-1}) - K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-1}) K(r_{t-1}, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t)
$$

equals $(-1)^{2t} = 1$. Hence, $pK(r_{t-1}, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_{t-1}) - x^2 = 1$, and therefore x satisfies $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

By the same argument, we also have $u/v = K(u_0 \ldots, u_w)/K(u_1 \ldots, u_w)$ in lowest terms, $p = K[u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_w]$, and $y = K(u_w, \dots, u_0, u_0, \dots, u_{w-1})$ satisfies $2 \le y \le (p -$ 1)/2 and $y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Thus $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$, and so p divides $x^2 - y^2 = (x + y)(x - y)$. Since p is prime it follows that $x \equiv y$ or $x \equiv -y \pmod{p}$. But since x and y are both between 2 and $(p-1)/2$, we must have $x = y$. But then, by equation (6), the continued fraction

$$
[r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t] = \frac{K(r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t)}{K(r_{t-1}, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t)} = \frac{p}{x} = \frac{p}{y}
$$

=
$$
\frac{K(u_w, \ldots, u_0, u_0, \ldots, u_w)}{K(u_{w-1}, \ldots, u_0, u_0, \ldots, u_w)} = [u_w, \ldots, u_0, u_0, \ldots, u_w],
$$

and by the uniqueness of finite continued fraction representations (with $r_t \geq 2$ and $u_w \geq 2$), we must have $t = w$ and $r_i = u_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{r}{s} = [r_0, \dots, r_t] = [u_0, \dots, u_w] = \frac{u}{v}
$$

in lowest terms. Thus $r = u$ and $s = v$ as desired.

In summary, every prime number $p = 4m+1$ is the unique sum of two squares as follows. Let x be the unique solution to $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, where $2 \le x \le 2m$. [By Wilson's

Theorem, x will be the smallest positive integer congruent to $\pm(2m)!$ (mod p). We note that if a is any quadratic nonresidue of p , then x can be efficiently calculated. From Euler's criterion, $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Thus we can set x equal to the smallest positive integer congruent to $\pm a^{(p-1)/4} \pmod{p}$.] Then p/x has palindromic continued fraction representation $[r_t, \ldots, r_0, r_0, \ldots, r_t]$, and $[r_0, \ldots, r_t] = r/s$, where $r^2 + s^2 = p$.

References

- [1] Arthur T. Benjamin and Jennifer J. Quinn, Proofs that Really Count: The Art of Combinatorial Proof, MAA, Washington, DC, 2003.
- [2] Arthur T. Benjamin, Jennifer J. Quinn, and Francis Edward Su, Counting on Continued Fractions, Mathematics Magazine, Vol 73, No. 2, pp. 98-104, 2000.
- [3] G. Chrystal, Algebra: an elementary text-book for the higher classes of secondary schools and for colleges, Part II, page 499, reprinted by Chelsea, N.Y., N.Y., 1964.
- [4] F. W. Clarke, W. N. Everitt, L. L. Littlejohn, and S. J. R. Vorster, H. J. S. Smith and the Fermat Two Squares Theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 106 (1999) 652–665.
- [5] L. E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. II, American Mathematical Society, Providence, pp. 240-241.
- [6] Henry J. S. Smith, De Compositione Numerorum Primorum $4\lambda + 1$ Ex Duobus Quardatis, Crelle's Journal V. 50 (1855), 91–92.