# **Claremont Colleges [Scholarship @ Claremont](http://scholarship.claremont.edu)**

[Pomona Faculty Publications and Research](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/pomona_fac_pub) [Pomona Faculty Scholarship](http://scholarship.claremont.edu/pomona_faculty)

1-1-2015

# Toeplitz determinants with perturbations in the corners

Albrecht Böttcher *Technische Universitat Chemnitz*

Lenny Fukshansky *Claremont McKenna College*

Stephan Ramon Garcia *Pomona College*

Hiren Maharaj *Clemson University*

#### Recommended Citation

Böttcher, A., Fukshansky, L., Garcia, S.R., Maharaj, H., Toeplitz determinants with perturbations in the corners, Journal of Functional Analysis 268 (2015), 171-193.

This Article - preprint is brought to you for free and open access by the Pomona Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pomona Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact [scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu](mailto:scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu).

# Toeplitz determinants with perturbations in the corners

Albrecht Böttcher, Lenny Fukshansky, Stephan Ramon Garcia, Hiren Maharaj

The paper is devoted to exact and asymptotic formulas for the determinants of Toeplitz matrices with perturbations by blocks of fixed size in the four corners. If the norms of the inverses of the unperturbed matrices remain bounded as the matrix dimension goes to infinity, then standard perturbation theory yields asymptotic expressions for the perturbed determinants. This premise is not satisfied for matrices generated by socalled Fisher-Hartwig symbols. In that case we establish formulas for pure single Fisher-Hartwig s[i](#page-1-0)[n](#page-1-1)[g](#page-1-2)ularities and for Hermitian matrices induced by general Fisher-Hartwig symbols.

### 1 Introduction

This paper was prompted by a problem from lattices associated with finite Abelian groups. This problem, which will be described in Section [2,](#page-3-0) led to the computation of the determinant of the  $n \times n$  analogue  $A_n$  of the matrix

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
A_6 = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 \end{array}\right).
$$
 (1)

It turns out that  $\det A_n = (n+1)^3$ . What makes the matter captivating is that the determinant of the  $n \times n$  version  $T_n$  of

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
T_6 = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 \end{array}\right) \tag{2}
$$

is a so-called pure Fisher-Hartwig determinant. The latter determinant is known to be

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
\frac{(n+1)(n+2)^2(n+3)}{12}.
$$
 (3)

MSC 2010: Primary 47B35; Secondary 15A15, 15B05

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Keywords: Toeplitz matrix, Toeplitz determinant, Fisher-Hartwig symbol

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>Fukshansky acknowledges support by Simons Foundation grant #279155, Garcia acknowledges support by NSF grant DMS-1265973.

This formula was established in [\[3\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222388483_Toeplitz_matrices_and_determinants_with_Fisher-Hartwig_symbols?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==). See also [\[5,](#page-19-1) Theorem 10.59] or [\[6\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2110074_Two_Elementary_Derivations_of_the_Pure_Fisher-Hartwig_Determinant?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==). We were intrigued by the question why the perturbations in the corners lower the growth from  $n^4$  to  $n^3$ .

The general context is as follows. Every complex-valued function  $a \in L^1$  on the unit circle T has well-defined Fourier coefficients

$$
a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

and generates the infinite Toeplitz matrix  $T(a) = (a_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^{\infty}$ . The principal  $n \times n$ truncation of this matrix is denoted by  $T_n(a)$ . Thus,  $T_n(a) = (a_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^n$ . The function a is usually referred to as the symbol of the infinite matrix  $T(a)$  and of the sequence  ${T_n(a)}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . For example, matrix [\(2\)](#page-1-3) is just  $T_6(a)$  with

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
a(t) = t^{-2} - 4t^{-1} + 6 - 4t + t^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right)^{2} (1 - t)^{2} = |1 - t|^{4}, \tag{4}
$$

where here and in the following  $t = e^{i\theta}$ . The function  $a(t) = |1 - t|^4$  has a zero on the unit circle and therefore the classical Szegő limit theorem cannot be used to compute det  $T_n(a)$  asymptotically. Fortunately,  $a(t) = |1 - t|^4$  is a special pure Fisher-Hartwig symbol, and for such symbols the determinants are known both exactly and asymptotically.

In Section [3](#page-4-0) we consider the determinants of perturbations of  $T_n(a)$  under the assumption that the norms of the inverses of  $T_n(a)$  remain bounded as  $n \to \infty$ . In that case, under mild additional conditions, the determinants of the unperturbed matrices are asymptotically given by Szegő's strong limit theorem.

The (standard) techniques of Section [3](#page-4-0) do not work for so-called Fisher-Hartwig symbols. This class of symbols was introduced by Fisher and Hartwig in [\[10\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229516015_Advances_in_Chemical_Physics_Stochastic_Processes_in_Chemical_Physics_Volume_15?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) in connection with several problems of statistical physics. Paper [\[7\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229430325_Toeplitz_Matrices_and_Toeplitz_Determinants_under_the_Impetus_of_the_Ising_Model_Some_History_and_Some_Recent_Results?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) contains a very readable exposition of the entire story up to the recent developments. See also the books [\[4\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265460993_Silbermann_B_Introduction_to_Large_Truncated_Toeplitz_Matrices_Springer_New_York?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) and [\[5\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/37070209_Analysis_of_Toeplitz_Operators?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==). A pure Fisher-Hartwig symbol is of the form  $a(t) = (1-t)^{\gamma}(1-1/t)^{\delta}$ . In particular, symbol [\(4\)](#page-2-0) is of this form with  $\gamma = \delta = 2$ . Determinants of perturbed Toeplitz matrices with pure Fisher-Hartwig symbols are studied in Section [4.](#page-8-0) Among other things, we there give an explanation of the growth drop from  $n^4$  to  $n^3$  when replacing [\(2\)](#page-1-3) by [\(1\)](#page-1-4). In Section [5](#page-15-0) we consider the very general case of symbols  $a \in L<sup>1</sup>$  which are nonnegative a.e. on the unit circle and whose logarithm  $\log a$  is also in  $L^1$ . We there show that the quotient of the perturbed and unperturbed determinants approaches a limit as  $n \to \infty$ and we determine this limit. The class of symbols treated in Section [5](#page-15-0) includes the general positive Fisher-Hartwig symbols  $a(t) = |t_1 - t|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |t_r - t|^{2\alpha_r} b(t)$  where the  $t_j$ are distinct points on T, the  $\alpha_i$  are real numbers in  $(-1/2, 1/2)$ , and b is a sufficiently smooth and strictly positive function on  $T$ .

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>2 The lattice of a cyclic group

The idea behind paper [\[11\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259657743_Lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) is to associate a lattice with an elliptic curve and then to connect arithmetic properties of the curve with geometric properties of the lattice. The lattices obtained in this way are generated by finite Abelian (additively written) groups  $G = \{0, P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$  and are of the form

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n, -x_1 - \cdots - x_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1} : x_1 P_1 + \cdots + x_n P_n = 0\}.
$$
 (5)

One may think of these lattices as full rank sublattices of the well-known family of root lattices

$$
\mathcal{A}_n := \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n, -x_1 - \cdots - x_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1} : x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbf{Z} \}.
$$

A fundamental quantity of every lattice is its determinant (i.e., the volume of a fundamental domain). Papers [\[1\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263545076_On_Lattices_Generated_by_Finite_Abelian_Groups?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) and [\[19\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263091622_On_the_lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) contain a simple, purely group-theoretic argument which shows that the determinant of the lattice [\(5\)](#page-3-1) equals  $(n + 1)^{3/2}$ . In particular, the determinant depends only on the order of the group. As shown in [\[1\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263545076_On_Lattices_Generated_by_Finite_Abelian_Groups?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==), this result can also be derived in a completely elementary fashion via the computation of (usual) determinants. Here is this computation in the simple case where  $G$  is the cyclic group of order  $n + 1$ . The corresponding lattice is

$$
\mathcal{L}_n := \{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n, -x_1 \cdots - x_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1} : x_1 + 2x_2 + \cdots + nx_n = 0 \text{ modulo } n+1 \}.
$$

The rank of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_n$  is n, and in [\[1\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263545076_On_Lattices_Generated_by_Finite_Abelian_Groups?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) it is proved that the columns of the  $(n+1) \times n$  matrix

$$
B_n = \left( \begin{array}{ccccc} -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)
$$

form a basis of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_n$ . The determinant of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is known to be  $\sqrt{\det(B_n^{\top}B_n)}$ , and  $B_n^{\top} B_n$  is just the matrix  $A_n$  we encountered in the introduction. Thus, the calculation of the determinant of the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is equivalent to the computation of the determinant of the matrix  $A_n$ .

Applying the Cauchy-Binet formula, we may write

$$
\det A_n = \det (B_n^\top B_n) = (\det C_1)^2 + (\det C_2)^2 + \cdots + (\det C_{n+1})^2,
$$

where  $C_i$  results from  $B_n$  by deleting the jth row. Expanding det  $C_i$  along the last row and using the fact that the determinant of the  $k \times k$  tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix with  $-2$  on the main diagonal and 1 on the two neighboring diagonals is  $(-1)^k (k+1)$ , it follows that each det  $C_i$  equals  $\pm (n + 1)$ . Consequently,

$$
\det A_n = (n+1) \cdot (n+1)^2 = (n+1)^3,
$$

as desired.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>3 The tame case

We now turn to Toeplitz determinants and their perturbations. Suppose the symbol  $a$ is a piecewise continuous function, that is, the one-sided limits  $a(t \pm 0)$  exist for each  $t \in \mathbf{T}$ . Let  $a^{\sharp}$  be the continuous curve in the plane that results from the range of a by filling in the line segments  $[a(t-0), a(t+0)]$  for each t where a makes a jump. A famous theorem of Gohberg [\[12\]](#page-19-8) (see also [\[4,](#page-19-5) Corollary 2.19] or [\[13,](#page-19-9) Theorem IV.4.1]) says that if the curve  $a^{\sharp}$  does not pass through the origin and has winding number zero about the origin, then the infinite matrix  $T(a)$  generates a bounded and invertible operator on  $\ell^2$ , the truncations  $T_n(a)$  are invertible for all sufficiently large n, and the inverses  $T_n^{-1}(a) := [T_n(a)]^{-1}$  converge strongly to the inverse  $T^{-1}(a) := [T(a)]^{-1}$ . To be more precise,

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
T_n^{-1}(a)P_nx
$$
 converges in  $\ell^2$  to  $T^{-1}(a)x$  for every  $x \in \ell^2$ , (6)

where  $P_n$  is the projection  $P_n: \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0, \ldots\}.$ 

Let  $E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}$  be four  $m_0 \times m_0$  matrices. For  $n \geq 2m_0$ , we denote by  $E_n$  the  $n \times n$  matrix with the matrices  $E_{ik}$  in the corners and zeros elsewhere,

$$
E_n = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} E_{11} & 0 & E_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_{21} & 0 & E_{22} \end{array}\right).
$$

If  $T(a)$  is invertible, then the operator  $T^{-1}(a)$  is given by an infinite matrix in the natural fashion. We denote the entries of  $T^{-1}(a)$  by  $c_{jk}$  and let  $S_{11} = (c_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{m_0}$  stand for the upper-left  $m_0 \times m_0$  block of  $T^{-1}(a)$ ,

$$
T^{-1}(a) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1,m_0} & \dots \\ \dots & & \dots & \dots \\ c_{m_0,1} & \dots & c_{m_0,m_0} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} S_{11} & * \\ * & * \end{array}\right).
$$

Let  $W_m$  be the  $m \times m$  counter-identity matrix, that is,  $W_m$  has ones on the counterdiagonal and zeros elsewhere. Given an  $m \times m$  matrix B, we denote by  $\widetilde{B}$  the matrix  $W_mBW_m$ . Recall that  $B^{\top}$  stands for the transposed matrix. Toeplitz matrices enjoy the property that  $[T_n(a)]^{\sim} = [T_n(a)]^{\top} = T_n(\tilde{a})$ , where  $\tilde{a}$  is the function defined by  $\widetilde{a}(t) = a(1/t), t \in \mathbf{T}.$ 

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Theorem 3.1** Let a be piecewise continuous and suppose  $a^{\sharp}$  does not contain the origin and has winding number zero about the origin. Then

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\det(T_n(a) + E_n)}{\det T_n(a)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right].
$$
 (7)

*Proof.* We know that  $T_n(a)$  is invertible for sufficiently large n, in which case

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\det(T_n(a) + E_n) = \det T_n(a) \det(I + T_n^{-1}(a)E_n).
$$
 (8)

We write  $T_n^{-1}(a)$  as

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
T_n^{-1}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11}^{(n)} & * & S_{12}^{(n)} \\ * & * & * \\ S_{21}^{(n)} & * & S_{22}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (9)

with  $m_0 \times m_0$  matrices  $S_{jk}^{(n)}$ . From [\(6\)](#page-4-1) we infer that if I is the  $m_0 \times m_0$  identity matrix, then

$$
\begin{pmatrix} S_{11}^{(n)} \\ * \\ S_{21}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = T_n^{-1}(a) \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow T^{-1}(a) \begin{pmatrix} I \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} \\ * \end{pmatrix}.
$$

This implies that  $S_{11}^{(n)} \to S_{11}$  and  $S_{21}^{(n)} \to 0$ . (Here we are dealing with convergence of  $m_0 \times m_0$  matrices, which may be understood entry-wise.) We further have

$$
T_n^{-1}(\widetilde{a}) = W_n T_n^{-1}(a) W_n = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{S}_{22}^{(n)} & * & \widetilde{S}_{21}^{(n)} \\ * & * & * \\ \widetilde{S}_{12}^{(n)} & * & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}
$$

and

$$
[T_n^{-1}(a)]^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} [S_{11}^{(n)}]^{\top} & * & [S_{21}^{(n)}]^{\top} \\ * & * & * \\ [S_{12}^{(n)}]^{\top} & * & [S_{22}^{(n)}]^{\top} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since  $T_n^{-1}(\widetilde{a}) = [T_n^{-1}(a)]^{\top}$ , we see that  $\widetilde{S}_{22}^{(n)} = [S_{11}^{(n)}]^{\top}$  and  $\widetilde{S}_{12}^{(n)} = [S_{21}^{(n)}]^{\top}$ . From what was already proved we therefore obtain that  $S_{12}^{(n)} \to 0$  and  $S_{22}^{(n)} = [\widetilde{S}_{11}^{(n)}]^\top \to \widetilde{S}_{11}^\top$ . The matrix  $I + T_n^{-1}(a)E_n$  equals

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nI + S_{11}^{(n)} E_{11} + S_{12}^{(n)} E_{21} & 0 & S_{11}^{(n)} E_{12} + S_{12}^{(n)} E_{22} \\
0 & I & 0 \\
S_{21}^{(n)} E_{11} + S_{22}^{(n)} E_{21} & 0 & I + S_{21}^{(n)} E_{12} + S_{22}^{(n)} E_{22}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

and hence  $\det(I + T_n^{-1}(a)E_n)$  is equal to

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\det\left(\begin{array}{cc} I + S_{11}^{(n)} E_{11} + S_{12}^{(n)} E_{21} & S_{11}^{(n)} E_{12} + S_{12}^{(n)} E_{22} \\ S_{21}^{(n)} E_{11} + S_{22}^{(n)} E_{21} & I + S_{21}^{(n)} E_{12} + S_{22}^{(n)} E_{22} \end{array}\right).
$$
(10)

This goes to the limit

$$
\det\begin{pmatrix} I + S_{11}E_{11} & S_{11}E_{12} \ S_{11}^{\top}E_{21} & I + \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top}E_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \det\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The assertion is now straightforward from  $(8)$ .  $\Box$ 

The curve  $a^{\sharp}$  has a natural orientation. Under the assumption of Theorem [3.1,](#page-4-3) we may associate an argument to each point of  $a^{\sharp}$  such that this argument changes continuously as the point moves along the curve. The restriction of this argument to the points in the range of a defines an argument and thus a logarithm  $\log a$  of a. Note that if a itself is continuous, then  $\log a$  is also a continuous function on the unit circle. Let  $(\log a)_k$ denote the kth Fourier coefficient of  $log a$ . The geometric mean of a is defined by

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
G(a) = \exp(\log a)_0 = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log a(e^{i\theta}) d\theta\right). \tag{11}
$$

<span id="page-6-3"></span>It is well known that the  $(1,1)$  entry of  $T^{-1}(a)$  is just  $1/G(a)$ ; see, e.g., [\[5,](#page-19-1) Prop.  $10.6(b)$ .

**Example 3.2** Suppose  $m_0 = 1$ , that is, suppose  $T_n(a)$  has at most perturbations by four scalars  $E_{jk}$  in its four corners. Then  $S_{11} = S_{11}^{\top} = c_{11} = 1/G(a)$  and the right-hand side of [\(7\)](#page-4-4) becomes

$$
\det\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{G(a)} \left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right)\right].\tag{12}
$$

For

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right),
$$

this is

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{G(a)}\right)^2
$$
,  $1-\frac{1}{G(a)^2}$ ,  $\frac{2}{G(a)}+\frac{1}{G(a)^2}$ ,

respectively. The limit [\(7\)](#page-4-4) is zero if and only if  $G(a)$  is an eigenvalue of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix −  $\begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ .

If the symbol a is continuous, then the curve  $a^{\sharp}$  is simply the range  $a(\mathbf{T})$ . Now suppose that  $\alpha$  is sufficiently smooth, say

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^{\lambda} |a_k| < \infty,\tag{13}
$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ . The set of all a satisfying [\(13\)](#page-6-0) is a weighted Wiener algebra and will be denoted by  $W^{\lambda}$ . If  $\lambda > 1/2$  and if a has no zeros on the unit circle and winding number zero about the origin, then the asymptotic behavior of the determinants det  $T_n(a)$  is described by Szegő's strong limit theorem. This theorem says that

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\det T_n(a) = G(a)^n E(a)(1 + o(1))
$$
\n(14)

where  $G(a)$  is given by [\(11\)](#page-6-1) and  $E(a)$  is defined by

$$
E(a) = \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(\log a)_{-k} (\log a)_k.
$$

Formula [\(14\)](#page-6-2) may also be written in the form

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \det T_n \left( \frac{a}{G(a)} \right) = E(a).
$$

In other words, after appropriate normalization the determinants approach a finite and nonzero limit as their order increases to infinity. In [\[5,](#page-19-1) Corollary 10.38] it is shown that the  $o(1)$  in [\(14\)](#page-6-2) is  $o(1/n^{2\lambda-1})$ .

<span id="page-7-1"></span>The following result is a refinement of Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) for smooth symbols.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $a \in W^{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda > 1/2$  and suppose a has no zeros on the unit circle and winding number zero about the origin. Then

$$
\frac{\det(T_n(a) + E_n)}{\det T_n(a)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda}}\right).
$$

Proof. We adopt the notations of the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-4-3) From Theorem 2.15 of  $[4]$  we see that  $S_{11}^{(n)} = S_{11} + O(1/n^{\lambda})$  (entry-wise). It follows that  $S_{22}^{(n)} = [\widetilde{S}_{11}^{(n)}]^{\top} =$  $S_{11}^{\dagger} + O(1/n^{\lambda})$ . Let  $\ell_{\lambda}^2$  be the weighted  $\ell^2$  space of all sequences x satisfying

$$
||x||_{2,\lambda} := \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\lambda} |x_n|^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty.
$$

Theorem 7.25 of [\[5\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/37070209_Analysis_of_Toeplitz_Operators?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) implies that if  $x \in \ell^2_\lambda$ , then  $T^{-1}(a)x \in \ell^2_\lambda$  and

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
||T_n^{-1}(a)P_nx - T^{-1}(a)x||_{2,\lambda} \to 0.
$$
\n(15)

Let  $T_n^{-1}(a) = (c_{jk}^{(n)})_{j,k=1}^n$ . The kth column of  $S_{12}^{(n)}$  is  $(c_{n-m_0+1,k}^{(n)}, \ldots, c_{n,k}^{(n)})^{\top}$ , while the last  $m_0$  components of the kth column of  $T^{-1}(a)$  are  $c_{n-m_0+1,k}, \ldots, c_{n,k}$ .

Let  $e_k$  be the sequence which has 1 in position k and zeros elsewhere. The convergence result [\(15\)](#page-7-0) with  $x = e_k$  shows that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m_0} (n - m_0 + j)^{2\lambda} |c_{n-m_0+j,k}^{(n)} - c_{n-m_0+j,k}|^2 \to 0.
$$

This implies that  $(n - m_0 + j)^{2\lambda} |c_{n-m_0+j,k}^{(n)} - c_{n-m_0+j,k}|^2 \to 0$  and hence

$$
c_{n-m_0+j,k}^{(n)} = c_{n-m_0+j,k} + o(1/n^{\lambda}).
$$

Since  $T^{-1}(a)e_k \in \ell^2_\lambda$ , we also have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\lambda} |c_{n,k}|^2 < \infty$ , which yields

$$
c_{n-m_0+j,k} = o(1/n^{\lambda}).
$$

Consequently,  $c_{n-m_0+j,k}^{(n)} = o(1/n^{\lambda})$  and thus  $S_{12}^{(n)} = O(1/n^{\lambda})$ . This in turn tells us that  $S_{21}^{(n)} = [\widetilde{S}_{12}^{(n)}]^\top = o(1/n^{\lambda}).$  In summary, the determinant [\(10\)](#page-5-0) is

$$
\det\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{cc} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right)\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lambda}}\right),\,
$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Example 3.4** Let  $a(t) = (1 - \mu t)(1 - \nu/t)$  with  $|\mu| < 1, |\nu| < 1$ . The  $n \times n$  versions of the matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1+\mu\nu & -\nu & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu & 1+\mu\nu & -\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+\mu\nu & -\nu \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & 1+\mu\nu \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1+\mu\nu & -\nu & 0 & 1 \\ -\mu & 1+\mu\nu & -\nu & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & 1+\mu\nu & -\nu \\ 1 & 0 & -\mu & 1+\mu\nu \end{array}\right),
$$

are  $T_n(a)$  and  $T_n(a)+E_n$ . We have  $G(a)=1$  and  $E(a)=1/(1-\mu\nu)$ , and hence Szegő's strong limit theorem tells us that det  $T_n(a)$  has the limit  $1/(1-\mu\nu)$ . Theorem [3.3](#page-7-1) may be applied with arbitrarily large  $\lambda$ . Since  $G(a) = 1$  is an eigenvalue of

$$
-\left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array}\right),
$$

Example [3.2](#page-6-3) and Theorem [3.3](#page-7-1) predict that  $\det(T_n(a) + E_n)/\det T_n(a)$  goes to zero faster than an arbitrary power of  $1/n$ . In fact it is easy to compute the determinants exactly. We have

$$
\det T_n(a) = \frac{1 - (\mu \nu)^{n+1}}{1 - \mu \nu},
$$
  
\n
$$
\det (T_n(a) + E_n) = (1 + \mu \nu)^2 (\mu \nu)^{n-1} + \mu^{n-1} + \nu^{n-1}.
$$

This shows that the quotient  $\det(T_n(a) + E_n)/\det T_n(a)$  actually decays exponentially fast to zero.  $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>4 The pure Fisher-Hartwig singulaity

The symbol  $a(t) = (1-t)^{\gamma}(1-1/t)^{\delta}$  is referred to as the pure Fisher-Hartwig singularity. Here  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are complex numbers. We define

$$
\xi_{\delta}(t) := (1 - 1/t)^{\delta} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{\delta}{k} t^{-k},
$$
  

$$
\eta_{\gamma}(t) := (1 - t)^{\gamma} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{\gamma}{k} t^k
$$

and may then write  $a = \xi_{\delta} \eta_{\gamma}$ . Throughout what follows we assume that the real parts of  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta + \gamma$  are greater than -1. This guarantees that  $\xi_{\delta}$ ,  $\eta_{\gamma}$ , and  $\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}$  are in  $L^1$ . Note that the symbol [\(4\)](#page-2-0), which belongs to the  $n \times n$  versions of matrix [\(2\)](#page-1-3), is the pure Fisher-Hartwig singularity  $a = \xi_2 \eta_2$ .

As shown in [\[5,](#page-19-1) Lemma 6.18], the kth Fourier coefficient of  $\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}$  is

$$
(-1)^{k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta+n+1)\Gamma(\gamma-n+1)}
$$

in case neither  $\delta + n + 1$  nor  $\gamma - n + 1$  is a nonpositive integer and is equal to zero if  $\delta + n + 1$  or  $\gamma - n + 1$  is a nonpositive integer. The determinants of  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  are known both exactly and asymptotically. Section 10.58 and Theorem 10.59 of [\[5\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/37070209_Analysis_of_Toeplitz_Operators?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) tell us that

<span id="page-9-6"></span>
$$
\det T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \frac{G(1+\delta)G(1+\gamma)}{G(1+\delta+\gamma)} \frac{G(n+1)G(n+1+\delta+\gamma)}{G(n+1+\delta)G(n+1+\gamma)}
$$
(16)

$$
= \frac{G(1+\delta)G(1+\gamma)}{G(1+\delta+\gamma)} n^{\delta\gamma} (1+o(1)), \qquad (17)
$$

<span id="page-9-5"></span>where  $G(z)$  is the Barnes function. We see in particular that  $T_n(\xi \in \eta_\gamma)$  is invertible for every  $n \geq 1$ . We write  $T_n^{-1}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = (c_{jk}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}))_{j,k=1}^n$ .

**Theorem 4.1** For each fixed j,

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
c_{jn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(j)} n^{\delta-\gamma-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_j(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})}{2n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)
$$
(18)

with

$$
p_j(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = (\delta - j)(\delta - j - 1) + \delta(\delta - 1) - (\delta + \gamma)(\delta + \gamma - 1) - j(j - 1)
$$

and

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
c_{n-j,n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \frac{\Gamma(j+\delta)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(j+1)} \left(1 + \frac{q_j(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})}{2n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)
$$
(19)

with

$$
q_j(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})=(\gamma-j)(\gamma-j-1)+\delta(\delta-1)-(\delta+\gamma)(\delta+\gamma-1)-(j+1)j.
$$

Furthermore, again for each fixed j,

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
c_{j1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = c_{n-j+1,n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta}), \quad c_{n-j,1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = c_{j+1,n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta}). \tag{20}
$$

Proof. The key is the Duduchava-Roch formula, which can be found as Theorem 6.20 in  $[5]$ ; see also equalities (7.87) and (7.88) of  $[5]$ .<sup>[§](#page-9-0)</sup> This formula says that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
T_n^{-1}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \Gamma_{\delta,\gamma} M_{\gamma} T_n(\xi_{-\delta}) M_{\gamma+\delta}^{-1} T_n(\eta_{-\gamma}) M_{\delta}, \qquad (21)
$$

where  $\Gamma_{\delta,\gamma} = \Gamma(1+\delta)\Gamma(1+\gamma)/\Gamma(1+\delta+\gamma)$ ,  $M_{\alpha}$  stands for the diagonal matrix

$$
M_{\alpha} = \text{diag}(\mu_1(\alpha), \dots, \mu_n(\alpha)), \quad \mu_k(\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(k+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)\Gamma(k)},
$$

 $T_n(\xi_\delta)$  is the upper-triangular Toeplitz matrix whose first row is

$$
((\xi_{-\delta})_0,\ldots,(\xi_{-\delta})_{n-1}) \quad \text{with} \quad (\xi_{-\delta})_k = \frac{\Gamma(k+\delta)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(k+1)},
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>The formula was obtained by Duduchava in the case  $\gamma + \delta = 0$  in his 1974 paper [\[9\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267083508_The_discrete_Wiener-Hopf_equations?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==). In 1984, Steffen Roch established the formula in the general case. With Roch's permission, it was published in [\[3\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222388483_Toeplitz_matrices_and_determinants_with_Fisher-Hartwig_symbols?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) for the first time. See [\[5,](#page-19-1) pp. 320–321] for more on the story.

and  $T_n(\eta_\gamma)$  is the lower-triangular Toeplitz matrix with the first column

$$
((\eta_{-\gamma})_0,\ldots,(\eta_{-\gamma})_{n-1})^{\top} \quad \text{with} \quad (\eta_{-\gamma})_k = \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(k+1)}.
$$

Let  $e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)^\top$ . Using [\(21\)](#page-9-1) it is easily seen that the jth component of the column  $T_n^{-1}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})e_n$  is

$$
c_{jn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \Gamma_{\delta,\gamma}(\xi_{-\delta})_{n-j}(\eta_{-\gamma})_{0} \frac{\mu_{j}(\gamma)\mu_{n}(\delta)}{\mu_{n}(\delta+\gamma)}.
$$

Inserting the above expressions for the pieces on the right we obtain

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
c_{jn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = \frac{\Gamma(j+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(j)} \frac{\Gamma(n-j+\delta)\Gamma(n+\delta)}{\Gamma(n-j+1)\Gamma(n+\delta+\gamma)}.
$$
\n(22)

Stirling's formula gives

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n)} = n^{\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right)
$$
 (23)

for every complex number  $\alpha$ . Fixing j in [\(22\)](#page-10-0), dividing numerator and denominator of [\(22\)](#page-10-0) by  $\Gamma(n)^2$ , and using [\(23\)](#page-10-1) we arrive at [\(18\)](#page-9-2). Replacing j by  $n - j$  in [\(22\)](#page-10-0) we get

$$
c_{n-j,n}^{(n)} = \frac{\Gamma(j+\delta)}{\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n-j+\gamma)\Gamma(n+\delta)}{\Gamma(n-j)\Gamma(n+\delta+\gamma)}.
$$

Making again use of  $(23)$ , we obtain  $(19)$  for each fixed j.

The numbers [\(18\)](#page-9-2) and [\(19\)](#page-9-3) are the upper and lower components of the last column of  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$ , that is, of the column x given by  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})x = e_n$ . The entries in the first column of  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  are the entries of the column y defined by  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})y = e_1 :=$  $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)^\top$ . With the counter-identity  $W_n$  we therefore have  $W_nT_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})W_nW_ny =$  $W_ne_1 = e_n$ , and since  $W_nT_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})W_n = T_n(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta})$ , it follows that  $T_n(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta})W_ny = e_n$ . This proves  $(20)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 4.2** The proof of Theorem [3.1](#page-4-3) shows that if the symbol  $a$  is as in this theorem, then the lower-left and upper-right entries of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$  always approach zero as  $n \to \infty$ . In Section [5](#page-15-0) we will see that this also happens if  $a \in L^1$ ,  $a \geq 0$  almost everywhere on the unit circle, and  $log a \in L<sup>1</sup>$ . However, Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) reveals that in general the lower-left and upper-right entries of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$  need not to converge to zero. Indeed, from [\(18\)](#page-9-2) we infer that the upper-right entries of  $T_n^{-1}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  decay to zero only if Re  $\delta$  – Re  $\gamma$  < 1, and combining [\(18\)](#page-9-2) and [\(20\)](#page-9-4) we see that the lower-left entries of  $T_n^{-1}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  go to zero only if Re  $\gamma$  – Re  $\delta$  < 1. Thus, both the lower-left and upper-right entries converge to zero only if  $|Re \gamma - Re \delta| < 1$ . Pure Fisher-Hartwig symbol are a nice tool to get a first feeling for several phenomena concerning Toeplitz matrices and in particular for disproving conjectures on such matrices!  $\Box$ 

Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) is all we need to tackle the case  $m_0 = 1$ , that is, the case where  $T_n(\xi \delta \eta_\gamma)$ has at most four scalar perturbations in the corners. From [\(8\)](#page-4-2) and [\(10\)](#page-5-0) we infer that if the  $E_{jk}$  are scalars, then

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\det(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)}{\det T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})} = \det\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})\\c_{n1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}E_{11} & E_{12}\\ E_{21} & E_{22}\end{pmatrix}\right].
$$
 (24)

Example 4.3 Suppose

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right).
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\det(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)}{\det T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})} = \det\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) \\ c_{n1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\det\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 + c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) \\ c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & 1 + c_{n1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) \end{array}\right),
$$

and by virtue of [\(20\)](#page-9-4), this equals

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\det\left(\begin{array}{cc}1+c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta})\\c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) & 1+c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta})\end{array}\right).
$$
\n(25)

We take only the main term of [\(18\)](#page-9-2) for  $j = 1$ , and we take [\(19\)](#page-9-3) for  $j = 0$ , in which case  $q_0(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) = q_0(\xi_{\gamma}\eta_{\delta}) = -2\delta\gamma$ . Then [\(25\)](#page-11-0) becomes

$$
\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta)} n^{\delta-\gamma-1} + O(n^{\operatorname{Re}\delta-\operatorname{Re}\gamma-2}) & 1 - \frac{\delta\gamma}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ 1 - \frac{\delta\gamma}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) & 1 + \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} n^{\gamma-\delta-1} + O(n^{\operatorname{Re}\gamma-\operatorname{Re}\delta-2}) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{26}
$$

This is

$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta)}n^{\delta-\gamma-1} + O(n^{\operatorname{Re}\delta - \operatorname{Re}\gamma - 2}) \quad \text{for} \quad \operatorname{Re}\delta \ge \operatorname{Re}\gamma + 1
$$

and

$$
\frac{\Gamma(1+\gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta)}n^{\delta-\gamma-1}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\quad\text{for}\quad \mathrm{Re}\,\gamma+1>\mathrm{Re}\,\delta>\mathrm{Re}\,\gamma.
$$

We know that  $\det T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  is asymptotically a constant times  $n^{\delta\gamma}$ . It follows that  $\det(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)$  is asymptotically a constant times

$$
n^{\delta \gamma} n^{\delta - \gamma - 1} = n^{(\delta - 1)(\gamma + 1)}
$$

provided Re  $\delta > \text{Re }\gamma$ . In the case where Re  $\delta < \text{Re }\gamma$ , we may pass to transposed matrices, which does not change determinants but changes the roles of  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  and therefore shows that then  $\det(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma}) + E_n)$  is asymptotically a constant times

$$
n^{\delta \gamma} n^{\gamma - \delta - 1} = n^{(\gamma - 1)(\delta + 1)}.
$$

In summary, if  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$  are positive real numbers, in which case det  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  grows with n, then

- det $(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)$  grows faster than det  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  if  $\delta > \gamma + 1$  or  $\delta < \gamma 1$ ,
- det $(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)$  grows slower than det  $T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$  if  $\gamma-1<\delta<\gamma+1$ ,
- det $(T_n(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})+E_n)$  decays to zero if  $\gamma < 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ .  $\Box$

The case  $\delta = \gamma$  is especially nice and therefore deserves a separate treatment by the following corollary. We have  $\xi_{\alpha}(t)\eta_{\alpha}(t) = |1-t|^{2\alpha}$ . Recall that we require Re  $\alpha > -1/2$ and that for  $\alpha = 2$  we get the symbol [\(4\)](#page-2-0). For a square matrix A, we abbreviate det A to  $|A|$ .

<span id="page-12-4"></span>**Corollary 4.4** If the  $E_{jk}$  are scalars, then  $\det(T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha) + E_n)/\det T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha)$  is

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 1+E_{11} & E_{12} & +\frac{\alpha}{n} \left( E_{12}+E_{21}-\alpha(E_{11}+E_{22})-2\alpha & E_{11} & E_{12} \ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \end{array}
$$

If in particular

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right),\tag{27}
$$

then

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

<span id="page-12-3"></span>
$$
\frac{\det(T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha) + E_n)}{\det T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha)} = \frac{2\alpha(\alpha+1)}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \tag{28}
$$

Proof. From Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) we deduce that

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = c_{n1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)
$$
 (29)

and

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right). \tag{30}
$$

Thus, [\(24\)](#page-11-1) equals

$$
\left| \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) & \frac{\alpha}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \\ \frac{\alpha}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) & 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array} \right) \right|,
$$

which can be simplified to the asserted expression.  $\Box$ 

When restricted to the present context, Theorem 5 of [\[18\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) says that

$$
c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha}{n}(1+o(1)), \quad c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{n}\right)(1+o(1)).
$$

The second formula is probably misstated in [\[18\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) and should correctly read

$$
c_{11}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{n}(1 + o(1)).
$$

Clearly, these formulas are close to but nevertheless weaker than [\(29\)](#page-12-0) and [\(30\)](#page-12-1).

**Example 4.5** We write  $a_n \sim b_n$  if  $a_n/b_n \to 1$ . Combining [\(17\)](#page-9-6) and the corollary we see that the two corner perturbations given by [\(27\)](#page-12-2) lead to

$$
\det(T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha) + E_n) \sim \frac{G(1+\alpha)^2}{G(1+2\alpha)} 2\alpha(\alpha+1) n^{\alpha^2-1}
$$

.

.

Thus, the exponent  $\alpha^2$  is indeed lowered by 1. If k is a positive integer then  $G(k)$  =  $(k-2)! \dots 2!1!$  with  $G(2) = G(1) = 1$ . We so obtain in particular

$$
\det T_n(\xi_1 \eta_1) \sim n, \quad \det(T_n(\xi_1 \eta_1) + E_n) \sim 4,
$$
  
\n
$$
\det T_n(\xi_2 \eta_2) \sim \frac{n^4}{12}, \quad \det(T_n(\xi_2 \eta_2) + E_n) \sim n^3,
$$
  
\n
$$
\det T_n(\xi_3 \eta_3) \sim \frac{n^9}{8640}, \quad \det(T_n(\xi_3 \eta_3) + E_n) \sim \frac{n^8}{360}
$$

We can of course also compute the determinants exactly. Formula [\(22\)](#page-10-0) provides us with an exact expression for  $c_{jn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\delta}\eta_{\gamma})$ . It implies that

$$
c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = \alpha \frac{\Gamma(n-1+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+2\alpha)}, \quad c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+2\alpha)}.
$$

For  $\alpha = 1$ , this gives

$$
c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_1 \eta_1) = \frac{n}{n+1}, \quad c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_1 \eta_1) = \frac{1}{n+1},
$$

and inserting this in [\(25\)](#page-11-0) we obtain

$$
\left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} & \frac{n}{n+1} \\ \frac{n}{n+1} & 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \end{array} \right| = \frac{4}{n+1}.
$$

Since det  $T_n(\xi_1\eta_1) = n+1$  due to [\(16\)](#page-9-6), it follows that  $\det(T_n(\xi_1\eta_1) + E_n) = 4$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Analogously, for  $\alpha = 2$  we have

$$
c_{1n}^{(n)}(\xi_2 \eta_2) = \frac{2n}{(n+2)(n+3)}, \quad c_{nn}^{(n)}(\xi_2 \eta_2) = \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)(n+3)}
$$

and hence the determinant [\(25\)](#page-11-0) equals

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n1 + \frac{2n}{(n+2)(n+3)} & \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)(n+3)} \\
\frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)(n+3)} & 1 + \frac{2n}{(n+2)(n+3)}\n\end{vmatrix} = \frac{12(n+1)^2}{(n+2)^2(n+3)}.
$$

The determinant det  $T_n(\xi_2\eta_2)$  is [\(3\)](#page-1-5) by virtue of [\(16\)](#page-9-6). Consequently,

$$
\det(T_n(\xi_2\eta_2) + E_n) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)^2(n+3)}{12} \cdot \frac{12(n+1)^2}{(n+2)^2(n+3)} = (n+1)^3
$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . Similarly,

$$
\det T_n(\xi_3 \eta_3) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)^2(n+3)^3(n+4)^2(n+5)}{8640}
$$

for  $n \geq 1$  and

$$
\det(T_n(\xi_3\eta_3) + E_n) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)^2(n+3)[(n+2)^2+1][(n+2)^2+2]}{360}
$$

for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\Box$ 

To treat the case  $m_0 \geq 2$ , we need the matrices  $S_{jk}^{(n)}$  in [\(9\)](#page-5-1). Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) provides us with the first and last entries of the first and last columns of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$ . The entries in the four corners  $S_{jk}^{(n)}$  of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$  can therefore be computed with the help of the Gohberg-Sementsul-Trench formula [\[14](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238284198_On_inversion_of_finite-section_Toeplitz_matrices_and_their_continuous_analogues?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)[\],](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242432842_On_the_inversion_of_finite_Toeplitz_matrices_and_their_continuous_analogues_in_Russian?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [\[21\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242373114_An_Algorithm_for_the_Inversion_of_Finite_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==). This formula says that if

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n1}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1n}^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ c_{nn}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}
$$
(31)

are the first and last columns of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$  and  $x_1 \neq 0$ , then

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
T_n^{-1}(a) = \frac{1}{x_1} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_n & \cdots & y_1 \\ \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{x_1} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ y_{n-1} & \cdots & y_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} & \cdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (32)
$$

where  $x_{n+1} := 0$  and  $y_0 := 0$ . A full proof is also in [\[15,](#page-19-12) p. 21]. If  $S_{jk}^{(n)}$  has a limit  $S_{jk}$ , then [\(10\)](#page-5-0) implies that

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\det(T_n(a) + E_n)}{\det T_n(a)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right].
$$
 (33)

<span id="page-14-4"></span>**Example 4.6** Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) applied to  $a = \xi_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}$  shows that, for fixed j,

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
c_{j1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = c_{n-j+1,n}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) \to c_j := \binom{\alpha+j-2}{j-1},
$$
\n(34)

$$
c_{jn}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) = c_{n-j+1,1}^{(n)}(\xi_{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha}) \to 0. \tag{35}
$$

It follows that  $S_{12}^{(n)}$  and  $S_{21}^{(n)}$  converge to zero, and formula [\(32\)](#page-14-0) implies that  $S_{11}^{(n)}$  goes to

$$
S_{11} = \frac{1}{c_1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} c_1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ c_{m_0} & \dots & c_1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} c_1 & \dots & c_{m_0} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & c_1 \end{array} \right).
$$

Since  $T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha)$  is symmetric, we see that  $S_{22}^{(n)} \to \widetilde{S}_{11}$ . Thus, formula [\(33\)](#page-14-1) becomes

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\det(T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha) + E_n)}{\det T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right].
$$
 (36)

If  $m_0 = 1$ , then  $S_{11} = (1)$ , and for the matrix  $(27)$  we get

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\det(T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha) + E_n)}{\det T_n(\xi_\alpha \eta_\alpha)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.
$$

This is correct but weaker than [\(28\)](#page-12-3). Notice that here we used only limits, whereas in order to establish [\(28\)](#page-12-3) we worked with finer asymptotics. In the case  $m_0 = 2$  we have

$$
S_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 1 + \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{S}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha^2 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

.

Theorem [4.1](#page-9-5) provides us with error terms in [\(34\)](#page-14-2) and [\(35\)](#page-14-2) and thus with finer results in the case where the right-hand side of [\(36\)](#page-15-1) is zero. However, we will not embark on this issue here.  $\square$ 

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>5 General Hermitian Fisher-Hartwig determinants

We first embark on the general case where  $a \in L^1$ ,  $a \ge 0$  almost everywhere on **T**, and  $\log a \in L<sup>1</sup>$ . Fisher-Hartwig symbols are a special case and will be considered in the examples at the end of this section. The constant  $G(a)$  defined by [\(11\)](#page-6-1) is a finite and strictly positive real number. Let

$$
\log a(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\log a)_k t^k, \quad t \in \mathbf{T}.
$$

For  $|z| < 1$ , we define

$$
a_+(z) = \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log a)_k z^k
$$

and

$$
a_+^{-1}(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log a)_k z^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_+^{-1})_k z^k.
$$

Simon [\[20,](#page-20-3) p. 144] defines the Szegő function associated with  $a$  as

$$
D(z) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log a(e^{i\theta}) d\theta\right) = \exp\left(\frac{(\log a)_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\log a)_k z^k\right).
$$

<span id="page-15-2"></span>Note that this is just the outer function whose modulus on **T** is  $|a|^{1/2}$ . Clearly,  $a_+(z)$  $G(a)^{-1/2}D(z)$ . Our assumptions imply that  $T_n(a)$  is a positive definite (Hermitian) matrix for every  $n \geq 1$ . We put  $T_n^{-1}(a) = (c_{jk}^{(n)})_{j,k=1}^n$  and abbreviate  $c_{j1}^{(n)}$  $_{j1}^{\left( n\right) }$  to  $c_{j}^{\left( n\right) }$  $j^{(n)}$ . Thus,  $(c_1^{(n)}$  $\binom{n}{1}, \ldots, c_n^{(n)}$ <sup>T</sup> is the first column of  $T_n^{-1}(a)$ .

**Theorem 5.1** For each fixed  $j \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} c_j^{(n)} = \frac{1}{G(a)} (a_+^{-1})_{j-1}, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} c_{n-j+1}^{(n)} = 0.
$$
\n(37)

Proof. The polynomial

$$
\Phi_{n-1}(z) = \frac{1}{\overline{c}_1^{(n)}} (\overline{c}_n^{(n)} + \dots + \overline{c}_2^{(n)} z^{n-2} + \overline{c}_1^{(n)} z^{n-1})
$$

is known as the predictor polynomial of a. By virtue of [\[20,](#page-20-3) Theorem 1.5.12], it is the  $n-1$ st monic orthogonal polynomial on the unit circle  $z = e^{i\theta}$  associated with the measure  $d\mu(\theta) = \log a(e^{i\theta}) d\theta/(2\pi)$ . Let  $\|\Phi_{n-1}\|$  be its norm in  $L^2(\mathbf{T}, d\mu)$  and put

$$
\varphi_{n-1}(z) = \frac{1}{\|\Phi_{n-1}\|} \Phi_{n-1}(z) = \kappa_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \text{lower order powers.}
$$

Thus,  $\varphi_{n-1}(z) = \kappa_{n-1} \Phi_{n-1}(z)$ . By [\[20,](#page-20-3) Theorem 1.5.11(b)], we have

$$
\kappa_{n-1}^2 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha_j|^2} = \frac{\det T_{n-1}(a)}{\det T_n(a)} = c_1^{(n)},
$$

where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots$  are the Verblunsky coefficients, and Szegő's theorem [\[20,](#page-20-3) Theorem 2.3.1] says that

$$
\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|^2) = G(a).
$$

It follows that  $\kappa_{n-1} \to G(a)^{-1/2}$  and  $c_1^{(n)} \to 1/G(a)$ . By [\[20,](#page-20-3) Theorem 2.4.1(iv)], the polynomials

$$
\varphi_{n-1}^*(z) = z^{n-1} \overline{\varphi_{n-1}(1/\overline{z})} = \frac{\kappa_{n-1}}{c_1^{(n)}} (c_1^{(n)} + \dots + c_n^{(n)} z^{n-1})
$$

converge uniformly on compact subsets of the unit disk  $|z| < 1$  to the function  $D(z)^{-1} =$  $G(a)^{-1/2}a_+^{-1}(z)$ . This implies that the coefficient of  $z^{j-1}$  in  $\varphi_{n-1}^*(z)$  converges to the coefficient of  $z^{j-1}$  in  $D(z)^{-1} = G(a)^{-1/2} a_+^{-1}(z)$ , that is,

$$
\frac{\kappa_{n-1}c_j^{(n)}}{c_1^{(n)}} \to \frac{1}{G(a)^{1/2}}(a_+^{-1})_{j-1}.
$$

Taking into account that  $\kappa_{n-1} \to G(a)^{-1/2}$  and  $c_1^{(n)} \to 1/G(a)$ , we conclude that  $c_j^{(n)} \to (a_+^{-1})_{j-1}/G(a).$ 

To prove the second equality of  $(37)$ , we employ the Szeg $\ddot{\circ}$  recursion

$$
\Phi_n(z) = z\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \overline{\alpha}_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z);
$$

see [\[20,](#page-20-3) Theorem 1.5.2]. Written out this reads

$$
\frac{1}{c_1^{(n+1)}} (\overline{c}_{n+1}^{(n+1)} + \dots + \overline{c}_1^{(n+1)} z^n)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{z}{\overline{c}_1^{(n)}} (\overline{c}_n^{(n)} + \dots + \overline{c}_1^{(n)} z^{n-1}) - \frac{\overline{\alpha}_{n-1}}{c_1^{(n)}} (c_1^{(n)} + \dots + c_n^{(n)} z^{n-1})
$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $z^0$  we obtain

$$
\frac{\overline{c}_{n+1}^{(n+1)}}{\overline{c}_1^{(n+1)}} = -\overline{\alpha}_{n-1},
$$

and since  $c_1^{(n+1)} \to 1/G(a)$  and  $\alpha_{n-1} \to 0$ , we see that  $c_{n+1}^{(n+1)} \to 0$ . Comparison of the coefficients of z gives

$$
\frac{\overline{c}_{n}^{(n+1)}}{\overline{c}_{1}^{(n+1)}} = \frac{\overline{c}_{n}^{(n)}}{\overline{c}_{1}^{(n)}} - \overline{\alpha}_{n-1} \frac{c_{2}^{(n)}}{c_{1}^{(n)}},
$$

and as  $c_1^{(n)} \to 1/G(a), c_2^{(n)} \to (a_+^{-1})_1/G(a), \alpha_{n-1} \to 0$ , and, by what was just proved,  $c_n^{(n)} \to 0$ , we arrive at the conclusion that  $c_n^{(n+1)} \to 0$ . Proceeding in this way we successively see that  $c_{n-1}^{(n+1)} \to 0$ ,  $c_{n-2}^{(n+1)} \to 0$ , etc. This proves the second assertion in  $(37)$ .  $\square$ 

<span id="page-17-1"></span>Corollary 5.2 Put

$$
S_{11} = \frac{1}{c_1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{m_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{c}_1 & \dots & \overline{c}_{m_0} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \overline{c}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad c_j = \frac{1}{G(a)} (a_+^{-1})_{j-1}.
$$

Then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\det(T_n(a) + E_n)}{\det T_n(a)} = \det \left[ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{S}_{11}^{\top} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right].
$$

*Proof.* Since  $T_n(a)$  is Hermitian, the columns [\(31\)](#page-14-3) are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ c_n^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{c}_n^{(n)} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{c}_1^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Combining Theorem [5.1](#page-15-2) and formula [\(32\)](#page-14-0) we see that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
[S_{11}^{(n)} \to S_{11}, \quad S_{12}^{(n)} \to 0, \quad S_{21}^{(n)} \to 0, \quad S_{22}^{(n)} = [\widetilde{S}_{11}^{(n)}]^\top \to \widetilde{S}_{11}^\top. \tag{38}
$$

The assertion is therefore immediate from [\(33\)](#page-14-1).  $\Box$ 

In  $[8, p. 690]$  and  $[16, Lemma 3.2]$  it is shown that if a is a (real-valued and nonnegative) trigonometric polynomial, then the norms of  $S_{11}^{(n)}, S_{12}^{(n)}, S_{21}^{(n)}, S_{22}^{(n)}$  remain bounded as  $n \to \infty$ . From [\(38\)](#page-17-0) we see that, under the sole assumption that  $a \in L^1$ ,  $a \geq 0$  almost everywhere on **T**, and  $\log a \in L^1$ , these matrices even converge to limits.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>The following two examples concern perturbations of Hermitian Fisher-Hartwig matrices.

**Example 5.3** Let  $a(t) = \xi_{\alpha}(t)\eta_{\alpha}(t)b(t) = |1-t|^{2\alpha}b(t)$  where  $\alpha > -1/2$  is a real number and b is a twice continuously differentiable and strictly positive function on the unit circle. Then

$$
\det T_n(a) \sim G(b)^n n^{\alpha^2} E_*(a)
$$

with some nonzero constant  $E_*(a)$ ; see [\[2,](#page-19-14) Lemma 6.47] and [\[4,](#page-19-5) Theorem 5.44]. In this case Corollary [5.2](#page-17-1) is applicable. We have  $c_j = (\eta_{-\alpha}b_+^{-1})_{j-1}/G(b)$  and hence

$$
c_1 = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 = (b_+^{-1})_1 + \alpha,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3 = (b_+^{-1})_2 + (b_+^{-1})_1\alpha + \alpha(\alpha + 1)/2,
$$
  
\nand so forth.

For the pure singularity, i.e., when  $b(t)$  is identically 1, we get

$$
c_1 = 1
$$
,  $c_2 = \alpha$ ,  $c_3 = \alpha(\alpha + 1)/2$ ,

<span id="page-18-1"></span>and  $S_{11}$  takes the same form as in Example [4.6.](#page-14-4)  $\Box$ 

Example 5.4 Now suppose

$$
a(t) = |t_1 - t|^{2\alpha_1} \cdots |t_r - t|^{2\alpha_r} b(t)
$$

where  $t_i$  are distinct points on T,  $\alpha_i$  are real numbers in  $(-1/2, 1/2)$ , and b is a twice continuously differentiable and strictly positive function on T. This time

$$
\det T_n(a) = G(b)^n n^{\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_r^2} E_{**}(a)
$$

with some nonzero constant  $E_{**}(a)$ ; see [\[4,](#page-19-5) Theorem 5.47]. Corollary [5.2](#page-17-1) is again applicable. If, for example,  $a(t) = |t_1 - t|^{2\alpha_1} |t_2 - t|^{2\alpha_2}$ , then

$$
c_1 = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 = \frac{\alpha_1}{t_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{t_2},
$$
  
\n
$$
c_3 = \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1 + 1)}{2t_1^2} + \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{t_1t_2} + \frac{\alpha_2(\alpha_2 + 1)}{2t_2^2}.
$$

The values for  $c_i$  given in Example [5.3](#page-18-0) can also be derived from [\[18,](#page-20-1) Lemma 1]. Moreover, Theorem 5 of [\[18\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==), with the surmised correction mentioned above after Corollary [4.4,](#page-12-4) gives the second term in the asymptotics of  $c_i^{(n)}$  $j^{(n)}$  for symbols as in Example [5.3.](#page-18-0) In the case of two singularities with the same exponent, that is, for  $a(t) = |t_1 - t|^{2\alpha} |t_2 - t|^{2\alpha} b(t)$  with  $-1/2 < \alpha < 1/2$ , which is a special case of Exam-ple [5.4,](#page-18-1) Theorem 7 of  $[17]$  says that  $c_j^{(n)} = (a_+^{-1})_{j-1}/G(a) + O(1/n)$ , which is stronger than our result  $c_j^{(n)} = (a_+^{-1})_{j-1}/G(a) + o(1)$ .

# <span id="page-19-7"></span>References

- <span id="page-19-14"></span>[1] A. Böttcher, L. Fukshansky, S. R. Garcia, and H. Maharaj, *On lattices generated* [by finite Abelian groups.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263545076_On_Lattices_Generated_by_Finite_Abelian_Groups?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) Preprint, 2014.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[2] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Invertibility and Asymptotics of Toeplitz Matrices*. [Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/246535956_Invertibility_and_Asymptotics_of_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[3] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *[Toeplitz matrices and determinants with Fisher-](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222388483_Toeplitz_matrices_and_determinants_with_Fisher-Hartwig_symbols?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)*Hartwig symbols. [J. Funct. Anal. 63, 178–214 \(1985\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/222388483_Toeplitz_matrices_and_determinants_with_Fisher-Hartwig_symbols?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[4] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Introduction to Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices*. [Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/246527834_Introduction_to_Large_Truncated_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[5] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, Analysis of Toeplitz Operators. Second edition, [Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/37070209_Analysis_of_Toeplitz_Operators?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[6] A. Böttcher and H. Widom, [Two elementary derivations of the pure Fisher-](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2110074_Two_Elementary_Derivations_of_the_Pure_Fisher-Hartwig_Determinant?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)Hartwig determinant. [Integral Equations Operator Theory 53, 593–596 \(2005\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2110074_Two_Elementary_Derivations_of_the_Pure_Fisher-Hartwig_Determinant?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- [7] P. Deift, A. Its, and I. Krasovsky, [Toeplitz matrices and Toeplitz determinants un](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229430325_Toeplitz_Matrices_and_Toeplitz_Determinants_under_the_Impetus_of_the_Ising_Model_Some_History_and_Some_Recent_Results?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)[der the impetus of the Ising model. Some history and some recent results.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229430325_Toeplitz_Matrices_and_Toeplitz_Determinants_under_the_Impetus_of_the_Ising_Model_Some_History_and_Some_Recent_Results?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) Commun. [Pure and Appl. Math. 66, 1360–1438 \(2013\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/229430325_Toeplitz_Matrices_and_Toeplitz_Determinants_under_the_Impetus_of_the_Ising_Model_Some_History_and_Some_Recent_Results?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span><span id="page-19-10"></span>[8] F. Di Benedetto, [Analysis of preconditioning techniques for ill-conditioned Toeplitz](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238831939_Analysis_of_Preconditioning_Techniques_for_Ill-Conditioned_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) matrices. [SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 16, 682–697 \(1995\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238831939_Analysis_of_Preconditioning_Techniques_for_Ill-Conditioned_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- [9] R. V. Duduchava, [The discrete Wiener-Hopf equations.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267083508_The_discrete_Wiener-Hopf_equations?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) Trudy Tbilis. Matem. Inst. [50, 42–59 \(1974\) \[in Russian\].](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267083508_The_discrete_Wiener-Hopf_equations?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[10] M. E. Fisher and R. E. Hartwig, Toeplitz determinants - some applications, theorems, and conjectures. Adv. Chem. Phys. 15, 333–353 (1968).
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[11] L. Fukshansky and H. Maharaj, [Lattices from elliptic curves over finite fields.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259657743_Lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [Finite Fields and Their Applications 28, 67–78 \(2014\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259657743_Lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[12] I. Gohberg, Toeplitz matrices composed of the Fourier coefficients of piecewise continuous functions. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 1, 91-92 (1967) [in Russian].
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[13] I. Gohberg and I. A. Feldman, [Convolution Equations and Projection Methods for](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/245795384_Convolution_equations_and_projection_methods_for_their_solution?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) Their Solution. [Nauka, Moscow, 1971 \[in Russian\].](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/245795384_Convolution_equations_and_projection_methods_for_their_solution?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>[14] I. Gohberg and A. A. Sementsul, [The inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices and](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238284198_On_inversion_of_finite-section_Toeplitz_matrices_and_their_continuous_analogues?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) their continous analogues. [Matem. Issled. 7, 201–223 \(1972\) \[in Russian\].](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238284198_On_inversion_of_finite-section_Toeplitz_matrices_and_their_continuous_analogues?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>[15] G. Heinig and K. Rost, [Algebraic Methods for Toeplitz-Like Matrices and Opera](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242501748_Algebraic_Methods_for_Toeplitz-Like_Matrices_and_Operators?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)tors. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[16] D. Noutsos and P. Vassalos, [New band Toeplitz preconditioners for ill-conditioned](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255589503_New_Band_Toeplitz_Preconditioners_for_Ill-Conditioned_Symmetric_Positive_Definite_Toeplitz_Systems?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [symmetric positive definite Toeplitz systems.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255589503_New_Band_Toeplitz_Preconditioners_for_Ill-Conditioned_Symmetric_Positive_Definite_Toeplitz_Systems?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 23, 728– [742 \(2002\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255589503_New_Band_Toeplitz_Preconditioners_for_Ill-Conditioned_Symmetric_Positive_Definite_Toeplitz_Systems?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[17] P. Rambour, [Asymptotic of the terms of the Gegenbauer polynomial on the unit cir](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257882750_Asymptotic_of_the_terms_of_the_Gegenbauer_polynomial_on_the_unit_circle_and_applications_to_the_inverse_of_Toeplitz_matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)[cle and applications to the inverse of Toeplitz matrices.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257882750_Asymptotic_of_the_terms_of_the_Gegenbauer_polynomial_on_the_unit_circle_and_applications_to_the_inverse_of_Toeplitz_matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [arXiv:1310.4685](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4685) [math.CA] [17 Oct. 2013.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257882750_Asymptotic_of_the_terms_of_the_Gegenbauer_polynomial_on_the_unit_circle_and_applications_to_the_inverse_of_Toeplitz_matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[18] P. Rambour and A. Seghier, [The generalised Dyson circular unitary ensemble:](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues at the origin of](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) the spectrum. Integral [Equations Operator Theory 69, 535–555 \(2011\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/227210392_The_Generalised_Dyson_Circular_Unitary_Ensemble_Asymptotic_Distribution_of_the_Eigenvalues_at_the_Origin_of_the_Spectrum?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[19] Min Sha, [On the lattices from ellptic curves over finite fields.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263091622_On_the_lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) arXiv:1406.3086 $v2$ [\[math.NT\] 20 June 2014.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263091622_On_the_lattices_from_elliptic_curves_over_finite_fields?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span>[20] B. Simon, [Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1: Classical Theory.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220026672_Orthogonal_polynomials_on_the_unit_circle_Part_1_Classical_theory?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) [Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220026672_Orthogonal_polynomials_on_the_unit_circle_Part_1_Classical_theory?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)
- [21] W. F. Trench, [An algorithm for the inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242373114_An_Algorithm_for_the_Inversion_of_Finite_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==) J. Soc. [Indust. Appl. Math. 12, 515–522 \(1964\).](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242373114_An_Algorithm_for_the_Inversion_of_Finite_Toeplitz_Matrices?el=1_x_8&enrichId=rgreq-34c1a4c76a6a9d5376ce1570d0a6e106-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2ODc1MjI0NjtBUzoyNTM1MzU4NTgxOTY0ODBAMTQzNzQ1OTA2NzI0OA==)

A. Böttcher, Fakultät für Mathematik, TU Chemnitz, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany aboettch@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

L. Fukshansky, Department of Mathematics, Claremont McKenna College, 850 Columbia Ave, Claremont, CA 91711, USA lenny@cmc.edu

S. R. Garcia, Department of Mathematics, Pomona College, 610 N. College Ave, Claremont, CA 91711, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu, URL: <http://pages.pomona.edu/~sg064747/>

H. Maharaj, 8543 Hillside Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701, USA hmahara@g.clemson.edu

[View publication stats](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268752246)