

1-1-1982

Some Properties of Positive Derivations on f -Rings

Melvin Henriksen
Harvey Mudd College

Frank A. Smith
Kent State University

Recommended Citation

Henriksen, Melvin, and F. A. Smith. "Some properties of positive derivations on f -rings." Proceedings of the Special Session on Ordered Field and Real Algebraic Geometry, 87th Annual Meeting of the American Mathematical Society (San Francisco, CA, 7-11 January 1981). Ed. D. W. Dubois and T. Recio. Contemporary Mathematics 8 (1982): 175–184.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

SOME PROPERTIES OF POSITIVE DERIVATIONS ON f -RINGS

Melvin Henriksen and F. A. Smith

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout A denotes an f -ring; that is, a lattice-ordered ring that is a subdirect union of totally ordered rings. We let $\mathcal{D}(A)$ denote the set of derivations $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that $a \geq 0$ implies $Da \geq 0$, and we call such derivations *positive*. In [CDK], P. Coleville, G. Davis, and K. Keimel initiated a study of positive derivations on f -rings. Their main results are (i) $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and A archimedean imply $D = 0$, and (ii) if A has an identity element 1 and a is the supremum of a set of integral multiples of 1 , then $Da = 0$. Their proof of (i) relies heavily on the theory of positive orthomorphisms on archimedean f -rings and gives no insight into the general case. Below, in Theorem 4 and its corollary, we give a direct proof of (i), and in Theorem 10, we generalize (ii). Throughout, we improve on results in [CDK], and we study a variety of topics not considered therein.

2. THE RESULTS

In the sequel, A will always denote an f -ring, and $A^+ = \{a \in A : a \geq 0\}$ its positive cone. If $a \in A$, let $a^+ = a \vee 0$, $a^- = (-a) \vee 0$, and $|a| = a \vee (-a)$. Then $a = a^+ - a^-$, $|a| = a^+ + a^-$, and $a^+ a^- = a^- a^+ = a^+ \wedge a^- = 0$. A subset I of A that is a ring ideal and such that $|b| \leq |a|$, and $a \in I$ imply $b \in I$ is called an ℓ -ideal. The ℓ -ideals are the kernels of homomorphisms that preserve lattice as well as ring operations [BKW, Chap. 8].

A *derivation* on A is a linear map $D: A \rightarrow A$ such that if $a, b \in A$, then $D(ab) = aDb + (Da)b$. A derivation D is called *positive* if $D(A^+) \subset A^+$. The family of all positive derivations on A will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. 13N05, 06F25, 12J15, 13J25.

Copyright © 1982, American Mathematical Society
0271-4132/81/0000-0681/\$03.50

In any f -ring $\text{rad } A$, the set of all nilpotent elements of A , coincides with the intersection of all the prime ℓ -ideals of A , and hence is an ℓ -ideal [BKW, 9.2.6]. If $\text{rad } A = \{0\}$, then A is said to be *reduced*. In [CDK], it is shown that if A is commutative and $a^n = 0$, then $[Da]^{2n-1} = 0$. We improve this result next. We begin by observing that if $a, b, \in A^+$ then

$$(1) \quad ab = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad aDb = (Da)b = 0.$$

1. PROPOSITION. Suppose $a \in A$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Then $a^n = 0$ implies $(Da)^n = 0$. In particular, $D[\text{rad } A] \subset \text{rad } A$.

PROOF. Since $a^n = 0$ if and only if $|a|^n = 0$, we may assume $a \in A^+$ and $n > 1$. By (1), $a^{n-1}Da = 0$. So $a^{n-2}(aDa) = 0$. Using (1) again yields $0 = a^{n-2}D(aDa) = a^{n-1}D^2a + a^{n-2}(Da)^2$. Since $a \in A^+$, $a^{n-2}(Da)^2 = 0$. Continuing this process yields $(Da)^n = 0$ and hence that $D[\text{rad } A] \subset \text{rad } A$.

The next example will show that the index of nilpotency of Da need not be less than that of a . We note first that if $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and I is an ℓ -ideal of A such that $D(I) \subset I$, then $D_I \in \mathcal{D}(A/I)$, where

$$(2) \quad D_I(a+I) = Da+I,$$

2. EXAMPLE. Let R denote all rational functions with real coefficients of negative degree. If $r(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \in R$, we may assume that $q(x) = x^m + a_1x^{m-1} + \dots$ has leading coefficient 1, and we let $r(x)$ be positive if the leading coefficient of $p(x)$ is positive. With this order, R is a totally ordered ring. If $r(x) \in R$, let $Dr(x) = -r'(x)$ be the negative of the usual derivative. Then $D \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, as is $(xD): R \rightarrow R$, where $(xD)r(x) = xDr(x) = -xr'(x)$. If n is a positive integer, let I_n denote the set of all $r(x)$ in R of degree $\leq -n$. Clearly I_n is an ℓ -ideal of R , and $(xD)(I_n) \subset I_n$. If $R_n = R/I_n$, and $(xD)_n(r(x)+I_n) = xDr(x) + I_n$, then $(xD)_n \in \mathcal{D}(R_n)$, and $(xD)_n(\frac{1}{x}+I_n) = \frac{1}{x} + I_n$ is nilpotent of index n .

If G is an abelian ℓ -group, and $T: G \rightarrow G$ is an order preserving endomorphism of G such that $x \wedge y = 0$ implies $x \wedge Ty = 0$ for x, y in G^+ , then T is called a *positive orthomorphism* of G . If A is reduced, then $x \wedge y = 0$ if and only if $xy = 0$ [BKW, 9.3.1].

So each positive derivation on an f -ring is an orthomorphism by (1). The next result appears implicitly in [CDK]. We include a proof for the sake of completeness.

3. PROPOSITION. *If P is a minimal prime ℓ -ideal of A , and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, then $D(P) \subset P$. In particular, $D_P \in \mathcal{D}(A/P)$.*

PROOF. As is noted in [BKW, 9.3.2 and 12.1.1], if A is reduced, then each positive orthomorphism of $A(+)$ maps a minimal prime subgroup into itself, and P is a minimal prime ℓ -ideal of A if and only if it is a minimal prime subgroup. So $D(P) \subset P$ if A is reduced. In the general case, if we let $I = \text{rad } A$ in (2), we obtain $D(P) \subset P$.

We do not know if $D(P) \subset P$ for any prime ℓ -ideal of P .

Recall that A is said to be *archimedean* if $a \in A^+$ and $\{na : n=1,2,\dots\}$ bounded above imply $a = 0$. The next theorem is the key to an alternate proof of the fact that a reduced archimedean f -ring admits no nontrivial derivations [CDK].

4. THEOREM. *Suppose A is reduced, $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $a \in A^+$, and n is a positive integer. Then*

- (a) $n(a \wedge a^2)Da \leq (a \vee a^2)Da$,
- (b) $nDa(a \wedge a^2) \leq Da(a \vee a^2)$, and
- (c) $nD(a^2) \leq (a^2Da + (Da)a^2) \vee Da$.

PROOF. Since A is reduced, $\{0\}$ is an intersection of minimal prime ideals and A is a subdirect sum of totally ordered rings A/P such that P is a minimal prime ℓ -ideal. Thus, by Proposition 3, it suffices to verify these identities in case A is totally ordered and has no proper divisors of 0 [BKW, 9.2.5].

Let $x = (na - a^2)^+ Da$. Then $x \in A^+$. We consider two cases:

(i) Suppose $x = 0$. Then $Da = 0$ or $na \leq a^2$. In either case we obtain

$$(3) \quad naDa \leq a^2Da \quad \text{and} \quad n(Da)a \leq (Da)a^2.$$

(ii) Suppose $x > 0$. Then $Da > 0$ and $a^2 < na$. Hence $aDa + (Da)a \leq nDa$. Since A is totally ordered, $aDa \leq (Da)a$ or $(Da)a \leq a(Da)$.

Suppose the former holds. Then

$$2aDa \leq nDa \quad \text{and hence} \quad (na - 2a^2)Da \geq 0.$$

But $Da > 0$, so $2a^2 \leq na$. By induction, we get $2^k a^2 \leq na$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If we choose k so large that $n^2 \leq 2^k$, we get

$$(4) \quad na^2 \leq a.$$

If, instead, $(Da)a \leq aDa$, an obvious modification of this latter argument also yields (4). Pre or post multiplying by Da yields

$$(5) \quad na^2 Da \leq aDa \quad \text{and} \quad n(Da)a^2 \leq (Da)a.$$

Since either (3) or (5) must hold in A/P for any minimal prime ideal P , the conclusions of (a) and (b) hold.

By (4), if $x > 0$, then $nD(a^2) \leq D(a)$. If $x = 0$, then adding the inequalities in (3) yields $nD(a^2) \leq (a^2 Da + (Da)a^2)$. Hence (c) holds as well.

5. COROLLARY. [CDKJ] *If A is archimedean and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, then $D(A) \subset \text{rad } A$ and $D(A^2) = 0$.*

PROOF. By (c) of the last theorem and Proposition 3, if $a \in A$, then $D(a^2) \in \text{rad } A$. Since $aDa \leq D(a^2)$, $(Da)^2 \leq D(aDa) \leq D^2(a^2) \in D(\text{rad } A) \subset \text{rad } A$ by Proposition 1. Since each element of $\text{rad } A$ is nilpotent, so is Da .

If $a, b \in A$, then $D(ab) = aDb + (Da)b = 0$, since $(\text{rad } A)A = A(\text{rad } A) = 0$ in an archimedean f -ring [BKW, 12.3.11]. Hence $D(A^2) = 0$.

6. PROPOSITION. *Suppose $e^2 = e \in A$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.*

$$(a) \quad (De)^2 = e(De)e = (De)e(De) = 0.$$

(b) *If A is reduced or has an identity element or e is in the center of A , then $De = 0$.*

PROOF. Since $e^2 = e$, we have

$$(6) \quad eDe + (De)e = De$$

Multiplying (6) on the left by e yields

$$(7) \quad e(De)e = 0.$$

Applying D to (7), we obtain

$$eD[(De)e] + (De)^2 e = 0 = e(De)^2 + D(eDe)e.$$

Hence

$$(8) \quad e(De)^2 = (De)^2e = 0.$$

Multiplying both sides of (6) on the left by (De) and using (8) yields

$$(9) \quad (De)e(De) = (De)^2.$$

By (7), (8), and (9), we obtain

$$[eDe - (De)e]^2 + (De)e(De) = 0.$$

Hence $(De)^2 = (De)e(De) = 0$, which together with (7), completes the proof of (a).

Clearly $De = 0$ if $\text{rad } A = \{0\}$. If $eDe = (De)e$, then by (6) and (7), $De = 2eDe = 0$. If A has an identity element, then each of its idempotents is in the center of A by [BKW, 9.4.20]. This completes the proof of (b).

The next example shows that the hypotheses of (b) above cannot be omitted.

7. EXAMPLE. *A totally ordered ring with an idempotent e and a positive derivation D such that $De \neq 0$.*

Let S denote the algebra over the real field \mathbb{R} (with the usual order) with basis $\{e, z\}$, where $e^2 = e$, $ez = z^2 = 0$, and $ze = z$. If $x = \alpha e + \beta z \in S$, let $x > 0$ if $\alpha > 0$ or $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$. If we let $Dx = zx - xz = \alpha z$, then $D \in \mathcal{D}(S)$, and $De = z \neq 0$.

If $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, let $\ker D = \{a \in A : Da = 0\}$. If G is an abelian ℓ -group and $H \subset G$, let $H^\perp = \{g \in G : |g| \wedge |h| = 0 \text{ for all } h \in H\}$, and let $H^{\perp\perp} = (H^\perp)^\perp$. Note that H^\perp is an ℓ -subgroup of G (that is, H is a subgroup and $|a| \leq |b|$, and $b \in H^\perp$ implies $a \in H$). A *band* in G is an ℓ -subgroup H of G such that if $K \subset H$ and $\sup K \in G$, then $\sup K \in H$. If H is a subset of G , the intersection $B(H)$ of all the bands in G containing H is also a band. Moreover, $B(H) \subset H^{\perp\perp}$. See [LZ, Theorem 19.2]. An element e of G such that $\{e\}^\perp = 0$ is called a *weak order unit* of G . An element e of an f -ring A such that $ex = 0$ or $xe = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called *regular*. Note that if $e \in A$ is regular, then e is a weak order unit, and the converse holds if A is reduced.

The following lemma will be useful in what follows.

8. LEMMA. Suppose A is an f -ring and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.
- (a) $x Dx \wedge (Dx)x \geq 0$ for every $x \in A$.
 - (b) If A is reduced, then D is an ℓ -endomorphism.
 - (c) If A has an identity element 1 , and n is a positive integer, then $nDx \leq xDx \wedge (Dx)x$ for every $x \in A^+$ and $D(I) \subset I$ for every ℓ -ideal I of A .

PROOF. (a) holds since this inequality holds whenever A is totally ordered.

(b) holds since if A is reduced, then D is a positive orthomorphism and hence an ℓ -endomorphism [BKW, 12.1].

(c) by Proposition 6(b), $1 \in \ker D$, and by (a) $(x-n1)D(x-n1) \geq 0$. Hence $nDx \leq xDx$. Similarly, $nDx \leq (Dx)x$. Hence $x \in I$ implies $Dx \in I$ since I is an ℓ -ideal.

Next, we provide some examples to show that the hypotheses of (b) and (c) above cannot be omitted.

9. EXAMPLES. (i) Let E denote the direct sum of two copies of the real line \mathbb{R} with trivial multiplication, and let $(r,s) \geq 0$ mean $r \geq s \geq 0$. As is noted in [GJ, 5B], the map $D: E \rightarrow E$ such that $D(r,s) = (r,0)$ is a positive endomorphism that is not an ℓ -homomorphism. To see the latter, note that $(1,2)^+ = (2,2)$. So $D[(1,2)^+] = (2,0) \neq (1,0) = [D(1,2)]^+$.

(ii) Let R and (xD) be as in Example 2, and let $y = \frac{1}{x}$. Then $n(xD)y = \frac{n}{x}$, while $y(xD)y = x^{-2}$, so the conclusion of (c) fails.

The next theorem summarizes most of what we know about kernels of positive derivations.

10. THEOREM. Suppose $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, $x \in A$, and n is a positive integer.

- (a) If e is regular, and $ex \in \ker D$, then $x \in \ker D$.
- (b) If A is reduced then:
 - (i) $x \in \ker D$ implies $\overline{\{x\}^{+1}} \in \ker D$,
 - (ii) $x^n \in \ker D$ implies $x \in \ker D$,
 - (iii) $\ker D$ is a band,

- (iv) $D^n = 0$ implies $D = 0$, and
- (v) $e^2 = e \in A$ implies $e \in \ker D$.

(c) If A has an identity element and $U(A)$ is the smallest band containing the units of A , then $U(A) \subset \ker D$. In particular, $\text{rad } A \subset \ker D$. Also, if $x^2 \leq x$, then $x \in \ker D$.

PROOF. (a) By (1), $D(ex) = 0$ implies $eDx = 0$, which, in turn implies $Dx = 0$.

(b) (i) By Lemma 8(b), and [BKW, 3.2.2], $D(\{x\}^{\perp\perp}) \subset D(\{x\}^{\perp})^{\perp} \subset \{(Dx)\}^{\perp\perp} = \{0\}$ since $x \in \ker D$ and A is reduced.

(ii) follows from (i) and the fact that $\{x\}^{\perp\perp}$ is the intersection of all the minimal prime ℓ -ideals that contains x [BKW, 3.4.12].

(iii) As was noted above, the smallest band containing $\ker D$ is contained in $\{(\ker D)\}^{\perp\perp}$ and the latter is contained in $\ker D$ by (i).

(iv) Since x is a difference of positive elements, it suffices to show that $Dx = 0$ whenever $x \in A^+$. The proof will proceed by induction on n . It is obvious when $n = 1$. Assume that $D^n(A) = 0$ implies $D(A) = 0$ whenever A is a reduced f-ring and $n \geq 1$ is an integer. If $0 = D^{n+1}(A) = D^n(D(A))$, then $D^n(D(A)^{\perp\perp}) = 0$ by (i). So $D(D(A)^{\perp\perp}) = 0$ by the induction hypothesis. In particular, $D^2(x^2) = 0$. Since $x Dx \leq D(x^2)$, $0 = D(x Dx) = x D^2 x + (Dx)^2$. So $(Dx)^2 = 0 = Dx$ since A is reduced.

(v) is a restatement of Proposition 16(b).

(c) That $U(A) \subset \ker D$ follows directly from (a) and (b) (iii) above. If $x^n = 0$, then $(1-x)(1+x+\dots+x^{n-1}) = 1$, so $1-x$ is a unit and $x = 1 - (1-x) \in U(A) \subset \ker D$. Finally, if $x^2 \leq x$, then $D(x^2) = xDx + (Dx)x \leq Dx \wedge (Dx)x$ by Lemma 8(c). Hence $x Dx = (Dx)x = 0$. Thus $Dx = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

11. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS. The assumption that A is reduced in Theorem 10(b) cannot be dropped. For example, if $A = C[0,1]$, the ℓ -group of continuous real-valued functions on $[0,1]$, with trivial multiplication for all $f \in C[0,1]$, we let $Df = f(\frac{1}{2})$, then $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, and $\ker D$ fails to be a band [DV, p. 12]. Also, the plane E^2 with the usual coordinatewise addition and trivial multiplication admits positive endomorphisms that are nilpotent. (For example, let $T(a,b) = (0,a)$ for all $(a,b) \in E^2$).

Theorem 10(c) generalizes [CDK, Theorem 7] where it is shown that $\ker D$ contains the supremum of any set of elements bounded above by some integral multiple of the identity element.

As in [P], we let $I_0(A) = \{a \in A : n|a| \leq x \text{ for some } x \in A^+ \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Clearly $I_0(A)$ is an ℓ -ideal and $I_0(A) = \{0\}$ if and only if A is archimedean.

12. THEOREM. Suppose $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

(a) If A is reduced, then $D(A^2) \subset I_0(A)$.

(b) If A has an identity element, then $D(A) \subset I_0(A)$. If, moreover, A is reduced and $I_0(A) \subset U(A)$, then $D = 0$.

PROOF. (a) follows immediately from Theorem 4 and the fact that $ab \leq (a \vee b)^2$ whenever $a, b \in A^+$.

(b) That $D(A) \subset I_0(A)$ is a restatement of Lemma 10(c). If $I_0(A) \subset U(A)$, then by Theorem 10(c), $D^2(A) \subset D(U(A)) = \{0\}$. Hence if A is reduced, then $D = 0$ by Theorem 10(b).

13. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS.

(a) The reader may easily verify for the f -ring R of Example 2, $I_0(R) = I_2$, while $(xD)(R) = R$. So the hypothesis in Theorem 12(b) that A has an identity element may not be dropped if we wish to have $D(A) \subset I_0(A)$.

(b) Let S denote the ring of all functions of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{r_i}$$

where a_i is an integer and r_i is a nonnegative rational number, ordered lexicographically, with the coefficient of the largest power of x dominating. Then $I_0(S) = S$, and $U(A)$ is the set of constant polynomials. So, the condition of Theorem 12(b) fails. Despite this, $D \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ implies $D = 0$.

For if $D \in \mathcal{D}(S)$, then $D(x) = D((x^{1/2})^2) = 2x^{1/2}D((x^{1/4})^2) = 4x^{3/4}D((x^{1/8})^2) = \dots = 2^n x^{1-1/2n}D(x^{1/2n})$. Hence $2^n |D(x)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since the coefficients of any element of S are integers, it follows that $D(x) = 0$. A similar argument will show that $x^r \in \ker D$ whenever r is a nonnegative rational number. It follows that $D = 0$.

We do not, however, know of any such example that is an algebra over an ordered field. If S^* is the result of allowing the coefficients of the elements of S to be arbitrary rational numbers, and we let $D(x^r) = rx^r$ for any positive rational number r , then D is a positive derivation. To see why, map x^r to e^{rx} and note that S^* is isomorphic as an ordered ring to a subring of the ring of exponential polynomials, and the usual derivative on the latter maps the image of S^* into itself.

Our last result applies more general theorems and techniques of Herstein [H₁] [H₂] to the context of positive derivations.

14. THEOREM. Suppose A is reduced and $D \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

(a) If $D \neq 0$, then the ring S generated by $\{Da : a \in A\}$ contains a nonzero ideal of A .

(b) If S is commutative, then S is contained in the center of A .

(c) If $z \in A$ commutes with every element of S , $(az - za) \in \ker D$ for every $a \in A$. If, in addition, A is totally ordered and $D \neq 0$, then z is in the center of A .

PROOF. (a) It is shown in [H₁] that the conclusion holds for any derivation on any ring if $D^3 \neq 0$. Since A is reduced, $D^3 \neq 0$ implies $D \neq 0$ by Theorem 10(b).

(b) Suppose $a \in S$ and $x \in A$. Then

$$0 = (Da)D(ax) - D(ax)(Da) = Da[ax + (Da)x] - [ax + (Da)x]Da = Da[(Da)x - x(Da)].$$

By [H₃, Lemma 1.1.4], Da is in the center of A .

(c) The second statement is shown in [H₂], and the first follows immediately from the second and Theorem 10(b).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [BKW] A. Bigard, K. Keimel and S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et Anneaux Reticulés*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 608, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [CDK] P. Colville, G. Davis and K. Keimel, *Positive derivations on f-rings*, J. Austral Math. Soc. 23 (1977), 371-375.
- [DV] E. De Jonge and A. Van Rooij, *Introduction to Riesz Spaces*, Mathematical Center Tracts 78, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [H1] I. Herstein, *A note on derivations*, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978), 369-370.
- [H2] _____, *A note on derivations II*, *ibid.*, 22 (1979), 509-511.
- [H3] _____, *Rings with Involution*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1976.
- [LZ] W. Luxemburg and A. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces I*, North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1971.

[PJ] J. Pairó, *Yosida-Fukamiya's theorem for f-rings*,
pre-print.

HARVEY MUDD COLLEGE
CLAREMONT, CALIFORNIA 91711

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY
KENT, OHIO 44242

PITZER COLLEGE
CLAREMONT, CALIFORNIA 91711