## **Claremont Colleges [Scholarship @ Claremont](http://scholarship.claremont.edu)**

[All HMC Faculty Publications and Research](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_fac_pub) [HMC Faculty Scholarship](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_faculty)

1-1-1965

# Minimal Projective Extensions of Compact Spaces

Melvin Henriksen *Harvey Mudd College*

Meyer Jerison *Purdue University*

### Recommended Citation

Henriksen, M., and M. Jerison. "Minimal projective extensions of compact spaces." Duke Mathematical Journal 32.2 (1965): 291–295. DOI:10.1215/S0012-7094-65-03229-1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact [scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.](mailto:scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu)

#### **MINIMAL PROJECTIVE EXTENSIONS OF COMPACT** SPACES

#### By M. HENRIKSEN AND M. JERISON

A compact space  $E$  is called *projective* if for each mapping  $\psi$  of  $E$  into a compact space *X*, and each continuous mapping  $\tau$  of a compact space *Y onto X*, there is a continuous mapping  $\phi$  of *E* into *Y* such that  $\psi = \tau \circ \phi$ . Gleason proved in [1] that a compact space *E* is projective if and only if it is extremally disconnected. (A topological space *E* is *extremally disconnected* if the closure of each of its open sets is open. It is well known that *E* is extremally disconnected if and only if the Boolean algebra of open and closed subsets of *E* is complete.) **Gleason showed, moreover, that for each compact space** *X,* **there is a unique** compact extremally disconnected space  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ , and a continuous mapping  $\pi_x$  of  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  onto X such that no proper closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  is mapped by  $\pi_x$  onto X. (An alternate development of Gleason's results is given by Rainwater in [2].) We call  $\mathfrak{g}(X)$  the *minimal projective extension* of X; it can be described as follows.

Let *R(X)* denote the family of regular closed subsets of *X.* (A closed subset of X is called *regular* if it is the closure of its interior.) Then  $R(X)$  is a complete Boolean algebra if we define for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in  $R(X)$ 

$$
\alpha \vee \beta = \alpha \cup \beta; \alpha \wedge \beta = \text{cl int }(\alpha \cap \beta).
$$

Note that the Boolean complement  $\alpha^*$  of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$
\alpha^* = \mathrm{cl}(X \sim \alpha).
$$

The space  $\mathfrak{K}(X)$  is the Stone space of  $R(X)$ . That is, the points of  $\mathfrak{K}(X)$  are the prime ideals of  $R(X)$ , and a base for the topology of  $R(X)$  is the family of sets  $\{P \in \mathfrak{R}(X) : \alpha \neq P\}$ ,  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .

The mapping  $\pi_x$  is defined by letting  $\pi_x(P) = \bigcap \{ \alpha \in R(X) : \alpha \notin P \}$  for each  $P \in \mathfrak{R}(X)$ .

1. LEMMA. *The mapping*  $\alpha \to \pi_X^{-1}(\alpha)$  *is an isomorphism of*  $R(X)$  *onto the Boolean algebra of open and closed subsets of*  $\mathbb{R}(X)$ .

From Gleason's theorems we deduce quickly the following induced mapping theorem which motivates this paper.

2. THEOREM. Let  $\tau$  be a continuous mapping of a compact space Y onto X. *Then there exists* a *continuous* mapping  $\bar{\tau}$  of  $\Re(Y)$  *onto*  $\Re(X)$  *such that*  $\tau \circ \pi_Y =$  $\pi_X \circ \bar{\tau}$ . Thus the following diagram is commutative.

**Received December 18, 1963. The authors were supported (in part) by the National Science Foundation.**



*Proof.* Since  $\tau \circ \pi_Y$  maps  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  into X, and  $\pi_X$  maps  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  onto X, the fact that  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  is projective implies the existence of a continuous mapping  $\bar{\tau}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$ into  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  such that  $\tau \circ \pi_Y = \pi_X \circ \bar{\tau}$ . Moreover,  $\bar{\tau}[R(Y)] = \tau[Y] = X$ . But no proper closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  is mapping by  $\pi_X$  onto all of X, so  $\tilde{\tau}$  maps  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$ onto  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ .

This paper is devoted to answering the question: When is the mapping **T unique?**

In order to so do, we will make use of the well-known duality between Boolean algebras and their Stone spaces. In particular, we will use the following well **known lemma.**

**3. LEMMA.** *There is a one-one correspondence between the continuous mappingsof*  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  *onto*  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  *and the isomorphisms of*  $R(X)$  *into*  $R(Y)$  *as follows: If*  $\phi$  *is such* a *continuous mapping*, *the corresponding isomorphism*  $f_{\phi}$  *is given by* 

$$
f_{\phi}(\alpha) = \pi_Y \phi^{-1} \pi_X^{-1}(\alpha) \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in R(X).
$$

**This lemma enables us to replace the quest for a condition for uniqueness** of  $\bar{\tau}$  with one for uniqueness of the corresponding isomorphism. To accomplish **this** latter **task**, we must **translate** the condition that  $\tau \circ \pi_Y = \pi_X \circ \bar{\tau}$  into one **about the corresponding isomorphism. An immediate consequence of this. commutativity condition and Lemma 3 is that**

(1) 
$$
\tau[f_{\tau}(\alpha)] = \alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in R(X),
$$

so we examine those regular closed subsets of Y mapped onto  $\alpha$  by  $\tau$ .

First, we introduce some notation. For each  $\alpha \in R(X)$ , let  $A(\alpha) = cl(\tau^{-1} \text{ int } \alpha)$ , and  $B(\alpha) = cl(\text{int } \tau^{-1} \alpha)$ . Clearly  $A(\alpha) \subset B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .

**4.** LEMMA. For each  $\alpha \in R(X)$ ,  $\tau[A(\alpha)] = \tau[B(\alpha)] = \alpha$  and  $B(\alpha)$  is the largest *regular closed subset* of Y *mapped* onto  $\alpha$  *by*  $\tau$ .

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

By (1) and the lemma, any candidate for  $f(\alpha)$  must be a subset of  $B(\alpha)$ . Unfortunately, there need be no smallest regular closed subset of *Y* that is mapped by  $\tau$  onto  $\alpha$ . Indeed, if  $\tau$  denotes the projection mapping of the unit square *Y* onto the unit interval *X*, then unless the regular closed subset  $\alpha$  of X is empty, there is *never* a smallest regular closed subset of X that is mapped by  $\tau$  onto  $\alpha$ .

Our next lemma will relate the sets  $A(\alpha)$  and  $B(\alpha)$  via the Boolean structure of *R(Y).*

5. LEMMA. *For any*  $\alpha \in R(X)$ , we have  $(B(\alpha))^* = A(\alpha^*)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\alpha^* = cl(X \sim \alpha) = X \sim \text{int } \alpha$ . So,  $\text{int } \alpha^* = \text{int } (X \sim \text{int } \alpha) =$ 

 $X \sim d$  **int**  $\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  **is** a regular closed set, we have

$$
(2) \quad \text{int } \alpha^* = X \sim \alpha,
$$

and the analogous relation is also valid for members of  $R(Y)$ .

**Now,**

$$
A(\alpha^*) = cl(\tau^{-1} \text{ int } \alpha^*) = cl(\tau^{-1}(X \sim \alpha)) = cl(Y \sim \tau^{-1}\alpha) = Y \sim \text{ int } \tau^{-1}\alpha.
$$

And

$$
(B(\alpha))^* = cl(Y \sim B(\alpha)) = cl(Y \sim cl \text{ int } \tau^{-1}\alpha) = cl \text{ int } (Y \sim \text{ int } \tau^{-1}\alpha)
$$
  
= cl \text{ int } A(\alpha^\*) = A(\alpha^\*).

We can now translate our commutativity conditon on the mapping into a **condition on the corresponding isomorphism.**

6. LEMMA. Let  $\phi$  be a continuous mapping of  $\Re(Y)$  onto  $\Re(X)$ , and let  $f = f_{\phi}$ be the corresponding isomorphism of  $R(X)$  into  $R(Y)$ . Then, the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\tau \circ \pi_Y = \pi_X \circ \phi.$
- (ii)  $f(\alpha) \subset B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .
- (iii)  $A(\alpha) \subset f(\alpha) \subset B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .

*Proof.* From (i), we have  $\tau[f(\alpha)] = \alpha$ , which implies (ii) by Lemma 4. Suppose that (ii) holds. Then  $f(\alpha) = f(\alpha^{**}) = f(\alpha^*)^* \supset B(\alpha^*)^* = A(\alpha^{**}) =$  $A(\alpha)$  by Lemma 5, so (iii) holds.

Obviously, (iii) implies (ii).

If (i) does not hold, there is  $p \in \mathcal{R}(Y)$  such that  $x = (\pi_X \circ \phi)(p) \neq (\tau \circ \pi_Y)(p) =$ x'. Let  $\alpha \in R(X)$  contain x but not x'. Since  $p \in \phi^{-1} \pi_X^{-1}(\alpha)$ , we have  $\pi_Y(p) \in f(\alpha)$ by Lemma 3. Then  $\tau[f(\alpha)]$  contains  $\tau[\pi_Y(p)] = x'$ , which does not belong to  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $f(\alpha)$  is not contained in  $B(\alpha)$ . Thus (ii) implies (i).

7. THEOREM. Given a continuous mapping  $\tau$  of a compact space Y onto a compact space X, there is a unique continuous mapping  $\bar{\tau}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  onto  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ satisfying  $\tau \circ \pi_Y = \pi_X \circ \bar{\tau}$  if and only if  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .

Proof. Sufficiency follows immediately from Lemma 6. Suppose, conversely, that there is  $\alpha \in R(X)$  such that  $A(\alpha) \neq B(\alpha)$ . We will use this to construct distinct mappings  $\bar{\tau}'$  and  $\bar{\tau}''$  satisfying the condition of the theorem. Since  $A(\alpha)$  and  $B(\alpha)$  are in  $R(Y)$ , by Lemma 1, the sets  $\pi_Y^{-1}[A(\alpha)]$  and  $\pi_Y^{-1}[B(\alpha)]$ are open and closed sets, and the first is properly contained in the second. Let  $G = \pi_Y^{-1}[B(\alpha)] \sim \pi_Y^{-1}[A(\alpha)]$  and note that this is a nonempty open and closed subset of  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$ . Moreover, by  $(2)$ ,

(3) 
$$
\tau[B(\alpha) \sim A(\alpha)] \subset \tau[\tau^{-1}\alpha \sim \tau^{-1}(\text{int }\alpha)]
$$

$$
= \alpha \sim \text{int }\alpha \subset \alpha \sim (X \sim \alpha^*) = \alpha \cap \alpha^* .
$$

So,

$$
(\tau \circ \pi_Y)[G] = [B(\alpha) \sim A(\alpha)] \subset \alpha \cap \alpha^*.
$$

Consider the mapping  $\sigma': G \to \alpha$  defined by letting  $\sigma'(p) = (\tau \circ \pi_Y)(p)$  for all p  $\epsilon$  G, and the mapping  $\pi_X \mid (\pi_X^{-1}\alpha)$ . Since the latter maps  $\pi_X^{-1}\alpha$  onto  $\alpha$  and **since** *G* **is extremally disconnected, there exists, by Gleason's theorem, a continuous** mapping  $\bar{\sigma}'$  :  $G \to \pi_X^{-1} \alpha$  such that  $\pi_X \circ \bar{\sigma}' = \sigma'$ . Likewise, the mappings  $\sigma'': G \to \alpha$  defined by  $\sigma''(p) = (\tau \circ \pi_Y)(p)$  and  $\pi_X \mid (\pi_X^{-1} \alpha^*)$  yield a mapping  $\sigma''$  **·**  $G \rightarrow \pi_X^{-1} \alpha$  such that  $\pi_X \circ \sigma'' = \sigma''$ . Now by Theorem 2, there exists a mapping  $\bar{\tau}$  that satisfies the commutativity condition. We define mappings  $\tilde{\tau}'$  and  $\tilde{\tau}''$  of  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  onto  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$  to agree with  $\tilde{\tau}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}(Y) \sim G$  and to agree with  $\bar{\sigma}'$  and  $\bar{\sigma}''$ , respectively, on G.

It is routine to check that  $\pi_X \circ \tilde{\tau}' = \tau \circ \pi_Y = \pi_X \circ \tilde{\tau}''$ . Moreover  $\tilde{\tau}'$  and  $\tilde{\tau}''$ **are** distinct. For,  $\bar{\tau}'[G] \subset \pi_X^{-1} \alpha$  while  $\bar{\tau}''[G] \subset \pi_X^{-1} \alpha^*$  and, since  $\alpha \wedge \alpha^* = \phi$ ,  $(\pi_X^{-1} \alpha) \cap (\pi_X^{-1} \alpha^*) = \phi.$ 

It seems natural to seek conditions on the mapping which insure that  $A(\alpha) =$  $B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ . One such is given by

8. PROPOSITION. If, for every nonempty open subset U of Y, int  $\tau[U]$  is nonempty, then  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ .

*Proof.* If  $A(\alpha)$  is properly contained in  $B(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in R(X)$ , then, by (3) above,  $B(\alpha) \sim A(\alpha)$  is a nonempty open set whose image has empty interior.

It follows that  $\tilde{\tau}$  is unique in case  $\tau$  is open or  $\tau$  maps no proper closed subspace of  $Y$  onto  $X$ . For, as is noted in [3], this latter condition is equivalent to the **condition** that every nonempty open subset of Y contains the inverse image of a nonempty open subset of *X.* Indeed, *r* is then a homeomorphism. For the commutativity condition implies that *r* maps no proper closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{R}(Y)$  onto  $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ , and any such mapping onto an extremally disconnected space is a homeomorphism [1].

The condition  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  can hold independently of  $\tau$ . In particular, **if**  $\alpha$  is open and closed,  $A(\alpha) = cl$  int  $\tau^{-1}\alpha = cl\tau^{-1}\alpha = \tau^{-1}\alpha = B(\alpha)$ , irrespective of the mapping  $\tau$ . Thus, if every member of  $R(X)$  is open as well as closed, precisely, if X is extremally disconnected, then  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ . The statement of Theorem 7 that the mapping  $\bar{\tau}$  is uniquely determined by  $\tau$ in this case is hardly surprising, since  $\pi_x$  is then a homeomorphism.

This remark enables us to show that the converse of Proposition 8 is false. Let X be any compact extremally disconnected space (e.g.,  $X = \beta N$ , the Stone-Cech compactification of the countable discrete space) and let *Y* be the topological sum of X and any compact space T. Let  $\tau$  map X identically onto itself, and let  $\tau$  map  $T$  onto any nonisolated point of  $X$ . The image of the open set  $T$ has empty interior, but  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$  since X is extremally disconnected.

As we noted above,  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  for any open and closed set  $\alpha$ . Indeed  $\{\alpha \in R(X) : A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)\}\$ is a subalgebra of  $R(X)$ , which will be substantial

in size in case  $X$  is totally disconnected. But, for any compact space  $X$ , it is possible to find a space Y and a mapping r of Y onto X such that  $A(\alpha) \neq B(\alpha)$ unless  $\alpha$  is open. (In particular, if X is connected,  $A(\alpha) = B(\alpha)$  will imply that  $\alpha = \phi$  or  $\alpha = X$ .) Simply let D denote the discrete space whose points are those of X, let  $Y = \beta D$ , and let  $\tau$  denote the Stone extension of the identity map of D onto X. Then, if  $x \in (\alpha \sim \text{int } \alpha)$ , the point *x*, regarded as a point of D, is isolated in  $\beta D$  and belongs to  $B(\alpha) \sim A(\alpha)$ .

In case the conditions of Theorem 7 are satisfied, the isomorphism  $f_{\overline{r}}$  is given by the formula  $f_{\bar{r}}(\alpha) = B(\alpha) = A(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R(X)$ . If  $\bar{r}$  is not unique, however, neither the mapping  $\alpha \to A(\alpha)$  nor the mapping  $\alpha \to B(\alpha)$  is an **isomorphism.**

#### **REFERENCES**

- **1. A. M. Gleason,** *Projective topological spaces,* **Illinois Journal, vol. 2(1958), pp. 482-489.**
- **2. J. RAINWATER,** *A note on projective resolutions,* **Proceedings of the American l\1athematical** Society, vol. 10(1959), pp. 734-735.
- **3. E. WEINBERG,** *Higher degrees of distributivity in lattices of continuous functions,* **thesis, Purdue University, 1961, unpublished.**

**PURDUE UNIVERSITY**