Claremont Colleges Scholarship @ Claremont

All HMC Faculty Publications and Research

HMC Faculty Scholarship

1-1-1978

Generalized Connectors

Nicholas Pippenger Harvey Mudd College

Recommended Citation

Pippenger, Nicholas. "Generalized Connectors." SIAM Journal on Computing 7, no. 4 (November 1978): 510-514.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

GENERALIZED CONNECTORS*

NICHOLAS PIPPENGER[†]

Abstract. An *n*-connector is an acyclic directed graph having *n* inputs and *n* outputs and satisfying the following condition: given any one-to-one correspondence between inputs and distinct outputs, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint paths that join each input to the corresponding output. It is known that the minimum possible number of edges in an *n*-connector lies between lower and upper bounds that are asymptotic to $3n \log_3 n$ and $6n \log_3 n$ respectively. A generalized *n*-connector satisfies the following stronger condition: given any one-to-many correspondence between inputs and disjoint sets of outputs, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint trees that join each input to the corresponding set of outputs. It is shown that the minimum number of edges in a generalized *n*-connector is asymptotic to the minimum number in an *n*-connector.

Imagine an information transmission network intended to mediate between n sources of information and n users of this information. At any time, any of the users may wish to be connected with any of the sources; a user can be connected with only one source at a time, but many users may wish to be connected with the same source. This paper deals with an idealized version of the problem of designing a network capable of providing any such pattern of simultaneous connections.

An (n, m)-graph is an acyclic directed graph with a set of n distinguished vertices called *inputs* and a disjoint set of m distinguished vertices called *outputs*. An n-graph is an (n, n)-graph.

An *n*-connector is an *n*-graph satisfying the following condition: given any oneto-one correspondence between inputs and distinct outputs, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint paths that join each input to the corresponding output. (A *path* joining an input to an output is a directed path whose origin is the input and whose destination is the output.) Let c(n) denote the minimum possible number of edges in an *n*connector; it is known that

$$3n \log_3 n \leq c(n) \leq 6n \log_3 n + O(n)$$

(see Pippenger and Valiant [4, Remark 2.2.6]).

A generalized n-connector is an n-graph satisfying the following stronger condition: given any one-to-many correspondence between inputs and disjoint sets of outputs, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint trees that join each input to the corresponding set of outputs. (A *tree* joining an input to a set of outputs is a directed tree whose root is the input and whose leaves are the outputs.) Let d(n) denote the minimum possible number of edges in a generalized n-connector; that

$$d(n) \leq 10n \log_2 n + O(n)$$

for n a power of 2 is implicit in the work of Ofman [1]. Thompson [5] has recently shown that

$$d(n) \leq 12n \log_3 n + O(n)$$

for *n* a power of 3.

The object of this note is to show that

$$d(n) = c(n) + O(n),$$

^{*} Received by the editors May 13, 1977.

[†] Mathematical Sciences Department, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598.

and thus that

$$d(n) \sim c(n)$$

It is clear that

 $d(n) \ge c(n);$

thus it will suffice to show that

(1)
$$d(n) \leq c(n) + O(n).$$

This will be done by means of a new type of graph which will be called a generalizer. An *n*-generalizer is an *n*-graph that satisfies the following condition: given any correspondence between inputs and nonnegative integers that sum to at most *n*, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint trees that join each input to the corresponding number of distinct outputs. Let g(n) denote the minimum possible number of edges in an *n*-generalizer; it will be shown below that

(2)
$$g(n) \leq 120n + O((\log n)^2),$$

so that in particular

g(n) = O(n).

A generalized *n*-connector can be obtained from an *n*-generalizer and an *n*-connector by identifying the outputs of the generalizer with the inputs of the connector, as shown in Fig. 1. It is obvious that this yields a generalized *n*-connector: the generalizer provides the appropriate number of copies of each input, and the connector joins these copies to the appropriate outputs. Thus

$$d(n) \leq c(n) + g(n)$$
$$\leq c(n) + O(n),$$

which completes the proof of (1).



•----• INDICATES IDENTIFICATION OF VERTICES (NOT EDGES) FIG. 1. It remains to prove (2). To do this, two more types of graphs, called concentrators and superconcentrators, will be needed.

An *n*-superconcentrator is an *n*-graph that satisfies the following condition: given any set of inputs and any equinumerous set of outputs, there exists a set of vertexdisjoint paths that join the given inputs in a one-to-one fashion to the given outputs. Let s(n) denote the minimum possible number of edges in an *n*-superconcentrator; that

$$s(n) \leq 234n$$

was shown by Valiant [6], who first defined superconcentrators. Pippenger [3] subsequently showed that

$$s(n) \leq 39n + O(\log n).$$

An (n, m)-concentrator is an (n, m)-graph that satisfies the following condition: given any set of m or fewer inputs, there exists a set of vertex-disjoint paths that join the given inputs in a one-to-one fashion to distinct outputs. Let r(n, m) denote the minimum possible number of edges in an (n, m)-concentrator; that

$$r(n,m) \leq 29n$$

was shown by Pinsker [2], who first defined concentrators. It will now be shown that

(3)
$$r(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \leq 20n + O(\log n),$$

where $[\cdots]$ denotes "the greatest integer less than or equal to ...".

A $(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$ -concentrator can be obtained by combining $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ edges with an $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -superconcentrator (where $\lceil \cdots \rceil$ denotes "the least integer greater than or equal to ..."), as shown in Fig. 2. It is obvious that this yields an $(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$ -



concentrator: those of the given inputs that lie among the upper $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ inputs can be joined to distinct outputs through the edges; those that lie among the lower $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ can be joined to other distinct outputs through the superconcentrator. Thus

$$r(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + s(\lceil n/2 \rceil)$$
$$\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 39 \lceil n/2 \rceil + O(\log \lceil n/2 \rceil)$$
$$\leq 20n + O(\log n),$$

which completes the proof of (3).

It still remains to prove (2). This will be done by means of a recursive construction: an *n*-generalizer can be obtained by combining an $(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$ -concentrator, an $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -generalizer, $2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ edges, and an *n*-superconcentrator, as shown in Fig. 3. This can be seen to yield an *n*-generalizer as follows. If an input is to be joined to x



distinct outputs, one can write x = 2y + z, where y is a nonnegative integer and z is either 0 or 1. Since the x's sum to at most n, there can be at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ inputs for which y is greater than 0. Each of these inputs can therefore be joined to a distinct output of the concentrator, thence to y distinct outputs of the $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -generalizer, and finally to 2y distinct outputs of the n-generalizer. All that remains is to join the inputs for which z is 1 to other distinct outputs; this can be done through the superconcentrator. Thus

$$g(n) \leq g(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + r(n, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + s(n)$$

$$\leq g(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + 20n + O(\log n) + 2\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 39n + O(\log n)$$

$$\leq g(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + 60n + O(\log n)$$

$$\leq 120n + O((\log n)^2),$$

which completes the proof of (2).

The result of this note is satisfying from a theoretical point of view: informationtheoretic considerations suggest that since

$$\log n^n = \log n! + O(n)$$

one should have

$$d(n) = c(n) + O(n),$$

as has indeed been shown to be the case. The proof technique used in this note, however, does not endow the result with any practical significance: 120n exceeds $6n \log_3 n$ until *n* exceeds $3^{20} = 3,486,784,401$.

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to Clark Thompson for suggesting the possibility of proving the existence of linear generalizers.

REFERENCES

- YU. P. OFMAN, Universalnyi avtomat, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč., 14 (1965), pp. 186–199 = A universal automaton, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 14 (1965), pp. 200–215.
- [2] M. S. PINSKER, On the complexity of a concentrator, Proc. 7th Internat. Teletraffic Conf., Stockholm, 1973, pp. 318/1–318/4.
- [3] N. J. PIPPENGER, Superconcentrators, this Journal, 6, (1977), pp. 298-304.
- [4] N. J. PIPPENGER AND L. G. VALIANT, Shifting graphs and their applications J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 23 (1976), pp. 423–432.
- [5] C. D. THOMPSON, Generalized connection networks for parallel processor interconnection, Carnegie-Mellon Univ. Tech. Rep., Pittsburgh, May 1977.
- [6] L. G. VALIANT, On non-linear lower bounds in computational complexity, Proc. 7th Ann. ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing, Albuquerque, 1975, Assoc. Comput. Mach., New York, pp. 45–53.