

## The Conviction of Miss Prediction

Dane C. Joseph  
*George Fox University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.claremont.edu/jhm>



Part of the [Arts and Humanities Commons](#), [Education Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Dane C. Joseph, "The Conviction of Miss Prediction," *Journal of Humanistic Mathematics*, Volume 14 Issue 1 (January 2024), pages 335-335. DOI: 10.5642/jhumath.OBSW5444. Available at: <https://scholarship.claremont.edu/jhm/vol14/iss1/25>

©2024 by the authors. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.

JHM is an open access bi-annual journal sponsored by the Claremont Center for the Mathematical Sciences and published by the Claremont Colleges Library | ISSN 2159-8118 | <http://scholarship.claremont.edu/jhm/>

The editorial staff of JHM works hard to make sure the scholarship disseminated in JHM is accurate and upholds professional ethical guidelines. However the views and opinions expressed in each published manuscript belong exclusively to the individual contributor(s). The publisher and the editors do not endorse or accept responsibility for them. See <https://scholarship.claremont.edu/jhm/policies.html> for more information.

---

## The Conviction of Miss Prediction

### Cover Page Footnote

I wish to thank the editors and reviewers for their time and feedback.

# The Conviction of Miss Prediction

Dane C. Joseph

djoseph@georgefox.edu

---

It began when an intercept  
directed some concepts—  
strength and direction—  
to capture covariance.

A line mapped two variables,  
a plane modeled more.  
All seeking least-squares variance  
and following the law.

But they ran into trouble  
when a categorical response  
tricked moderator and predictor  
into romantic nonchalance.

By then it was too late  
as in hindsight you could see  
Linear  $r^2$  was incapable  
to do a Nagelkerke.

And I'm still not quite sure  
why the sigs from the F's,  
were not up to snuff  
as the Wald difference tests.

But in the courtroom we heard  
the lawyers and the judge  
decry her dereliction. . .  
then subpoenaed probability and odds.