

1-1-1953

# On Rings of Entire Functions of Finite Order

Melvin Henriksen  
*Harvey Mudd College*

---

## Recommended Citation

Henriksen, Melvin. "On rings of entire functions of finite order." *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society* 17 (1953): 59-61.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact [scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu](mailto:scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu).

## REFERENCES

1. R. P. BOAS : Fundamental sets of entire functions, *Annals of Math.* 47 (1946), 21-32.
2. R. C. BUCK : On the distribution of the zeros of an entire function, *Jour. Indian Math. Soc.* 16 (1952), 147-149.
3. S. M. SHAH : A note on uniqueness sets for entire functions, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 28 (1948), 1-8.
4. S. M. SHAH : The maximum term of an entire series (III), *Quarterly Jour. Math.* 19 (1948), 220-223.

Madras Christian College  
Tambaram

## ON RINGS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF FINITE ORDER

By MELVIN HENRIKSEN

[Received March 26, 1953]

In 1940, Helmer showed [1, Theorem 9] that in the ring  $R$  of entire functions, every finitely generated ideal is principal. That is, if  $f, g$  are entire functions without zeros in common, there exist  $s, t$  in  $R$  such that

$$sf + tg = 1. \quad (1)$$

He asked if this theorem is true in the ring  $R^*$  of all entire functions of finite order. A negative answer to this question existed already in 1936 in a paper of Whittaker [7, p. 256]. In particular if the zeros  $(a_n), (b_n)$  of  $f, g$  respectively are not sufficiently separated as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the equation (1) cannot hold with  $s, t$  in  $R^*$ . In 1940, making use of results of [7], Mursi showed [6] that if there is an  $h > \max(\text{ord } f, \text{ord } g)$  such that the circles  $S(a_n, |a_n|^{-h})$  with center  $a_n$  and radius  $|a_n|^{-h}$  intersect none of the corresponding circles  $S(b_m, |b_m|^{-h})$ , then (1) holds with both  $\text{ord } s$  and  $\text{ord } t$  no greater than  $\max(\text{ord } f, \text{ord } g)$ .

In an earlier paper [2], the author showed that if  $M$  is any maximal ideal of  $R$ , the residue class field  $R/M$  is isomorphic with the complex field  $K$ . In this paper, under some restrictions, this theorem is extended to the ring  $R_\lambda$  of all entire functions of order no greater than  $\lambda$ , and hence to  $R^*$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $i_n(f, n)$  be the number of zeros of  $f$  contained in  $S(a_n, |a_n|^{-h})$ , where a zero of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times.

THEOREM. Let  $M'$  be a maximal ideal of  $R_\lambda$  containing a function  $f$  such that  $i_n(f, n)$  is bounded for some  $h$ . Then  $R_\lambda/M'$  is isomorphic with  $K$ .

The proof proceeds as in [2, Theorem 6]. It is easily seen that  $R_\lambda/M'$  has degree of transcendency  $c$  (where  $c$  is the cardinal number of the continuum) over the rational field. By a well-known theorem of Steinitz, it is only necessary to show that  $R_\lambda/M'$  is algebraically closed.

Since a maximal ideal of any integral domain is prime, there is a  $g$  in  $M'$  such that  $i_h(g, n) = 1$ , for all  $n$ . In particular, all the zeros ( $b_n$ ) of  $g$  are simple. Let  $\Phi(z, X) = X^m + f_1(z) X^{m-1} + \dots + f_m(z)$  be a polynomial with coefficients in  $R_\lambda/M'$ , of degree  $m > 0$ . For each  $n$ ,  $\Phi(b_n, X)$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $K$ , which has  $m$  complex roots. Choose any such and call it  $\delta_n$ . It is well known that  $|\delta_n| < 1 + \max(|f_1(b_n)|, \dots, |f_m(b_n)|)$ . Since the order of the  $f_i$ , and the exponent of convergence of ( $b_n$ ) do not exceed  $\lambda$ , it follows from a theorem of Macintyre and Wilson [5, Theorem 4] (also obtained independently by Leont'ev [4]) that there is a  $t$  in  $R_\lambda$  such that  $t(b_n) = \delta_n$ . So  $\Phi(z, t(z))$  is in  $M'$ , whence the theorem.

REMARKS: 1. The author does not know if there is a maximal ideal in  $R_\lambda$  that fails to satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem.

2. There exist prime ideals of  $R_\lambda$  and  $R^*$  that fail to satisfy this hypothesis. For, the set  $B$  of elements of  $f$  of  $R_\lambda$  (or  $R^*$ ) with  $i_h(f, n)$  bounded for some  $h$ , is closed under multiplication. Hence, one can construct, with the aid of Zorn's lemma, prime ideals not intersecting  $B$ . See also [3].

3. If, in the theorem  $R_\lambda$  is replaced by  $R^*$ , the constant  $h$  in the definition of  $i_h(f, n)$  can be replaced by a positive, increasing function of  $|z|$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h(|a_n|)}{\log |a_n|}$  is finite. See [5, Theorem 5].

#### REFERENCES

1. O. HELMER: Divisibility properties of integral functions, *Duke Math. Jour.* 6(1940), 345-356.
2. M. HENRIKSEN: On the ideal structure of the ring of entire functions, *Pacific Jour. Math.* 2(1952), 179-184.

3. M. HENRIKSEN: On the prime ideals of the ring of entire functions, to appear, *Pacific Jour. Math.* 3(1953).
4. A. F. LEONT'EV: On interpolation in the class of entire functions of finite order (Russian), *Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR (N.S.)* 61(1948), 785-787.
5. A. J. MACINTYRE and R. WILSON: On the order of interpolated integral functions and of meromorphic functions with given poles *Quart. Jour. Math.* 5(1934), 211-220.
6. M. MURSI: An identity in integral functions, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt* 1, no. 4(1940), 14-16.
7. J. M. WHITTAKER: A theorem on meromorphic functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 40(1936), 255-272.

Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana, U. S. A.