

1-1-1999

An Inverse Function Theorem

Alfonso Castro
Harvey Mudd College

J. W. Neuberger
University of North Texas

Recommended Citation

Castro, A. and J.W. Neuberger. "An inverse function theorem", *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 221 (1999), 127-132.

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

AN INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM

Alfonso Castro and J.W. Neuberger

Abstract

In this note we present a local surjectivity result which is applicable to differential equations for which full boundary conditions may not be known. Our method uses continuous steepest descent and Sobolev gradients.

The main purpose of this note is the proof of the following.

Main Theorem. *Suppose that each of H and K is a Hilbert space, $r > 0$, $Q > 0$, F is a C^1 function from H to K which has a locally Lipschitzian derivative and $F(0) = 0$. Finally suppose that there is $c > 0$ such that if $u \in H$, $\|u\| \leq r$, and $g \in K$, $\|g\|_K = 1$, then*

$$\langle F'(u)v, g \rangle_K \geq c, \text{ for some } v \in H \text{ with } \|v\|_H \leq Q. \quad (1)$$

If $y \in K$ and $\|y\|_K < \frac{rc}{Q}$, then

$$u = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) \text{ satisfies } F(u) = y \text{ and } \|u\|_H \leq r$$

where z is the unique function from $[0, \infty)$ to H so that

$$z(0) = 0, \quad z'(t) = -F'(z(t))^*(F(z(t)) - y), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

From condition (1) we have that if $u \in H$, $\|u\| \leq r$, $g \in K$, then

$$\|F'(u)^*g\|_H \geq \frac{c}{Q}\|g\|_K \quad (3)$$

where $F'(u)^*$ denotes the member of $L(K, H)$ so that

$$\langle F'(u)v, g \rangle = \langle v, F'(u)^*g \rangle, \quad v \in H, \quad g \in K \quad (4)$$

¹The first author was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9215027

²Keywords: Implicit Function, Sobolev Gradient, Steepest Descent

³Subject classification: Primary 34B15, Secondary 35J65

Although some of the ingredients needed to prove the Theorem can be found in [3] and [4] we have elected to give a more nearly self-contained argument. References [3], [4], [5] mainly concern global results and details on numerical tracking of solutions z to equations such as (2).

Proof. Let $y \in K$. If $\phi : H \rightarrow R$ is defined by

$$\phi(x) = \|F(x) - y\|_K^2/2, \quad x \in H,$$

then

$$\phi'(x) = \langle F'(x)h, F(x) - y \rangle_K = \langle h, F'(x)^*(F(x) - y) \rangle_H, \quad x, h \in H.$$

We denote $F'(x)^*(F(x) - y)$ by $(\nabla\phi)(x)$ and call this element the gradient of ϕ at the point x , $x \in H$.

Assertion 1. For each $y \in K$ the equation (2) has a unique solution defined on $[0, \infty)$. In fact, since F' is locally Lipschitzian so is $\nabla\phi$. From basic theory of ordinary differential equations there is $d_0 > 0$ so that the equation in (2) has a solution on $[0, d_0)$. Suppose now that the set of all such numbers d_0 is bounded and denote by d its least upper bound. Denote by z the unique solution to the equation to (2) on $[0, d)$. We will show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow d^-} z(t)$ exists. Note that if $0 \leq a < b < d$, then

$$\|z(b) - z(a)\|_H^2 = \left\| \int_a^b z' \right\|_H^2 \leq \left(\int_a^b \|z'\|_H \right)^2 \leq (b-a) \int_a^b \|z'\|_H^2 \quad (5)$$

Note also that if $0 \leq t < d$, then

$$\phi(z)'(t) = \phi'(z(t))z'(t) = \langle z'(t), (\nabla\phi)(z(t)) \rangle = -\|(\nabla\phi)(z(t))\|_H^2 \quad (6)$$

and so

$$\phi(z(b)) - \phi(z(a)) = \int_a^b \phi(z)' = - \int_a^b \|z'\|_H^2.$$

Hence

$$\int_a^b \|z'\|_H^2 \leq \phi(z(a)), \quad a \leq b < d. \quad (7)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (7) we see that

$$\left(\int_a^b \|z'\|_H \right)^2 \leq (b-a) \int_a^b \|z'\|_H^2.$$

Therefore

But this implies again from basic theory on $[c, d_1)$ such

But the function $w(t) = f(t)$, t

contradicting the definition on $[0, c)$ an argument for

Assertion

then

To prove this assertion holds (with $u = \phi(z)$) has the consequence $t_0 \geq 0$, then the function satisfies

and hence $(\nabla\phi)(z)$ still holds. This

As in (6), $\phi(z)$

Therefore

Therefore

$$\int_a^b \|z'\|_H \leq (d\phi(z(a)))^{1/2}, a \leq b < d.$$

But this implies that $\int_a^{d-} \|z'\|_H$ exists and so $q \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow d-} z(t)$ exists. But again from basic theory, there is $d_1 > d$ for which there is a function f defined on $[c, d_1)$ such that

$$f(d) = q, f'(t) = -(\nabla\phi)(f(t)), t \in [d, d_1).$$

But the function w on $[0, d_1)$ so that $w(t) = z(t)$, $t \in [0, d)$, $w(d) = q$, $w(t) = f(t)$, $t \in (d, d_1)$, satisfies

$$w(0) = 0, w'(t) = -(\nabla\phi)(w(t)), t \in [0, d_1),$$

contradicting the nature of d since $d < d_1$. Hence there is a solution to (2) defined on $[0, \infty)$. Uniqueness follows from the basic theory. This completes an argument for Assertion 1, a known result ([1], [5]).

Assertion 2. Let $y \in K$ and z satisfy (2). If for some $C > 0$

$$\|(\nabla\phi)(z(t))\|_H \geq C\|F(z(t)) - y\|_K, t \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

then

$$u = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) \text{ exists and } F(u) = y.$$

To prove this assertion, note first that if $(\nabla\phi)(0) = 0$, then the conclusion holds (with $u = 0$). Suppose then that $(\nabla\phi)(0) \neq 0$. This last supposition has the consequence that $(\nabla\phi)(z(t)) \neq 0, t \geq 0$. [If $(\nabla\phi)(z(t_0)) = 0$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$, then the function w on $[0, \infty)$ defined by $w(t) = z(t_0), t \geq 0$, would satisfy

$$w' = (\nabla\phi)(w) \text{ and } w(t_0) = z(t_0) \quad (9)$$

and hence $(\nabla\phi)(w(t)) = 0, t \geq 0$. But if w is replaced in (9) by z , then (9) still holds. This violates the fact that (9) does not have two solutions.

As in (6), $\phi(z)' = -\|(\nabla\phi)(z)\|_H^2$ and so using (8)

$$\phi(z)'(t) \leq -C^2\|F(z(t)) - y\|_K^2 = -2C^2\phi(z(t)).$$

Therefore

$$\phi(z)'(t)/\phi(z(t)) \leq -2C^2, t \geq 0.$$

Accordingly,

$$\ln(\phi(z(t))/\phi(0)) \leq -2C^2t$$

and

$$\phi(z(t)) \leq \phi(0) \exp(-2C^2t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z(t)) = 0.$$

Moreover if n is a positive integer,

$$\left(\int_n^{n+1} \|z'\|_H\right)^2 \leq \int_n^{n+1} \|z'\|_H^2 = \phi(z(n)) - \phi(z(n+1)) \leq \phi(0) \exp(-2C^2n)$$

and so

$$\int_0^\infty \|z'\|_H \leq \phi(0)^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \exp(-C^2n) = \phi(0)^{1/2} / (1 - \exp(-C^2)).$$

Consequently, $\|z'\|_H \in L_1([0, \infty])$ and so $u = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists. Moreover $\phi(u) = 0$ since $0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z(t))$. This completes an argument Assertion 2. This is essentially Theorem 1 of [3] (see also Theorem 2 of [4]).

Assertion 3. Suppose $y \in K$ and $\|y\|_K < \frac{rc}{Q}$ then $\|z(t)\|_H < r$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (1) we have

$$c\|g\|_K \leq \langle F'(u)v, g \rangle_K = \langle v, F'(u)^*g \rangle_H \leq Q\|F'(u)^*g\|_H$$

if $\|u\|_H \leq r$ and $g \in K$. Consequently

$$\|(\nabla\phi)(u)\|_H = \|F'(u)^*(F(u) - y)\|_H \geq C\|F(u) - y\|_K, \quad u \in H, \|u\|_H \leq r,$$

where $C = c/Q$. Hence

$$\|(\nabla\phi)(z(t))\|_H = \|F'(z(t))^*(F(z(t)) - y)\|_H \geq C\|F(z(t)) - y\|_K \quad (10)$$

provided that

$$t \geq 0 \text{ and } \|z(t)\|_H \leq r.$$

Finally we show that if $\|y\|_K < Cr$ then $\|z(t)\|_H \leq r$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. To prove this assertion first note that if $(\nabla\phi)(0) = 0$, then $z(t) = 0$, $t \geq 0$, and so the conclusion holds with $u = 0$.

Suppose ne
noted in the ar
Define α as

$\alpha(t)$

where d is as l
Define v by

and so

$$v(0) = 0, \quad v'(t)$$

Note also that

$$\|v(t)\|_H =$$

Hence

$$\phi(v)' = \phi'(v)$$

and so if $t \in D$

$$\phi(v)'(t) = -$$

and thus

This differential

$$2\phi(v)$$

But this is equi

$$\|d$$

since $F(0) = 0$
there would be

Suppose now that $(\nabla\phi)(0) \neq 0$. Thus $(\nabla\phi)(z(t)) \neq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ as noted in the argument for the previous assertion.

Define α as the function which satisfies

$$\alpha(0) = 0, \quad \alpha'(t) = 1/\|(\nabla\phi)(z(\alpha(t)))\|_H, \quad t \in [0, d], \quad (11)$$

where d is as large as possible, possibly $d = \infty$.

Define v by $v(t) = z(\alpha(t))$, $t \in D(\alpha)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= \alpha'(t)z'(\alpha(t)) = -\alpha'(t)(\nabla\phi)(z(\alpha(t))) \\ &= -(1/\|(\nabla\phi)(z(\alpha(t)))\|_H)(\nabla\phi)(z(\alpha(t))) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and so

$$v(0) = 0, \quad v'(t) = -(1/\|(\nabla\phi)(v(t))\|_H)(\nabla\phi)(v(t)), \quad t \in D(v) = D(\alpha). \quad (13)$$

Note also that

$$\|v(t)\|_H = \|v(t) - v(0)\|_H = \left\| \int_0^t v' \|_H \leq \int_0^t \|v'\|_H = t, \quad t \in D(v).$$

Hence

$$\phi(v)' = \phi'(v)v' = -\langle(\nabla\phi)(v), (\nabla\phi)(v)\rangle_H / \|(\nabla\phi)(v)\|_H = -\|(\nabla\phi)(v)\|_H,$$

and so if $t \in D(v)$ and $t \leq r$, then

$$\phi(v)'(t) = -\|(\nabla\phi)(v(t))\|_H \leq -C\|F(v(t)) - y\|_H = -C(2\phi(v(t)))^{1/2},$$

and thus

$$\phi(v)'(t)/\phi(v(t))^{1/2} \leq -C2^{1/2}.$$

This differential inequality is solved to yield

$$2\phi(v(t))^{1/2} - 2\phi(v(0))^{1/2} \leq -2^{1/2}Ct, \quad t \in D(v), \quad t \leq r.$$

But this is equivalent to

$$\|F(v(t)) - y\|_K \leq \|F(0) - y\|_K - Ct \leq C(r - t) \quad (14)$$

since $F(0) = 0$ and $\|y\|_K < \frac{rc}{Q}$. It follows from (14) that $d \leq r$ since if not, there would be $t \in [0, r]$ such that $F(v(t_0)) = y$ and hence $(\nabla\phi)(v(t_0)) = 0$

and consequently, $(\nabla\phi)(z(\alpha^{-1}(t_0))) = 0$, a contradiction. From this it follows that $\|v(t)\|_H \leq r$, $t \in D(v)$. Since $D(\alpha)$ is a bounded set, and α is increasing, it must be that $\lim_{t \rightarrow d-} \alpha(t) = \infty$ (if $\lim_{t \rightarrow d-} \alpha(t) = q < \infty$, it would follow that $(\nabla\phi)(z(q)) = 0$, a contradiction). Therefore $\|z(t)\|_H \leq r$, $t \geq 0$. This and Assertion 2 prove the Theorem.

That the pairing between

$$g \in K, \|g\| < \frac{rc}{Q}$$

and the corresponding

$$u = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$$

yields a function is intended to justify the use of the term 'inverse function theorem' in the title. We hope to eventually make an extension of the present process in the direction of [2].

References

- [1] M. Berger, *Nonlinearity and Functional Analysis*, Academic Press (1977).
- [2] R. Hamilton, *The Inverse Function Theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1982), 65-222.
- [3] J. W. Neuberger, *Steepest Descent and Differential Equations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 37 (1985), 187-195.
- [4] J. W. Neuberger, *Constructive Variational Methods for Differential Equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Meth. & Appl., 13 (1988), 413-426.
- [5] J. W. Neuberger, *Sobolev Gradients and Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 1670, 1997.

Department of Mathematics
University of North Texas
Denton, TX 76205
email: acastro@unt.edu, jwn@unt.edu

Tov

ABSTR
algebr
the ca
our re
the st
 $\psi''(E$
every
1
theor
which
equat
The f
soluti
is the
I
ideas
of dif
Gelfa

The pri
an effici
archies of
details is
and turn
matrix-val

Before
the history
[65] who st

(1.1)

1991 Ma
Based up
9623121 and 1