

1-1-2010

Review: Common Cyclic Vectors for Unitary Operators

Stephan Ramon Garcia
Pomona College

Recommended Citation

MR2520540 (2010g:47022) Ross, W.T., Wogen, W.R., Common cyclic vectors for unitary operators, *J. Operator Theory* 62 (2009), no. 1, 65–81. (Reviewer: Stephan R. Garcia)

This Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Pomona Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pomona Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

MR2520540 (2010g:47022) [47A16](#) ([47B38](#) [47C15](#))

Ross, William T. (1-RICH-CM); **Wogen, Warren R.** (1-NC)

Common cyclic vectors for unitary operators. (English summary)

J. Operator Theory **62** (2009), *no. 1*, 65–81.

The authors continue their study of common cyclic vectors [Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), no. 6, 1537–1550; [MR2106335 \(2005h:47041\)](#)] and determine whether or not certain natural classes of unitary multiplication operators have common cyclic vectors. To be more specific, let μ be a finite Borel measure on the unit circle \mathbb{T} such that $\text{supp } \mu = \mathbb{T}$ and let $H(\mathbb{T})$ denote the set of all homeomorphisms of \mathbb{T} . If μ is discrete, then the class $\{(M_\psi, L^2(\mu)) : \psi \in H(\mathbb{T})\}$ has a common cyclic vector. On the other hand, the authors show that if μ is continuous, then this class does not have a common cyclic vector (for μ continuous the authors showed in [op. cit.] that the larger class of multiplication operators on $L^2(\mu)$ whose symbols are univalent μ -almost everywhere does not have a common cyclic vector).

The restrictions that the authors place upon μ are natural, in light of several known results. If $K = \text{supp } \mu \neq \mathbb{T}$, then a classical theorem of Lavrent'ev [J. B. Conway, *The theory of subnormal operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991; [MR1112128 \(92h:47026\)](#) (p. 232)] quickly implies that any $f \in L^2(\mu)$ such that $|f| > 0$ μ -a.e. is cyclic for M_ψ for any homeomorphism ψ of K . Thus it suffices to consider $\mu = \mu_c + \mu_d$ where μ_c is continuous and μ_d is discrete. Related work by R. V. Sibilev on Denjoy-Wolff series handles the discrete case [Algebra i Analiz **7** (1995), no. 1, 170–199; [MR1334156 \(96j:30006\)](#)]. The decomposition

$$\text{Lat}((M_z, L^2(\mu))) = \text{Lat}((M_z, L^2(\mu_c))) \oplus \text{Lat}((M_z, L^2(\mu_d)))$$

implies that it suffices to consider continuous measures whose support is \mathbb{T} . In fact, the authors reduce their common cyclic vector problem to the special case when μ is the normalized Lebesgue measure m on \mathbb{T} . In this setting, they obtain:

Theorem 1.2: Let B denote the homeomorphisms h of $[0, 2\pi]$ such that h is absolutely continuous with $h' \neq 0$ almost everywhere, and let B_1 denote the $h \in B$ such that h^{-1} is Lipschitz in some interval I_h .

- (i) The class $\{(M_{e^{ih}}, L^2(m)) : h \in B\}$ does not have a common cyclic vector.
- (ii) The class $\{(M_{e^{ih}}, L^2(m)) : h \in B_1\}$ has a common cyclic vector and in fact, $\varphi \in L^2(m)$ is a common cyclic vector for this class if and only if $|\varphi| > 0$ almost everywhere and $\log |\varphi|$ is not integrable on any arc of \mathbb{T} .

The paper concludes with a number of open questions.

Reviewed by *Stephan R. Garcia*

References

1. P. Bourdon, J.H. Shapiro, Spectral synthesis and common cyclic vectors, *Michigan Math. J.* **37**(1990), 71–90. [MR1042515 \(91m:47039\)](#)

2. J. Bram, Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.* **22**(1955), 75–94. [MR0068129 \(16,835a\)](#)
3. J.B. Conway, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1991. [MR1112128 \(92h:47026\)](#)
4. J.B. Conway, The direct sum of normal operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **26**(1977), 277–289. [MR0440417 \(55 #13292\)](#)
5. J.B. Conway, *A Course in Operator Theory*, Graduate Stud. Math., vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2000. [MR1721402 \(2001d:47001\)](#)
6. P. Halmos, J. von Neumann, Operator methods in classical mechanics. II, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **43**(1942), 332–350. [MR0006617 \(4,14e\)](#)
7. E. Hewitt, K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis. A Modern Treatment of the Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1965. [MR0188387 \(32 #5826\)](#)
8. I.P. Natanson, *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*, Frederick Ungar Publ. Co., New York 1955. [MR0067952 \(16,804c\)](#)
9. W. Ross, W. Wogen, Common cyclic vectors for normal operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53**(2004), 1537–1550. [MR2106335 \(2005h:47041\)](#)
10. H.A. Seid, Cyclic multiplication operators on L_p -spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **51**(1974), 542–562. [MR0361898 \(50 #14340\)](#)
11. R.V. Sibilev, A uniqueness theorem for Wolff-Denjoy series, *Algebra i Analiz* **7**(1995), 170–199. [MR1334156 \(96j:30006\)](#)
12. W. Wogen, On some operators with cyclic vectors, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27**(1978), 163–171. [MR0467375 \(57 #7234\)](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.