

2019

The Rise of Populism in 21st Century France: Normalizing Islamophobia

Evan Boyer

Recommended Citation

Boyer, Evan, "The Rise of Populism in 21st Century France: Normalizing Islamophobia" (2019). *CMC Senior Theses*. 2169.
https://scholarship.claremont.edu/cmc_theses/2169

This Open Access Senior Thesis is brought to you by Scholarship@Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in this collection by an authorized administrator. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

Claremont McKenna College

**The Rise of Populism in 21st Century France:
Normalizing Islamophobia**

submitted to
Professor Lisa Koch

by
Evan Boyer

for
Senior Thesis
Spring 2019
4/29/2019

Abstract:

The Twenty-First Century has seen increasing support for far-right authoritarian policies across Europe, particularly in France. This paper identifies and explains the connection between the rising power of France's far-right, populist party and the pervasive sentiments of Islamophobia through an examination of major theories, anxiety-producing events, and reactionary legal actions in France pertaining to the oppression of Muslims. It begins with an analysis of France's colonial history and its lasting implications concerning the legitimacy of anti-Muslim rhetoric. Next, the paper analyzes the usage of terrorism as a framed threat by far-right politicians to promote authoritarian agendas. It then examines France's unwillingness and inability to integrate Muslim immigrants into French society, predicated off of major threat perceptions associated with Muslims and Islam. Finally, the paper offers an understanding of how the greatest threat to France is not the electoral success of the Front National, but the historical implications concerning the increasingly normalized nature of policies supported by the FN.

Table of Contents

Introduction I: Populism and Islamophobia in France	3
Introduction II: Le Front National	15
A History of Superiority: The Fall of France's Colonial Empire	21
The Exacerbation of French Islamophobia: Terrorism in France	45
A Clash of Cultures: Islamophobia and the European Refugee Crisis	71
Conclusion	89
References	93

Introduction I: Populism and Islamophobia in France

On the 23rd of April, 2017, the nation of France held their presidential elections; in which no candidate gained a majority of the vote. This precipitated a runoff election on May 7th between the two forerunners. This election was particularly interesting because neither of the candidates came from the two centrist, established parties in France, the Parti Socialiste and Les Republicans. Instead, Emmanuel Macron, of his own new movement, En Marche, and Marine le Pen, the former head of the far-right populist, nationalist party, Le Front National (FN) beat the established candidates and faced off in the final election. The vote saw Macron instated as the new President of France by a substantial majority of 66.1% of the popular vote over Madame Le Pen's 33.9%, solidly cementing his presidency at the time.¹ However, despite receiving only half as many votes as Macron, Le Pen's success drew significant concern from the international community as France, a nation known for its socialism and its foundations of liberty and equality, had not experienced such extensive support for xenophobic populism throughout the existence of the Fifth Republic. In fact, not since the Vichy Dictatorship of World War II had France permitted far-right politics to garner as much support as the FN did in 2017.

The rise of the FN, now known as the Rassemblement National, is one story among many parallel trends in other western democratic nations as the 21st century sees a renewed rise in power among nationalist populist parties.² The populist parties of Europe including the Golden

¹ Sean Clarke and Josh Holder, "French presidential election May 2017 – full second round results and analysis," *The Guardian*, May 26, 2017,

<https://www.theguardian.com/world/ng-interactive/2017/may/07/french-presidential-election-results-latest>.

² For the purposes of this paper, the Rassemblement National will be referred to by its former abbreviation, the FN.

Dawn, the Austrian Freedom Party, and Alternative for Germany, have experienced increases in support, political power and influence over the course of the 21st century.³ This rise in populism comes from several major issues, including economic stagnation, anti-European rhetoric, national pride, and anti-immigration sentiments. In particular, populist parties of the 21st century have increasingly gravitated towards anti-Islamic rhetoric, in keeping with the concerns voiced by the masses on issues of economic job market saturation, threats of violence in the form of acts of terror, and inability or perhaps refusal to assimilate and integrate the influx of Muslim immigrants and refugees.⁴

This introductory chapter serves two purposes. First, this chapter explains the concepts of populism, xenophobia, Islamophobia, and xenophobic populism, drawing broader connections between them. This is to provide a better understanding of the assertions and analyses made throughout the paper. A description of populism will be followed by a discussion on the theory of ‘othering’ and xenophobia. An analysis of Islamophobia will then follow before explaining how xenophobia and Islamophobia in particular can be used as fuel for populist movements. Second, this chapter will provide a roadmap for the rest of the paper. This will include a brief walkthrough of the major issues addressed in each chapter and their primary purpose in the paper.

³ Richard A. DeAngelis, “A Rising Tide for Jean-Marie, Jorg, and Pauline? Xenophobic Populism In Comparative Perspective,” *Australian Journal of Politics and History*, (2003): 77.

⁴ Sabri Ciftci, “Islamophobia and Threat Perceptions: Explaining Anti-Muslim Sentiment in the West,” *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 32, no. 3 (2012): 293.

Concepts and Theories

Populism

This paper focuses on the rise of populism as a political force in France, but what exactly is populism? Classical populism can be defined as a political movement which is perceived as anti-elite, anti-establishment, anti-immigration, and nationalistic, and which claims to be representing the underrepresented.⁵ Populism has always had some level of support in democratic nations, especially from individuals looking for someone to blame for their poor situations in life. Populism is supported particularly by ethnic ‘in-groups’ and the unemployed, who see ‘anti-party’ populism as a way for them to be heard in politics. Populism has become a much discussed phenomenon in recent years due to its rising prominence both inside and outside of France. The most common example of populist rhetoric success must be President Donald Trump’s victory in the 2016 U.S Presidential elections. His claims of being a Washington outsider, who was anti-immigration and thrived on chants like ‘drain the swamp,’ a clear call for anti-establishment and anti-elite policies, all depict an ideal populist candidate. Populism in the twenty-first century focuses on one policy almost universally across national lines: the anti-immigration issue. This paper proposes that xenophobia is the driving cause for populism’s success in this century. More specifically, for France, it is the fuel provided by Islamophobic sentiments that supports the FN and is, in turn, exacerbated by the framing of major issues in anti-Islamic images.

⁵ DeAngelis, 82.

Xenophobia

Xenophobia comes from the greek words *xenos* and *phobos* respectively meaning stranger and fear. It is by definition “the fear and hatred of strangers and foreigners or of anything that is strange or foreign.”⁶ The existence of xenophobia is an inevitable side effect of the humans experience. Humans instinctively pursue tribalistic group formations in the search of safety and collaboration to improve their opportunities to survive and thrive. Part of the process of group formation is the designation of non-members as out-group members. This differentiation is accompanied by a negative perception of the non-members as being inferior to the dominants group. The differentiations used to justify this divide take on many forms, including race, ethnicity, religion, language, culture, and technological capability.

Humans who exhibit xenophobia have engaged in a process known as ‘othering,’ which involves the assessment of an individual or a group lying outside of your adopted ‘in-group’ as foreign, exotic, and in most cases threatening.⁷ The ‘out-group’ which is created by these associations is often judged to be inferior to members of the presiding ‘in-group’ and is deserving of mistreatment and distrust. Examples of xenophobic ‘othering’ are a constant presence in the history of man, and the presence of xenophobia has permitted human beings to engage in act of savagery against one another with the justification of their own superiority. In this paper, it is the existence of a particular brand of xenophobia which lies central to the explanation of the rise of populism in France.

⁶ Merriam Webster Dictionary, “Xenophobia,” accessed February 16, 2019, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/xenophobia>.

⁷ DeAngelis, 83.

Islamophobia

While xenophobia more generally is a psychological reaction inherent in the process of ‘othering,’ which humans naturally engage in during their creation of in-groups and out-groups, France’s brand of xenophobia focuses on the demonization and dehumanization of Muslims and their faith. Islamophobia is a form of “cultural racism,” and is derived from the West’s inability to accommodate non-Christian religious denominations in their societies.⁸ While Islamophobia is difficult to define as there are many elements that compose the fear felt towards Muslims as an outgroup, the Runnymede report, as reported by Sabri Ciftci, compiled eight major concerns and issues that are considered in the creations of sentiments of Islamophobia. In summary of these components, Islamophobia is derived from Western perceptions of the faith as a monolithic bloc which is different, inferior, and hostile towards the West.⁹ Furthermore, “hostility to Muslims is seen as natural or normal” and “is used to justify discriminatory practices toward Muslims and exclusion of Muslims from mainstream society.”¹⁰ The intensified process of ‘othering’ which characterizes the treatment and perceptions of Muslims in European society has led to significant divisions surpassing initial fears due to perceived threats which implant a prejudice in the minds of Europeans. It is also around Islamophobia that modern far-right populism derives its support.

⁸ Ciftci, 294.

⁹ Ibid., 295.

¹⁰ Ibid., 295.

Xenophobic Populism

Having examined the ideas of populism, xenophobia and Islamophobia, it is time to address the phenomenon affecting Europe, and more specifically France, in the twenty-first century. Xenophobic-populism refers to the form of populism demonstrated by the rising populist parties of this millenium.¹¹ While economic and security-based issues are staples of the classical populist platform, the most recent wave of populism rose in power despite having solved issues of these natures in the cases of France, Austria, and Australia.¹² For the new wave of populism, cultural resentment has surged as the driving force behind many successful populist movements.¹³

This focus on cultural divisions is central to the platform of the FN and has been since its rebranding in the 1980s. “(The FN), is *not* behaviorally extremist or fully ‘anti-system,’ systematically statist, militarist, anti-democratic, violent, social Darwinist, or openly ‘racist’ defiantly using skin colour as a moral category separating the good from the bad.”¹⁴ Instead, the FN has risen to prominence primarily using the sentiments of xenophobia which have been embedded in the French culture concerning the French Muslim immigrant population and their descendents.

Road Map

¹¹ DeAngelis, 76.

¹² Ibid., 75.

¹³ Ibid.

¹⁴ Ibid., 88.

This examination of the connection between Islamophobia and populism in the Fifth Republic of France contains one more introductory chapter, three body chapters, and a conclusion. The secondary introductory chapter will examine the primary populist party of France, the Front National (FN), looking into their history, their ideology, their voting bloc and the timeline of their successes and failures since their inception in 1972. The next chapter, and first body chapter, will examine the historical context of Islamophobia in France through the lens colonialism and postcolonial identity. The second body chapter will advance to the 21st century with an analysis of the impact of terrorism on political decisions as a result of Islamophobia and the framing of terror by the media and the FN. The final body chapter will examine the European Refugee crisis and France's failure to properly integrate and assimilate Muslim refugees and immigrants.

Introduction II: The Front National

The second introduction, focusing on the Front National, provides context for the rise of French populism beyond the framework presented on xenophobia and populism more generally. Beyond other western European populist parties, the FN has succeeded in garnering large amounts of support from the French populace and has been dynamic and flexible in their recruitment of support, diversifying their party and crossing traditional party-line boundaries. While the efforts of Jean-Marie Le Pen will be addressed, the primary focus of this chapter will be on the platform of the party under the leadership of the current president of the party, Marine Le Pen. Looking at her positions on Islam, as well as the positions and sentiment demonstrated

by the stalwarts of the party, this chapter will contextualize the broader linkages between xenophobia or rather Islamophobia, and populism, with a focus on France's unique case.

French Colonialism

The French Imperial Legacy has persisted to modern times by framing the French perception of Islam and the large minority Muslim population in France. The processes of colonization and decolonization have impressed upon the French psyche a sense of superiority over the Muslim Algerians, paired with a fear of their capacity for violence and their inability to coexist with the values of French republicanism. The Le Pen family often calls upon their constituents' desire for power and superiority by referencing back to the times of power and imperial domination. France has a long and culturally grounded history which remained relatively homogenous for generations. Idealization of French identity through their cultural values of *Liberte*, *Egalite*, and *Fraternite*, along with their commitment to secularism or *laicite*, has created a society which is prone to accepting the failings of Islam and Muslims, and perceiving them as a genuine threat to France and her ideals.

The French experience with colonialism has also created demographic changes with the increased introduction of migrants into France from two major ideological backgrounds; the *pieds-noirs* settlers of Algeria, whose history of superiority and dominance in the territory cemented certain prejudices in their culture, and the Algerian Muslims who began arriving in greater numbers following the Algerian Revolution, initially as a source of labor. This chapter will set up the historical context which has permitted the FN's extreme-right views to enter the French political discourse under the guise of legitimate defense of French republican ideals,

explaining the background for modern responses to issues of Muslim immigration and Islamic terrorism.

Exacerbation of Islamophobia from the 2015-2016 terrorist attacks

The second body chapter of this paper will return to modern events to demonstrate how the recent acts of terrorism perpetrated by Islamic Fundamentalists in 2015 and 2016 have exacerbated tensions in France and affected political affiliation and participation through the introduction of mass fear and anger. After demonstrating how the underlying tensions between French ideology and Islam came into being in the previous chapter, this chapter will demonstrate the ability and willingness of the media and the politicians of France to add to the politicization of terrorism. Terrorism on its own is not a framed type of threat, meaning that in its own existence, it is able to promote anxiety in a national population simply by reminding individuals of their own mortality and reviving fears of repeated acts of terrorism.¹⁵ However, while the act of terrorism itself can cause anxiety without any political rhetoric, the threat of terrorism can easily be co-opted by political parties as a major security issue and transformed into a framed threat to society.¹⁶

With France's past with Algerian terrorism from the 50s until the 90s being framed as a product of Islamic teachings, far-right sentiments in France are better capable of manipulating public anxiety and fear by giving them a scapegoat in these stressing times. The provision of a scapegoat on which to focus public unrest is by definition one of the primary features of a

¹⁵ Bethany Albertson and Shana Kushner Gadarian, *Anxious Politics : Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World*, (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015): 117.

¹⁶ Ibid.

populist movement's tactics.¹⁷ And while research in France has demonstrated that the impacts of terrorism do not change the public's political leanings concerning non-security issues like socio-economic reform, the results of studies conducted by Sylvain Brouard and Pavlos Vasilopoulos point towards evidence that acts of terrorism in France have sparked a shift towards support for right-wing authoritarian policies regarding security measures, even at the expense of civil liberties.¹⁸ This shift has been demonstrated not only among the general public of France but in the actions of the government at the time. This is particularly interesting, because the French government in charge during the terrorist attacks of 2015 and 2016 was a socialist government under the leadership of Francois Hollande. Through an analysis of the framing of acts of terrorism by the media, the general reactions of the public concerning information seeking, political participation, and shifts in political support for security measures, this chapter will seek to demonstrate how the FN was able to co-opt fears of terrorism to normalize their rhetoric and promote increased authoritarian security policies while garnering future support for their party.

A Clash of Cultures: The French Republican Response to Muslim Migrants

The final chapter will focus on the usage of Muslim immigration as a scapegoat by the FN. One of the common themes connecting the populist parties of Europe today is their shared emphasis on classic anti-immigration rhetoric. The xenophobic populism which covers Europe's states feeds on nationalistic xenophobic sentiments, proclaimed in large part by the unemployed white christians and catholics of Europe. With that in mind, this chapter seeks to understand the

¹⁷ DeAngelis, 82.

¹⁸ Vasilopoulos, 102.

various threats perceived to be posed by Muslim migrants in France, looking first at the arrival of migrants in the twentieth century as foreign workers; dealing with how they were treated upon settling in France. And second, looking at how France has been affected by, and handled, the European Refugee Crisis which began in 2015 and has resulted in an influx of hundreds of thousands of Syrian and other Middle Eastern refugees flooding into France and the rest of Europe.

The Muslim immigrants who have made it to France have always been treated with some deal of suspicion and apprehension, in large part thanks to the framing placed upon them by the far-right in France, who has long viewed Muslims as a realistic threat to job security and personal wellbeing, as well as a symbolic threat to French republicanism and French cultural identity.

Populism has been on the rise in western democracies across the globe but there have been few countries in which the movement has gained as much traction as in France. This paper will seek to prove that the success of the Front National, a xenophobic, anti-immigrant, populist party has experienced such extensive success and support from the French population due to engrained Islamophobic sentiments predicated upon perceived threats posed by Muslims dating back to French colonialism. More recently, the FN has cemented its legitimacy as an acceptable party in French politics by framing modern issues of unemployment and terrorism as an issue of security and immigration policy failure. In doing so, the FN utilizes exacerbating factors of Islamophobia such as terrorism to fuel their mission by contributing to the already growing Islamophobic sentiment in turn with their xenophobic, fear-based rhetoric. It is this symbiotic relationship that will be assessed and proven throughout this paper.

Introduction II: Le Front National

Having addressed the relevant political theories for this paper including populism, xenophobia, Islamophobia, and xenophobic populism, it remains for this paper to ground the following discussion in concrete terms. While populism can take many forms and is not demonstrated solely by the success of a single political party, France's increasing support of populism will be examined in large part through the rise of Le Front National (FN). The FN is France's far-right, extremist, nationalist, populist party which advocates for anti-immigration policies and a culturally homogenous definition of French national identity. For the purposes of much of the history on the FN, this chapter will reference Daniel Stockemer, whose historical accounts and analysis of the FN's rise to prominence, their policies, and their constituencies, has proven invaluable in the analysis presented throughout this paper.

In the years following the Second World War, French society was vehemently opposed to far-right political sentiments. Their internationally perceived failure under the fascist dictatorship of the Vichy Regime shamed the image of the French Republic, and most were afraid to see any resurgence of openly racist, xenophobic, far-right political agendas. It was not until the Algerian Revolution that far-right rhetoric reappeared in political discourse, and decades more before any central political party was successfully formed around those beliefs.¹⁹ It was in this environment that the Front National (FN) was created under the presidency of Jean-Marie Le Pen, whose racist and bigoted rhetoric would define the party for decades to come.

¹⁹ Daniel Stockemer, *The Front National in France: Continuity and Change Under Jean-Marie Le Pen and Marine Le Pen*, (Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017): 7.

Created in 1972, the FN emerged in France during a period of time represented by the birth of the new Fifth Republic and the inability of the extreme-right to form anything resembling a unified party.²⁰ While the Algerian Revolution enabled far-right politicians to once again participate in the political discourse, no significant single-party was able to form to represent these sentiments up on the extreme-right side of the political spectrum.²¹ Even with the formation of an extreme-right, populist party, support was not immediately forthcoming for populist rhetoric. Before becoming a prominent party, the FN underwent a period of time defined as the “crossing of the desert” by Jean-Marie.²² The party failed to break into principal party politics for the first decade of its existence. This was likely thanks to the various personalities which defined the FN in its early days, some of which touted openly fascist ties with the Vichy regime and the Nazi party.²³ Later in the 1981 presidential elections, “Le Pen failed to receive the 500 signatures required to run in the 1981 presidential contest.”²⁴ This dismal beginning to the party came to a close soon afterwards.

Daniel Stockemer proposes several changes in France which might have reflected the rise of the Front national to the center of the political discourse in the 1980s. One of the structural changes proposed being the heightened anxiety of immigration brought on by the lax position of the presiding socialist government under Francois Mitterrand and by the shift in proportionality of the backgrounds of the immigrants.²⁵ Whereas immigrants to France had historically been predominantly from European backgrounds, the 1980s experienced a shift toward a North

²⁰ Ibid., 10.

²¹ Ibid., 9.

²² Ibid., 13.

²³ Ibid., 15.

²⁴ Ibid., 13.

²⁵ Jens Rydgren, *The Populist Challenge: Political Protest and Ethno-nationalist Mobilization in France*, (New York: Berghahn Books, 2004): 120.

African-heavy migrant pool which had increased in size significantly since the mid-1940s to the point that 38.5% of all immigrants were of North African origin by 1982.²⁶ This shift in visibility of North Africans, and by extension Muslims, in France led the FN to rebrand itself as the foremost part on issues of immigration and security.

The mounting success of the party increased with the change in leadership on January 16, 2011, when Marine Le Pen won the presidency of the FN from her father and instituted changes to the rhetoric of the party.²⁷ Looking to present a less racist public appearance while persisting with the severity of their anti-immigrant rhetoric. While Marine succeeded in removing most of the anti-semitic and overtly racist rhetoric from the party's leadership, she continued to voice Islamophobic sentiments.

The other major change to occur with the transition of power to Marine Le Pen is that of increased legitimacy for the party as a defender of republican values. Jean-Marie Le Pen began the shift away from rampant extremism with slogans expressing solidarity with the people of France. The populist element of the party was formed to express this affiliation with republican values. However, Jean-Marie's anti-semitic comments in the late-1980s hurt their image significantly, and while support continued to grow, the image of the FN as a republican party was momentarily tarnished.

Major Ideologies

As with most populist parties, the FN has focused much of their identity around an ethnocentric-view of nationhood. Supporters of the FN believe that French identity, or the right

²⁶ Stockemer, 14.

²⁷ Ibid., 24.

to be French is not something that individuals can obtain simply through the naturalization process. Rather than allowing 'soil' to determine the legitimacy of one's 'Frenchness,' the FN has often expressed the belief that one must merit French identity through complete adherence to her values and cultural identity.²⁸

The FN has always been portrayed as an anti-immigration party, and they have acted to perpetuate this depiction. Under the leadership of Jean-Marie Le Pen, the FN touted the slogans *La France est pour les français*, or "France and French first."²⁹ These chants evoked French republican sentiments by framing many issues face by the French population as a direct attack by the growing Muslim migrant minority against French republican values. Beyond the symbolic nature spouted as the impending 'Islamification' of France and of Europe more broadly, Le Pen and the FN have framed on the immigration issue as posing a genuine threat to the job security of the average French citizen.

In the 1980s the FN rebranded away from their historical focus on xenophobia and other forms of traditional far-right extremism to embrace a new populist image. This transition came in tandem with the decision of many Muslim migrant workers who had initially served as a temporary workforce to rebuild France in the post-war era

To harken back to the road map of the previous chapter, the FN has grown in power and influence because of the symbiotic relationship it has formed with French Islamophobia over the past four decades. In terms of colonialism, it was perceived threats of Islam fostered by the Algerian Revolution which permitted far-right political discourse to re-enter French politics. Rallying around anti-decolonization rhetoric, the founders of the FN, including Jean-Marie Le

²⁸ Peter Davies, *The National Front in France : Ideology, Discourse and Power* (London: Routledge, 1999): 64

²⁹ Rydgren, 175.

Pen entered into French politics and started their careers on the grounds of xenophobic sentiment and support for French superiority and cultural ideology. Furthermore, France's colonial history permits the FN to claim that their actions, demanding the removal of Islam from public spaces and closing down immigration to Muslim asylum-seekers is not a xenophobic, extreme-right platform, but a platform in support of protecting French republican ideals of universalism and secularism from the threat of hyper-religious Muslims.

Marine Le Pen is the most recent member of the Front National party of France to make headlines for her political achievements and positions of varying matters of policy but she is not the beginning of the far-right, populist party. Jean-Marie Le Pen created the party back in insert date, and under his guidance, the party developed a platform which claimed to defend the 'true' French citizens from a myriad of threats from abroad. These threats included unfair impositions from the European Union, economic disadvantages on international markets, incoming refugees particularly of Muslim origin, and other supposed problems originating from abroad. The Front National has always been a populist party; proclaiming itself to be the defender of the nations against all outside influences

By understanding the history of the Front National, it becomes clear that their rise in popularity and support is not strictly a contemporary phenomena. Rather, the party has been growing in size and influence since its inception in 1972, and while recent events have allowed for transitions in their demonstrable influence away from regional success to the ballots of the executive branch, this is not the main way in which the FN has left the fringes of politics.

A History of Superiority: The Fall of France's Colonial Empire

Forward

This chapter examines the impacts of France's colonial and postcolonial past on continued sentiments of Islamophobia. The classic French national identity often focuses on universalism and secularism as the primary ideals of French republicanism. This cultural definition of national identity resonates most with white, catholic, conservative males, who often see themselves as the true inheritors of France's legacy. Long before the 21st century, those adhering to this definition of national identity had trouble accepting foreign influences into French society, often asking them to assimilate into the French culture. Universalism values of *liberte, egalite, and fraternite* have been unquestionable ideals of the French culture for generations. Those who do not adhere to these ideals are often seen as un-French. While modern factors have undoubtedly contributed to increasing sentiments of Islamophobia in France, it is France's imperial past which cemented her ideals and biases. The Islamophobia formed during this time has in turn provided far-right extremist populist rhetoric with a powerful cultural history from which to draw support.

The process of colonization usually comes from the violent suppression of native peoples by foreign invaders who believe themselves to be more civilized and culturally, religiously, and ethnically superior. Colonization necessitates the creation of an artificial segregation between natives and foreigners which is fortified over time through geographic, economic and social separation.³⁰ Decolonization is the usually violent overthrow of the oppressive colonizers by a politically and economically disadvantaged indigenous majority. When France went through the

³⁰ Ethan B. Katz, "An Imperial Entanglement: Anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, and Colonialism," (2018): 1190.

birth and death of her colonial empire, the increased amount of interactions with colonized natives fostered feelings of xenophobia. This was most prevalent in the case of French Algeria, where the French had seen their colony as a direct extension of France herself. Because of the violent revolution and the subsequent forced repatriation of the former settlers of French Algeria, known as the *pieds-noirs*, France has since come to view Islam and Muslims as incompatible with the French identity. These perceptions persist to modern times and are present in the discourse of French identity and Islam's place in contemporary France.

Introduction

While modern factors like terrorism and the European Refugee Crisis have exacerbated Islamophobia in France, contextual factors have also played a role in predisposing France to acceptance of far-right rhetoric, namely France's colonial history and their transition to postcolonialism. France has old cultural roots, based on strict values of universalism known better as *liberte, egalite, fraternite*, and on their commitment to secularism: the mandated separation of church and state. France continues to adhere to the colonial-era idea of *mission civilisatrice*, which states that anyone can become French as long as they adopt the values of French republicanism and abandon their previous cultural values.³¹ However, during the period of colonialism, French interactions with colonized natives sharpened negative stereotypes and lead to exacerbated distrust and dislike of sub-groups recognized as 'others,' namely Muslims. Because of this, Islamophobia has become ingrained in French cultural identity, which in turn has made France more susceptible to manipulation by far-right, populist politicians.

³¹ Paul A. Silverstein, "The Context of Antisemitism and Islamophobia in France," *Patterns of Prejudice* 42, no. 1 (2008): 8.

Nations which have experienced the power of controlling a colony are often prone to lasting xenophobic sentiments for two reasons. First, in colonizing a territory, an imperial power engages in the oppression of those they designated as sub-human natives. This process is enacted with violence and disregard for the damage to the native population, and often serves to further cement feelings of superiority in the minds of the colonizers. Second, an imperial power will likely experience violent retaliation from the natives when the time for revolution arrives. This process of decolonization in turn exacerbates sentiments of fear of the 'out-group' as the former rulers are deprived of life and power.

This chapter will look at existing theory on the implications of colonialism pertaining to the creation of xenophobic sentiments. It shall explain how the processes of colonization and decolonization breed lasting hatred and mutual fear between participants in a colonial system, due to the violence and segregation present in colonization. This chapter will demonstrate how these products of colonialism manifest culturally and politically in a postcolonial state. The chapter will show that the colonization and liberation of Algeria exemplify the existing theory on said processes of colonization. Later, this chapter will examine the various forms of Islamophobic fallout that came from the decolonization of Algeria concerning France's treatment of Muslims. This will be done through examinations of the changes in France's demographics and cultural identity. Through this look at postcolonial Islamophobia, this chapter will show how the violence of the revolution fostered lasting resentment for Muslims and Islam, further augmenting the growing Islamophobic sentiments in France dating back to the Crusades. Finally, the link between the post-colonial French Islamophobia and the support for the populist FN party

will be explained through the FN's manipulation of sentiments of fear, anger, and betrayal precipitated by the end of the colonial era.

How Colonialism Fostered Xenophobia

It is morbidly ironic and hypocritical that the majority of colonial powers in the twentieth century were liberal democracies from western Europe. Hypocritical, because France, Spain, Germany, the U.K and others acted in an uncivilized, barbaric manner in becoming colonial powers. To subjugate a territory, only the use of violence and the illiberal treatment of the conquered natives will suffice, and it is their self-justified racism which excuses them from the actions they commit.³² European empires engaged in colonization with the moral justification that they were spreading civilization to the '*Oriental*' barbarians of the southern hemisphere.³³ This justification, stemming from feelings of racial superiority and religious mandate, enabled European colonizers to perpetrate extensive violence and draft legal systems which institutionalized segregation between Europeans and non-Europeans.

The process of colonization was brutish and violent, often resulting in breaches of ethics and even genocide. In his discourse on Colonialism, Aime Cesaire depicts colonization as the antithesis of civilization for, in being a colonizing power, the colonizers become desensitized to the violent atrocities carried out against the colonized natives who they are taught to treat as savages.³⁴ He defines colonization as the "bridgehead in a campaign to civilize barbarism, from which there may emerge at any moment the negation of civilization."³⁵ This refers to the

³² Katz, 1190.

³³ Ibid., 1193.

³⁴ Cesaire, 13.

³⁵ Aime Cesaire, *Discourse on Colonialism* (New York: Monthly Review Press, 1972) 18.

transformation which occurred among ‘civilized’ peoples when they resorted to the process of ‘othering.’ To subjugate a native people and transform them into an efficient workforce, colonizers deprived natives of many rights granted to citizens of the state. The colonizer often viewed the natives as a morally obligated target for conquering under the will of God. Theorists like Renan stated that civilized, Christian Europeans had a moral obligation to bring about economic prosperity and industry to the lazy, savage, disreputable natives.³⁶ Often, this divine sense of superiority resulted in actions which would be seen as crimes against humanity in the modern era.

Once a territory was successfully conquered, the colonizers would perpetuate the segregation between the colonizer and the colonized, often through violent means. While this violence often lessens with time, the ability of the colonizer to brutalize and torture the natives for purposes of security and order is never eliminated as is done for ‘true citizens.’ Beyond the violence, colonizers viewed the indigenous population as a source of economic income which had previously been unharnessed due to the barbarian’s inherent laziness. As per their moral mandate, European colonizers would take charge of governing, manufacturing and industry, limiting the roles of the native majority to lesser occupations including agriculture and the provision of manual labor.³⁷ In doing so, foreigners destroyed the ecosystem of life and culture which had previously existed in order to establish laws and systems in adherence with their own beliefs.

Colonizing Africa and other regions also forced Europeans to engage with Muslims to a greater extent than ever before. European governments separated all involved parties by

³⁶ Ibid., 16.

³⁷ Ibid., 16.

ethnicity, religion, and place of origin, and in doing so, became more accustomed to specific traits which would form the basis of dangerous, divisive stereotypes in the future.³⁸ Groups like the Jews and Berbers were separated from Muslims based on traits such as level of religious fervor and amenability to coexistence with the European settlers. Despite the differentiations, all colonized groups were treated as inferior beings deserving of foreign rule.

The process of decolonization is alarmingly similar to the initial actions taken in colonization, the primary difference between the two being the identity of the perpetrator of violence and murder. Just as the colonizing invaders commit genocide in their efforts to subjugate those they see as inferior, so too do the natives resort to violence as a means of political change. Frantz Fanon describes the process of decolonization in certain terms as a violent one. “Decolonization is always a violent event.”³⁹ The violence of colonization which was previously diverted from the colonizers through the exhibition of force by police must eventually cease as the natives recognize that the ones responsible for the theft of their freedom are not one another but the foreign invaders.⁴⁰ This change appears to be almost inevitable in hindsight, as the oppression of a people must eventually exceed the limits of acceptance as has been demonstrated throughout history in cases from the American, to Kosovo, to Bosnia, to Algeria; the violent retaliation against lack of representation and exploitation is a natural occurrence.

In order for a people who have been oppressed for generations to affirm to themselves their new state of freedom, it becomes necessary to completely supplant the previously existing rulers. As the process of colonization takes place through the usage of violence, it is natural for

³⁸ Katz, 1193.

³⁹ Frantz Fanon, *The Wretched of the Earth* (New York: Grove Press, 1968), 1.

⁴⁰ *Ibid.*, 17.

the colonized to respond with similar methods. In responding with violence, the process of decolonization contributes further to the colonizer's xenophobia towards their colonized subjects as they lose their previously enforced sense of superiority and safety to the violent methods of decolonization. Just as terrorism spreads anxiety and fear, violent decolonization precipitates an increased fear of the 'other' and a lost sense of security. This transforms the political leanings of the colonizer by promoting increased desire for security and increased fear of retribution for past actions.

Colonialism exposed European powers to increased interactions with the indigenous peoples. In the case of the Muslims, this interaction served as a chance to differentiate between the Muslims and other factions of the natives.⁴¹ This cementing of the reasons and stereotypes which allowed the Europeans to justify their 'othering' of the Muslims plays a significant role in the persisting Islamophobia in Europe today. The 'other,' seen first as inferior, untrustworthy, and lazy, is transformed through violent actions into a subject of hatred, retribution, and fear. These two sides of xenophobia, disdain and fear, become embedded in a nation's psyche. It is the cementation and fortification of the 'us vs them' dynamic which predisposes nations to negative biases going forward. This chapter will now demonstrate exactly how France experienced the transformative effects of colonialism, and how the resulting fear of Muslims predisposed them to the allure of populist rhetoric.

⁴¹ Katz, 1193.

France and Algeria: How France's Colonialism Fostered Islamophobia

While Algeria was not the only colony that France created during its reign as an empire, it was the most important colony in terms of cultural influence, political involvement, and physical settlement of the territory by Europeans. The relationship between France and Algeria exemplifies the impacts of colonization and decolonization, and is perfect for analyzing the lasting impacts of decolonization on French cultural identity and exploring the perpetuation of Islamophobia. There are several reasons for this. The conquering of Algeria forced the French to refine their definitions and understandings of Muslims as different from other minority groups. Interactions with Algeria's Muslim population prompted settlers to more fully assess Islam as a faith and culture, eventually designating it as a hostile 'other,' in relation to French republican values. Unlike other French territories, Algeria was considered an extension of France, governed not by a colonial governor with separate laws, but directly under the authority of the French government using French laws. Algeria also experienced greater levels of mass settlement than any other colony at that time. "The settler presence gave Algeria stronger political, social and cultural ties to France than those enjoyed by any other colony."⁴² French and other Europeans settled in Algeria by the thousands, and due to the long age of of Algeria's time as a colony, many generations of colonizers, or *pieds-noirs*, came to see Algeria as their home. For these reasons, the loss of French Algeria impacted postcolonial France to a far greater degree than did the loss of other French colonies. Additionally, as the only violent decolonization process among

⁴² Jennifer E. Sessions, *By Sword and Plow: France and the Conquest of Algeria* (New York: Cornell University Press, 2011), 1-2.

the former French colonies to take thousands of lives, the Algerian Revolution left lasting scars on the French populace and exacerbated negative perceptions of Islam as a threat to France.⁴³

The relationship of violence that has come to define the French-Algerian situation began with the invasion of Algiers by King Charles X in June of 1830.⁴⁴ The French army decimated the resident Ottoman armed forces, who at the time controlled the territory, and proceeded to claim it as the newest French colony. This seizure of land was undertaken in large part as a means to promote nationalism and patriotism at home through the expansion of French power and influence.⁴⁵ The initial campaign to capture Algiers lasted three-weeks, but the years would bear witness to hundreds of thousands of deaths perpetrated by the invading French military. The first forty years of French rule resulted in the deaths of more than 800,000 Algerians through military actions alone.⁴⁶ It is believed that nearly the same number also died as a result of non-military intervention from the French and subsequent famines and droughts.⁴⁷ During this time, French colonizers experienced increased interaction with Arab Muslims and began forming more concrete stereotypes of the people as a whole. Primarily, the French perceived the Muslims they encountered to exhibit both a hostile nature, and an inherently lazy position on life.⁴⁸ Notably, the prescription of abnormal aggression and violence was derived from the acts of barbarism experienced by French soldiers during the conquering of Algeria, as they reported Muslim men engaging in horrific acts of mutilations and beheadings of their enemies.⁴⁹

⁴³ Katz, 1208.

⁴⁴ Patricia M. E. Lorcin, *Algeria & France, 1800-2000 : Identity, Memory, Nostalgia*, (Syracuse, N.Y.: Syracuse University Press, 2006): 21.

⁴⁵ Sessions, 1.

⁴⁶ Amelia Lyons, 21.

⁴⁷ Lyons, 21.

⁴⁸ Katz, 1200.

⁴⁹ Silverstein, 7.

The French saw the conquest of Algeria much as any other European power would. It was a territory to be taken for the glory of France, a target which would increase popular support and boost prestige and the economy. France further justified the colonization of Algeria through claims of undertaking a “civilizing mission,” in an effort to bring culture and modernity to the savages of the African continent.⁵⁰ These justifications continue to bias French perception of the period of colonialism, but that topic will be discussed further down in the paper.

During the initial invasion, France portrayed the leaders of Algeria as Islamic fanatics and oriental despots.⁵¹ This permitted Europeans to better justify the conquest and the resulting hundreds of thousands of deaths as a necessary toll for the spread of civilization and the Christian faith to the backwards peoples of Algeria.⁵² This justification enabled European colonizers to assure themselves of their own moral duty, even while torturing and killing the native populations. This xenophobic sense of superiority existed long before the period of colonialism, but the physical act of conquest and the following treatment of the natives cemented the righteousness of the European position further throughout the colonial period.

The French were able to justify actions perpetrated against the Arab majority in large part because of their internalized sense of morality and superiority. For the European colonizers, Muslims represented an inherently fanatical and zealous population, whose beliefs and traditions could never truly coexist with the various European models.⁵³ For the French, this was particularly prevalent going forward as the French ideals of *liberte, egalite, and fraternite*, as well as their belief in secularism stood in contrast with the teachings of Islam.

⁵⁰ Sessions, 15.

⁵¹ Ibid, 33.

⁵² Amelia H. Lyons, *The Civilizing Mission in the Metropole: Algerian Families and the French Welfare State during Decolonization*, (CA: Stanford University Press, 2013): 18.

⁵³ Silverstein, 7..

Part of the process of colonization included the rewriting of Algeria's history to further justify the presence of the French. One author, Robert Randau, overwrote the exotic history of Algeria with depictions of pre-French Algeria as "characterized by utter squalor, infertile land, filth, and piracy."⁵⁴ This rewriting of history continues to match with France's tendency to define their imperial conquests as a morally justified and mandated undertaking. While the massacre of an indigenous peoples for economic gain would frame French Algeria as a patriotic political move, the framing of the invasion and subsequent subjugation and oppression in terms of a morally mandated *mission civilisatrice* is more desirable.⁵⁵ This is significant, as that same mission continues to play a role in the political definitions of French identity for those from the conservative or extreme-right side of the political spectrum. The adherence to *mission civilisatrice* also persists in the education of France's youths, as demonstrated by the 2005 law which mandated the teaching of France's positive role in their colonial efforts in history classes while ignoring the horrible actions taken.⁵⁶

It is untrue to say that the Algerian Revolution represented a new and spontaneous development in the history of Franco-Algerian relations. All throughout the history of French Algeria, *colons* had seen the Muslim population as a threat to their safety. Following the suppression of the Berbers in Constantine by French forces in 1871, the Algerian colonists retaliated for the 2,686 settlers who were killed by the rebels.⁵⁷ The following reparations and seizures of land impoverished the Algerians of all backgrounds and resulted in widespread

⁵⁴ Samuel Kalman, *French Colonial Fascism* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013): 10.

⁵⁵ Katz, 1195

⁵⁶ Yvonne Yazbeck Haddad and Michael J. Balz, "The October Riots in France: A Failed Immigration Policy or the Empire Strikes Back?" *International Migration* 44, no. 2 (2006): 26.

⁵⁷ Kalman, 15.

famine and poverty.⁵⁸ By the 1930s dissent had begun to foment in serious quantity across Algeria and calls for revolution began.

The Algerian War persisted from 1954 to 1962, and resulted in extensive casualties, with reports ranging from 400,000 to 1.5 million casualties⁵⁹ It was during the war that France became exposed to another threat posed by Islam beyond the symbolic. The association of the acts of terrorism, designed as a form of asymmetric warfare to counter France's greater resources and military capability, introduced terroristic threat to the perceptions of Muslims.

Towards the end of the war, the rate of bombings increased substantially. These bombings were carried out by both sides during the conflict, represented by the FLN for Algeria the *Organisation de l'Armée Secrète* OAS for France, and the attacks occurred on both sides of the mediterranean.⁶⁰ In January and February alone, France experienced 111 bombings while Algeria endured a further 555 just in the month of January.⁶¹ This heightened usage of terrorist tactics and bombings strengthened French fears of Islamist fundamentalism in the decades to follow, and this impact will be discussed to a greater extent in the next chapter.

When the war did come to an end with the Evian accords under the guidance of Charles de Gaulle, who had been recalled to deal with the issue of the Algerian Revolution, many felt betrayed that de Gaulle failed to do more to maintain the French empire. In particular, the *pieds-noirs* saw this act as an abandonment by the French government.

⁵⁸ Ibid., 15.

⁵⁹ Jennifer E. Sessions, *By Sword and Plow: France and the Conquest of Algeria* (New York: Cornell University Press, 2011): 2.

⁶⁰ Andrea Smith, *Colonial Memory and Postcolonial Europe : Maltese Settlers in Algeria and France* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2006): 144.

⁶¹ Ibid.

When the Arab revolutionaries regained power over Algeria, they demonstrated a failure to differentiate between the Europeans and other non-Arabs such as the 100,000 plus Jewish citizens of Algeria who were prevailed upon to leave the newly liberated state to much the same degree as the descendants of France. Where France had initially believed that the French and European settlers would be allowed to continue residing in Algeria following the end of the empire, the violent actions perpetrated by the FLN and threats of continued violence against any settlers who remained changed the situation. Instead of a small, steady influx of a couple hundred thousand settlers over several years, France experienced a swarm of nearly one million fleeing repatriates in the few months following liberation.⁶²

Postcolonial France

The decolonization of French Algeria was a bloody affair, characterized primarily by brutal violence and acts of terror on a scale beyond that exhibited by any other former French colony. Both the Algerian Muslims and the European settlers in Algeria arguably had a right to call Algeria home, as the settlers, while ethnically foreign, had made major contributions to the success of Algeria through contributions to industry and healthcare among others.⁶³ This fact made the results of the war more crippling for the defeated French, as the settlers felt themselves betrayed by both the Algerians and the French government who had failed to protect their right to live in their homeland. In the postcolonial period the relationship between France and Islam had already transformed from one of distrust to one of anger and fear. The casualties of the war had exacerbated negative threat perceptions and cemented Islam as a source of violence and as a

⁶² Stockmer, 7.

⁶³ Dorothy Pickles, *Algeria and France: From Colonialism to Cooperation* (London: Routledge, 2015), 6.

threat to French values. Following the war, Islamophobic sentiments pervaded the postcolonial atmosphere as mostly white, Catholic French males engaged in the mistreatment of Muslim migrants through socio-political actions and physical abuses. Finally, the influx of Muslim migrants in postcolonial France served to heighten the perceived threats posed by Islam through its increase in visibility to the French population.⁶⁴ How visibility leads to fear reading

Changed Perception of Muslims in Postcolonial France

As discussed earlier, the years of colonialism had changed the French perception of Muslims by forcing increased levels of interactions between the two groups. Even before the revolution, the French had come to view Muslims as a symbolic threat to the French republican ideology and had refused them citizenship until 1958, only a few years before the liberation of Algeria.⁶⁵ By the end of the revolution, the acts of terrorism perpetrated by the FLN and the mounting casualties experienced by the French, both at home and abroad, had exacerbated the already strained tensions between the two ideological groups.

While the French had always seen Jews, Muslims, and other *Oriental* factions as lesser, the period of colonialism forced the French to recognize the unique differences and problems posed by the integration of Muslims into French society. Having qualified Muslims as violent, lazy, and hyper-religious to such a degree as to dub them incapable of assimilation into secular French society, the French in the post-colonial era viewed Muslim immigrants as symbolic, realistic, and terroristic threats to French society.⁶⁶ This hardening of the French attitude towards Muslims paired with the psychological damage of losing their last, most integrated colony left

⁶⁴ Jolly and DiGiusto, 464.

⁶⁵ Silverstein, 7.

⁶⁶ *Ibid*, 10.

the French feeling weak and afraid. This, coupled with the historically mounting fear and distrust of the culturally and religiously repellent Muslims resulted in a French nation awash with Islamophobic views.

It is because of the violence and fear that came to be associated with Muslims during the Algerian Revolution and the early postcolonial era that France's discussions on modern threats of Islam have an embedded bias. "In France, the intellectual debates on the contemporary risks of Islamic radicalization have as a result been enriched by an older engagement with the Algerian situation."⁶⁷ Terrorism and threats to French republican ideals became the themes associated with Muslims, and this has persisted to today. This phenomenon is not confined to France either, as a recent study of eight European nations discovered that 50% of Europeans interviewed believed that Islam is an intolerant faith.⁶⁸ These perceptions of Islam, continue to frame the debate on the risks of Islamification across Europe, and have done so for generations

The French perception of Islam as a source of terrorism emerged from the events that transpired in the final days of the Revolution. "The Algerian Front de liberation nationale (FLN) drew much of its rhetoric and motivation from Islam, and many French soon developed a tremendous fear of (the) religion."⁶⁹ While the French had previously come to associate Algerian Muslims with laziness, aggression, and zealotry, the added threat of terrorism did not truly manifest in the French psyche until the extensive bombings of France and metropolitan Algeria were carried out by the FLN. While this form of asymmetric warfare is common during the

⁶⁷ Natalie J. Doyle, "Lessons from France: Popularist Anxiety and Veiled Fears of Islam," *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations* 22 (4), 2011, 476.

⁶⁸ Doyle, 483.

⁶⁹ Eva Połowska-Kimunguyi and Marie Gillespie, "Terrorism Discourse on French International Broadcasting: France 24 and the Case of Charlie Hebdo Attacks in Paris." *European Journal of Communication* 31, no. 5 (2016): 571.

decolonization process, the religious dimension given to it by the Quranic teachings cast the whole of Islam as intrinsically dangerous to the French: not only symbolically, but as a source of terrorism as well.

Treatment of Muslims in Postcolonial France

The shift in psychological perceptions of Islam might well explain the perpetuation of violence against Muslim migrants in postcolonial France. During the Algerian Revolution, French police and citizens engaged in acts of violence and persecution against Algerian nationals living in France. On October 17, 1961, nearly thirty thousand Algerian nationalists were brutalized by the police of Paris when they came out to demonstrate in solidarity with Algeria's liberation.⁷⁰ In the days following, estimates state that the police killed between thirty-one and two hundred demonstrators for breaking the Parisian curfew and supporting Algerian liberation.⁷¹ Furthermore, to cover up the violent acts, all police and military were granted amnesty for all war crimes in 1968.⁷² While mass killings of Algerians did not continue into postcolonial France, acts of violence and aggression persisted through various forms of violent politicized actions.

One example of this perpetuated bad blood between the hereditary French and the Muslim migrants was the periodic violent summers which occurred throughout the 1970s and early 1980s. These "murderous summers" referred to the gathering of groups of French citizens, usually white catholic males, to assault Algerian Muslim immigrant workers.⁷³ "In sport-like fashion, a group of white *beaufs* would set upon the *raton* (rat), and beat him up, destroy his

⁷⁰ Lorcin, 117.

⁷¹ Ibid., 118.

⁷² Ibid., 119.

⁷³ Silverstein, 11.

identity papers or payslips (thus making his stay in France illegitimate), and leave him for dead.”

⁷⁴ This form of Islamophobic lynching, while violent, was itself the manifestation of the police brutality and murder experienced legally during the Algerian Revolution.

After the end of these violent summers, a new more symbolic form of persecution gained prevalence among older white Frenchmen. The prey in these situations being young ‘immigrant’ children, regardless of whether they were indeed immigrants or just looked to be so. “The children were shot at, sniper-style, by (mostly elderly white male) neighbours from their apartment windows.”⁷⁵ This violent hazing fostered a particularly sinister symbolic meaning as the shooting of children symbolized a deeper exclusion from French nationhood.⁷⁶ The 1980s also saw the increasing use of unnecessary force carried out by French police against Muslim inhabitants of the *banlieues*.⁷⁷ This trait of unwarranted brutality perpetrated against Muslim immigrants has persisted through to today, as will be examined in chapter three.

Extensive Repatriation of Settlers (Pieds-Noirs)

For those descended from French colonizers, the revolt of the Muslim majority represented an attack on their legacy. For these *pieds-noirs*, the colonization of Algeria had been an action of benevolence, designed to bring civilization to the barbarians and savages through the introduction of Christianity, infrastructure, ethics, and culture.⁷⁸ Even to this day, the French population has difficulty regarding their period of imperial rule in negative terms, preferring to

⁷⁴ Ibid.

⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁶ Ibid.

⁷⁷ Ibid, 12.

⁷⁸ Ibid, 6.

focus on the benefits that they believe they brought to the Algerian people.⁷⁹ For them, the Algerian Revolution represented a betrayal of their good works, and signified proof that Islam could not coexist with French republican ideals. For the *pieds-noirs*, to be forced back to the mainland away from their familial homes was an affront to the legitimacy of their colonial mission and their inherent racial superiority.

The primary demographic fallout from the liberation of Algeria was the subsequent forced repatriation of around 1 million *pieds-noirs* by the French government.⁸⁰ This large and costly relocation sprouted from continued threats to the livelihoods and safety of those *pieds-noirs* still remaining in Algeria brought on by the rise of the previously oppressed Muslim majority.⁸¹ After returning to mainland France, the *pieds-noirs* repatriates were treated with a certain amount of disdain. French public opinion had begun to sour towards the actions of the Algerian French during the events of the Algerian Revolution and the sudden repatriation of these descendents of French heritage was greeted coolly by the skeptical French public. For many including the presiding government, the repatriated *pieds-noirs* represented not only a black mark on the history of French social republicanism, but also a threatening increase in competition for jobs.

For some of the French, the *pieds-noirs* were demonized as the antagonists of the Algerian Revolution. Much in the same manner that France attempted to remove blame from themselves concerning their participation in the Vichy Regime, the New Left in France placed

⁷⁹ Ibid, 7.

⁸⁰ Stockmer, 7.

⁸¹ Sung-Eun Choi, *Decolonization and the French of Algeria: Bringing the Settler Colony Home* (Cambridge UK, 2016): 52.

the blame for all offenses of torture and abuses of Algerians on the returning *pieds-noirs*, labeling them as fascists and unworthy of being French, “like all people from Algeria.”⁸²

The post-colonial period of the 70s and 80s was characterized by brutal. Extrajudicial actions taken against Algerian and Muslim immigrants on the basis of their ethnicity and religion. These actions perpetuated the sense of French superiority, while adding to the division between the two cultures. It is on this history that the FN bases its legitimacy as a defender of the French people from the violent and intrusive ‘other,’ the Muslims.

Le Front National and Postcolonial Islamophobia

How can it be said that the events of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries have provided fuel for populist rhetoric in the twenty-first century? Having shown that postcolonial France was rife with Islamophobic sentiments, how has populist rhetoric capitalized on this fear to push forward far-right, anti-immigrant policies in the 21st century? Populism, as previously discussed, rises from fears that the established government is failing to look out for the physical and economic security of its people. Support for populism often focuses on support for platforms of hyper-nationalism, anti-immigration practices, and identification of a ‘true’ national identity which presents voters with a designated dichotomy of ‘us’ versus ‘them.’⁸³ It follows that a population is more susceptible to the allure of populism when their identity has close ties to sentiments of xenophobia and self-aggrandizement or in the case of the French, *exceptionalisme*. *Exceptionalisme* is the French belief that their ideals of *liberte*, *egalite*, and *fraternite*, as well as their staunch adherence to secularism, all have universal value among the French and anyone

⁸² Lorcin, 152.

⁸³ DeAngelis, 83.

trying to become French must merit it through the complete acceptance of these values. In the postcolonial period following the Algerian Revolution, the physical entry of *pieds-noirs* coupled with the psychological change in French perception of their place in the international community both contributed to the creation and rise of the FN. More importantly, the resulting cultural acceptance of the antagonism between republicanism and Islam continues to serve as a validation for the FN.

Following the Second World War, France was a hostile environment for far-right political sentiment. Their efforts to expunge residual memories and guilt from the legacy of the Vichy regime made it nearly impossible for any extremist far-right political candidates or organizations to gain traction.⁸⁴ The turning point for this rhetoric came with the Algerian Revolution; the violence and terrorism enacted by the Muslim population both in Algeria and in France rekindled the lights of populist sentiment in France. The 1950s saw the renewed presence of extreme-right organizations in politics whose primary talking point was the need to maintain control of French Algeria.⁸⁵ Beyond the political spectrum, the events of the Algerian revolution prompted the creation of secret organizations such as the *Organisation de l'Armée Secrète* (OAS), a paramilitary group which engaged in violent acts of retribution and terrorism against Algerian nationals and Muslims throughout and after the war.⁸⁶

Demographic Change as benefit to Populist Movements

The influx of Muslim workers was not the only mass immigration experienced by France in the years following Algerian liberation. The hundreds of thousands of repatriated *pieds-noirs*

⁸⁴ Stockemer, 7.

⁸⁵ Ibid.

⁸⁶ Ibid.

had also arrived in France in 1962 and they too met with ostracization and social reprisals by the natural-born French. On returning to France, the *pieds-noirs* were seen not as French natives returning home, but as immigrants from a failed colonial period who were responsible for atrocities enacted against the Algerian natives. Pushed to the sidelines of society, they saw their return as a failure of the French government to look out for their rights and livelihoods. For them, it was an opportunity lost.⁸⁷

The returned settlers had been designated under the general banner of immigrant following their forced departure from Algeria. For them, this title ignored their cultural heritage and lumped them in together with the Muslims who had forced them to abandon the world they had settled and come to know as home. Not sure what the theory is but, individuals who find themselves as partially members of a nation will often engage in hyper nationalistic behavior to prove to others their validity as a national. For the returning colonizers, this manifested in a focus on French identity based on culture rather than on political definitions.⁸⁸ For them, there was and continues to be a difference between those whose heritage is ‘true’ French, and the immigrants from abroad, particularly those of Arab descent.

Unlike the Muslim immigrants, the *pieds-noirs* were not condemned by the Front National as non-French immigrants, come to change the French way of life. Instead, Jean-Marie Le Pen and his party embraced the returned settlers on the grounds of national identity being decided not by the place of one’s immediate birth or one’s processing through the legal system, but on one’s adherence to French cultural ideology.

⁸⁷ Choi, 128.

⁸⁸ Ibid., 129.

The Islamophobia experienced by the *pied-noirs* in their flight from their homeland in Algeria predisposed them to the anti-immigration rhetoric which denounced Muslims as a virus upon France. While other members of the French bureaucracy had generalized the returning settlers in the same position as all other immigrants, the FN had supported them and validated their French cultural identity. It is no wonder that the FN has continued to draw much of its support from the families of the repatriated French citizens in the decades following. As pointed out by Daniel Stockemer:

“Although it is no longer the primary socialization mechanism, about 25 % of my interviewees were socialized by parents who embraced radical right-wing thoughts and ideologies. These individuals have a traditional FN background. They are descendants of former Algerian colonizers, come from a traditional Catholic milieu, and/or have parents that were active in the FN or another radical or extreme-right-wing group.”⁸⁹

The *pieds-noirs* and their descendants have consistently constituted a strong base for the far-right populist party since its formation. This is primarily a result of the desire of the repatriated citizens to be considered French once more, as opposed to being seen as ‘others’ by members of what they see as their own people and culture. The FN provides *pieds-noirs* with a political outlet that supports their perceptions of French ethno-cultural identity as the primary determinant of national identity and gives them a voice against the establishment of the government which cost them their familial homes in Algeria.

Cultural Validity for the Extreme Right

Perhaps the most important implications of the rise of Islamophobic sentiments in postcolonial France concerning the FN is the capability the FN has gained in normalizing their

⁸⁹ Stockemer, 63.

mantra. By virtue of being a far-right, populist party, the FN would normally continue to exist on the fringes of the political process, seen more as an annoying product of disgruntlement among the unemployed and those who believe their government fails to work for them. However, because France's xenophobic sentiments are based not on fully irrational fears which occur on a case-by-case basis, but on a history of violence, terrorism, and repeated interactions, the threats of violence and Islamification which are used in the rhetoric of the FN hold broader credibility. The longstanding fears of Islam allow politicians like Marine Le Pen to characterize her comments and her calls to action not as Islamophobic, but as republican. France's willingness to accept that Muslims represent numerous forms of threats to the French way of life, enables populist politics to become a more legitimate defense not of extreme-right policies, but of French republican values.

Conclusion

A nation which has experienced the power of being an imperial colonizer, and has proceeded to lose that power through the violent process of decolonization is more susceptible to the rise of populist movements because of the resulting xenophobia vis-a-vis their former subjects. In the case of France and her colonies, and her relationship with Algeria in particular, this xenophobic-backed populism is very potent due to the numerous threats seen to be posed by Islamic culture concerning French republican values.

More than just xenophobia, the French populace has experienced a very real sense of betrayal derived from the actions of the Muslim revolutionaries during the Algerian Revolution. The acts of terror perpetrated by the oppressed natives of the colony planted a specific sense of

xenophobia in the hearts of the French people thanks to their actions being influenced by the teachings of Islam. The colonization and decolonization of Algeria instilled in the French a sense of ‘otherness’ concerning Muslims and believers in Islam. This Islamophobia, spread through a sense of self-pity, cemented by the arrival of the embittered *pieds-noirs*, and perpetuated by the denial of French-directed atrocities against their former colonial subjects, has changed the French psyche. This change will be examined further in future chapters concerning the passing of legislation banning physical representations of Islam in public.

France’s colonial history and her continued belief in the importance of her republican values and secular identity have predisposed her to being the perfect target for xenophobic populist rhetoric. The following rise of the Front National as a prominent and established party in the years following the liberation of Algeria, while certainly due to a multitude of factors, is a demonstration of the impact that Islamophobia has on the political system in France. The Algerian Revolution reintroduced far-right sentiments into French politics, and the violent interactions and terrorism of the period established a firm perceived threat posed by Muslims and their faith to French. The FN gained significant ground in the following years by capitalizing off of the Islamophobic sentiments left over from colonial times. More importantly, the FN has consistently utilized France’s commitments to secularism and universalism as validation for anti-Muslim rhetoric by claiming that they are acting for the betterment of all French. However, their definition of ‘all French’ remains exclusive and ethnocentric. Going forward, this paper will demonstrate how, while other events and circumstances have exacerbated the sentiments of Islamophobia and resulted in increased support for the FN, the sentiments themselves have long been a part of French identity.

The Exacerbation of French Islamophobia: Terrorism in France

Forward

The acts of terrorism which have plagued France in the twenty-first century provide significant evidence for the link between rising Islamophobic sentiments and increased support for populism. Terrorism promotes populism in France for four reasons. First, terrorism incites emotional reactions of fear and anger. In doing so, terrorism increases political participation, particularly among individuals who previously were inactive in the political sphere. Second, constituents and politicians gravitate towards right-wing authoritarian policies post-terror, sacrificing civil liberties for more authoritarian security.⁹⁰ Third, France's pre-existing fears of Islam and the supposed incompatibility between French cultural identity and the cultural teachings of Islam contextualizes terrorism in cultural-political dimensions. Fourth, the political reactions to acts of terrorism serve to further legitimize the Front National platform by targeting Muslims as a single group. These four functions of terrorism support the rising power and influence of the FN in France.

Introduction

On January 7, 2015, two men arrived at the Paris office building of Charlie Hebdo, a satirical magazine outlet known for distributing derogatory comics and images debasing the religion of Islam and the prophet Muhammed. There, Said Kouachi and his brother Cherif Kouachi entered the establishment with Kalashnikov rifles and murdered 12 people. The next

⁹⁰ Bethany Albertson and Shana Kushner Gadarian, *Anxious Politics: Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World* (NY: Cambridge University Press, 2015): 13.

day a policewoman is killed. The day after that, a man named Amedy Coulibaly took several hostages in a Jewish supermarket, killing four of them. All four perpetrators are killed in shootouts with French police. All four of the attackers were associated with al-Qaeda, and their actions were lauded by the Islamic state known as ISIS.⁹¹ After leaving the ruined offices of Charlie Hebdo, the Kouachi brothers were captured on an amateur recording, saying that they had avenged the Prophet Muhammed.⁹²

It was the first instance of coordinated terrorism on French soil in twenty years, and it would begin a new period of terror in France. Later that same year, France experienced a loss of life “not witnessed since the end of the Second World War,” when the November Paris Attacks resulted in 130 deaths and over 400 injuries.⁹³ Two years later, Presidential candidate Marine Le Pen succeeded in garnering one-third of the vote as a fringe-party, right-wing extremist candidate. What is the connection between the advent of terrorism and the rise of populist support? Has the increase in acts of terror by Muslim Fundamentalists caused the increased support for populist leaders and changed people’s hearts? Or were the conditions and sentiments already there and the attacks only exacerbated them? This chapter will seek to answer these questions through a targeted analysis of the major terror attacks of the 21st century using existing theory on the impact of fear, anxiety, and anger on the people’s ideologies and actions, and the political results following acts of terror.

This chapter will begin by defining terrorism through its causes and justifications, before reviewing existing literature on the psychological and political fallout of terrorism. Next follows

⁹¹ Lawrence Davidson, “Who Is Charlie?” *Middle East Policy* 23, no. 1, (2016): 170.

⁹² Eva Polonska-Kimunguyi and Marie Gillespie, “Terrorism discourse on French international broadcasting: France 24 and the case of Charlie Hebdo attacks in Paris,” *European Journal of Communication* 3, no. 5 (2016): 574.

⁹³ Gino G. Raymond, “After Charlie : The Unravelling of the French Republican Response,” *Patterns of Prejudice* 52, no. 1 (2018): 26.

an overview of the three major acts of terrorism faced by France during the 21st century, namely the Charlie Hebdo shootings, the November Paris Attacks, and the Bastille Day truck massacre in Nice. This section will review the events of the attacks, their context, and the immediate public reactions. It shall evaluate how the impacts of terrorism extended beyond physical damage to determine how terrorism has impacted France socially and politically. Shifts towards right-wing “authoritarian policies at the expense of civil liberties,” loss of faith in established regimes, “support of high-risk aggressive political actions,” and lowering of tolerance for perceived “out-groups and ideological rivals,” are some examples of this fallout.⁹⁴ Additionally, these case studies will examine the actions taken by the media and the government in framing the attacks and the significance of their actions. Finally, this chapter shall conclude by looking at how this surge in terrorism has been used by the Front National to spread their influence and give their movement legitimacy.

What is Terrorism?

From the shootings at the Charlie Hebdo magazine to the November attacks to the tragedy in Nice, all of these attacks fell within the definition of terrorism. One proposed argument is that terrorism is a “manifestation of political violence that is distinct from other types of violence, such as organized crime, mass civil conflict, riots or uprisings.”⁹⁵ The primary purpose of such premeditated attacks is the creation of a “climate of extreme fear” through which a terrorist can address a wider audience with their political and/or ideological statements.⁹⁶ It is

⁹⁴ Pavlos Vasilopoulos, “Terrorist Events, Emotional Reactions, and Political Participation: The 2015 Paris Attacks,” *West European Politics* 41, no. 1, 2018, 102.

⁹⁵ Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 571-72.

⁹⁶ *Ibid.*, 572.

through the spread of these targeted messages and the spread of terror that a single individual or group can garner an entire nation's attention.

The act of terrorism is a heavily politicized one, designed to shock the public and bring about change through violent acts. Sylvain Brouard presents a French interpretation of terrorism in his article on the political responses to the 2015 and 2016 terror attacks in France. He defines terrorism as “a systematic campaign of indiscriminate violence against public civilian targets to influence a wider audience.”⁹⁷ Terrorists commit acts of violence to spread fear and political unrest throughout a nation. In some cases, terrorism is born from a domestic impetus, where disgruntled citizens resort to violence for reasons of securing political change in their own government. However, in the French case, while the perpetrators have originated in France as citizens, the overarching discourse on contemporary terrorism depicts the attacks not as domestic disgruntlement, but as an imported hatred and extremism from Islamism abroad. This presents another issue, as it overlooks the failure of the French government to effectively integrate Muslim immigrants into French society, placing the blame firmly on Islamist fundamentalism, but this topic will be addressed in full later on in this paper.

These violent demonstrations can physically manifest in many diverse ways including the taking of hostages, the blowing-up of an important building or landmark, or the indiscriminate shooting of an unarmed population. What matters when defining and understanding terrorism is that the perpetrators have a political objective for which they are not only willing but eager to target civilians with deadly force. The results of this are at best, the elimination of the aggressors by law-enforcement, and at worst, the deaths of any number of people and possibly the

⁹⁷ Sylvain Brouard, Pavlos Vasilopoulos, and Martial Foucault, “How terrorism affects political attitudes: France in the aftermath of the 2015-2016 attacks,” *West European Politics* 41, no. 5, 2018, 1074.

destruction of commercial, political, or cultural edifices. While the initial strike of terrorism is often what is focused on in the media and later on in history books, it is the fallout of the attack which is most important to the analysis of terror's political impacts.

Emotional Reactions to Terrorism and their Political Implications

Existing literature on terrorism has determined that there are several common emotional reactions which follow a successful act of terror on a mass scale. These emotions and their impacts on following actions can change in severity depending on the violence and shock of the situation as well as on the previously existing conditions. Most importantly, the way in which media portrays an act of terror plays a significant role in the reactions of those affected by the fear of terrorism. Acts of terror create widespread sentiments of fear and anger in the targeted population. These psychological reactions to terror impact not only the amount of time and effort spent participating in political functions, but also the views one has concerning party affiliation values, particularly security. Individuals who feel threatened by acts of terror have historically demonstrated shifts in political views, tending to lean further to the right on policy issues of security.⁹⁸ And all of these reactions are heightened by the work of the news media following an attack as media outlets are wont to reinforce personal political agendas with biased wording, visuals, and reporting.

According to Pavlos Vasilopoulos, an associate researcher at the Centre de Recherches Politiques, there are two primary psychological reactions following acts of terrorism; fear and anger.⁹⁹ In both cases, the subject experiencing said emotion is faced with a situation that is alien

⁹⁸ Brouard, Vasilopoulos, and Foucault, 1076.

⁹⁹ Vasilopoulos, 103.

to them; one that disrupts their “desired condition.”¹⁰⁰ Additionally, the spread of either of these emotional states coupled with “exposure to violence tends to increase political participation.”¹⁰¹ However, fear and anger manifest in significantly different ways on the political spectrum as will be demonstrated later using empirical data collected before and after the Charlie Hebdo Shootings and the November ‘15 Paris attacks.

Fear: Increased Seeking for Threatening Information

The initial reaction following acts of terror is often one of increased anxiety and fear. Anxiety arises in situations where the public is subjected to a situation they perceive as threatening, specifically in a matter that they feel is out of their control.¹⁰² Following a violent event lying outside of ordinary conditions, witnesses to violence experience fear that their security is not being upheld. This fear often translates into a breakdown of trust for their government, upon whom the public relies to provide for their safety.¹⁰³ This breakdown of trust only occurs for a government who is seen as incapable of addressing the source of the anxiety. A capable government that presented itself as capable of handling the situation would instead receive an increase in public trust.¹⁰⁴

Existing literature shows that “fear enhances the political information-seeking process,” particularly among individuals who previously have refrained from engaging in any significant political participation.¹⁰⁵ Fear itself is the feeling of helplessness in the face of threatening

¹⁰⁰ Ibid, 105.

¹⁰¹ Ibid, 103.

¹⁰² Bethany Albertson and Shana Kushner Gadarian, *Anxious Politics: Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World* (NY: Cambridge University Press, 2015): 8.

¹⁰³ Ibid, 2.

¹⁰⁴ Ibid, 75.

¹⁰⁵ Vasilopoulos, 103.

conditions, making it natural for humans to seek out additional information to better prepare themselves to cope with the threatening situations.¹⁰⁶ Unfortunately, the types of information pursued by individuals driven by extraordinary anxiety are often of a biased nature which can negatively impact the knowledge obtained.¹⁰⁷ “Individuals... will concentrate on threatening information because this information may seem more relevant to avoiding future harms and thus potentially reducing anxiety than positive information.”¹⁰⁸ In the days following acts of terror, this inclination to seek out threatening information can prove damaging to the processing of facts as terrorism transforms from an unframed disaster to a politicized phenomenon. Particularly as the media has demonstrated itself to be inherently biased against Muslims in the reporting on terrorism.¹⁰⁹

Affective Intelligence theory states that citizens alternate between two types of political decision-making strategies depending on their external environment. In times of peace and stability, people rely on habit, following practices that maximize their usage of time. However, when placed in dangerous or threatening situations, individuals transform into attentive voters because their anxiety enhances political interest and the desire to learn more.¹¹⁰ One byproduct of this newfound desire to be informed is the increased capacity to reject established ideologies in favor of “contemporary assessments of their political environment.”¹¹¹

One issue with the increased following of news on tv following acts of terrorism is that, while more information is collected and political involvement increases, those individuals who

¹⁰⁶ Albertson and Gadarian, 10.

¹⁰⁷ Ibid, 10.

¹⁰⁸ Albertson and Gadarian, 10

¹⁰⁹ Matthew Moran, “Terrorism and the banlieues: the Charlie Hebdo attacks in context,” *Modern & Contemporary France* 25, no. 3 (2017): 316.

¹¹⁰ Vasilopoulos, 105.

¹¹¹ Vasilopoulos, 105.

previously lacked political sophistication have trouble discerning between objective reporting and media with an agenda. The media consumed following the terrorist attacks in 2015 tended to export certain unfounded biases and generalizations. Despite the fact that the majority of the terrorist attacks experienced by France have been committed by French citizens, France 24, the French international broadcaster, portrayed the shootings on January 7th as “an external phenomenon coming to France from outside,” and as such bought into the larger discourse on terrorism in the western world.¹¹²

Anger: Increased Willingness to Participate in Political Functions

Following the onset of fear, public opinion becomes influenced to a greater degree by the influx of news media concerning the event. The media, in turn, delivers a target at which the public can direct their emotions and begin to reacquire the sense of control. By introducing a subject for the public to view as the reason for the change in desired conditions, the news media changes the psychological stimulus presented to the public and introduces anger as a second widespread psychological disposition. While fear spreads in reaction to unfamiliar situations that are uncontrollable to the individual, anger “arises when “one’s existing desired condition is disrupted, often by intentional actions of an identified other, and when the individual feels confident in their resources to attack the blame target.”¹¹³ It is the existence of this identified ‘other’ which allows feelings of concern and fear to be channeled and redirected into action. It is through anger that a terrorized populace discovers the drive to strike back against the supposed perpetrators of the central harmful event.

¹¹² Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 568.

¹¹³ Vasilopoulos, 105.

Where the fearful act under the perception that they cannot control their altered conditions, the allure of anger lies in that it provides the individual “a sense of control over the threatening stimulus.”¹¹⁴ Following the Charlie Hebdo shootings, 67% of survey French citizens reported feelings of anger in response to the disruption of their peaceful society.¹¹⁵ It is therefore understandable that the public response, where 6% of the French population took to the streets to demonstrate, achieved its unheard of rates of participation.¹¹⁶ Anger is enticing when compared to fear as it represents the retaking of control from external forces. Having someone to blame for the negative changes in one’s environment, whether the changes come in the form of unemployment or a nearby shooting, is a choice many will make to escape the the lack of control felt while suffering under fear.

Shifts in Political Views

Following the immediate psychological changes spread by acts of terror, there is often a shift in political ideological positions towards a more authoritarian right-wing policies concerning matters of security. Feelings of anxiety often push individuals to search out authority figures on the matter in question. Oftentimes, one political party or partisan wing controls a certain policy more than the other. Traditionally speaking, issues of terrorism, security, and immigration have been framed as major issues and focuses of far-right political parties.¹¹⁷

When seeking to improve safety after increased awareness of risk, individuals are often willing to sacrifice civil liberties in exchange for authoritarian security measures. This is

¹¹⁴ Ibid, 105.

¹¹⁵ Ibid, 105.

¹¹⁶ Davidson, 171.

¹¹⁷ Albertson and Gadarian, 24.

particularly true if the civil liberty restrictions target a specific perceived out-group in the community which has been framed as a scapegoat.¹¹⁸ This comes from the desire of individuals to gain control over their situation through improved understanding of the issue. However, the willingness to accept elite and media opinions as fact can allow for framing of an accident beyond its actual parameters. This leads to the manipulation of the public for personal interest.¹¹⁹ Had the terrorist attacks in France not been framed as direct results of Islam, the events likely would have been seen as the actions of a few disgruntled individuals, rather than as a symptom of Islam and Muslim presence in France.

Having examined the existing literature on the impacts of terrorism on popular emotions, political participation, and policy preferences, it is now time to return to the case of terrorism in France. The following case analyses will examine the major terror events of 2015 and 2016 and demonstrate how their existence catalyzed support for the FN's populist, anti-immigrant rhetoric, and how terrorism made French politicians more likely to adopt far-right policies pertaining to security due to perceived popular demand.

Case Studies of the Reactions to Terrorism

When discussing modern terrorism in France there are three events which warrant evaluation and analysis. The Charlie Hebdo Shootings, the November Paris Attacks, and the Truck Massacre in Nice are uniquely memorable for their violence, shock, and sheer magnitude. They also support existing theory as 46% of French citizens polled expressed feeling fear following the Charlie Hebdo shootings.¹²⁰ Following the November attacks, similar results were

¹¹⁸ Ibid., 13.

¹¹⁹ Ibid., 14.

¹²⁰ Vasilopoulos, 109.

reported in the form of heightened levels of fear and anger.¹²¹ Understanding the responses to these events and the trends following them is crucial to understanding how the Front National capitalized off of terror-induced Islamophobia.

Charlie Hebdo Shootings (January 7-9, 2015)

As addressed at the beginning of the chapter, the Charlie Hebdo shootings and subsequent killings on January 8 and 9 left 17 French citizens dead before French police managed to hunt down and kill the perpetrators. The Kouachi brothers and Amedy Coulibaly had previously planned this attack out in large part as an act of revenge for the offensive anti-Muslim material that Charlie Hebdo was known for.¹²² The shootings at the Charlie Hebdo office marked the first act of coordinated terrorism on French soil in twenty years. This event shocked France out of its relative complacency as the most secure western democracy and reopened political discourse on the presumed security of the nation. The attack along with the subsequent police and hostage killings over the next two days shook the nation and aggravated tensions between the large Muslim minority and the non-Muslim French population.

Following this initial act of terror, “between 3 and 4 million demonstrators, about 6 percent of the French population” took to the streets on January 11th holding signs with what has become the iconic message “Je Suis Charlie,” I am Charlie.¹²³ The demonstration was the largest in France’s history and portrayed an image of a united republic to the world. The political response to the Charlie Hebdo shootings was one of support for the demonstrations, engaging in a rallying behind the ‘Je Suis Charlie’ banner and declaring the attack an assault on all that

¹²¹ Ibid, 115.

¹²² Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 577.

¹²³ Davidson, 171.

France holds dearest: their *liberte, egaliter, and fraternite*.¹²⁴ Emmanuel Todd, a professor, historian, and sociologist at the National Institute of Demographic Studies in Paris came forth after the demonstrations suggesting that the government was responsible for creating an environment “where everyone who was a true French citizen had to identify with the January 7 victims, as well as the alleged ‘free speech’ behavior that drew their attackers to them.”¹²⁵ This message of uniformity and republican values forced upon the Muslim population living in France a terrible choice between supporting their faith and their various national and ethnic identities or agreeing with the validity of the free speech advocated for by Charlie Hebdo.

The Muslim extremists responsible for this shooting were quickly reported to be associated with al-Qaeda and ISIS.¹²⁶ Because of these affiliations and the widely televised footage of the killers claiming the attack for the glory of the Prophet Muhammed, French media portrayal of the terrorist event exhibited the same biases shown by the United States after September 11. Rather than focusing on the specific reasons motivating the individuals who had perpetrated the acts, French media like their international broadcasting network, France 24 generalized the source of the violence. France 24 was quick to report to the public that the attack had been made by defenders of Islam.¹²⁷ In addition, the media introduced further uncertainty and fear by noting the links between the terrorists and the *banlieues* of Paris which had long since been a sanctuary for the segregated Muslim poor.¹²⁸

¹²⁴ Moran, 318.

¹²⁵ Davidson, 171.

¹²⁶ Ibid., 171.

¹²⁷ Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 569.

¹²⁸ Moran, 316.

Charlie Hebdo had until the attack been known for its crude and defiling cartoons mocking French minorities, particularly Muslims and Jews.¹²⁹ The cartoons focused greatly on the prophet Muhammed; placing him in unorthodox and crude situations designed to mock the Islamic faith and undermine their religious messiah. The magazine had previously received multiple lawsuits back in 2012 from Islamic organizations asking for the removal of offensive and derogatory depictions of their faith and had been under police-protection following a series of threats made the year before the attack.¹³⁰

While a violent response is obviously to be condemned, the reality remains that the Charlie Hebdo shootings were in response to a direct insult to the perpetrators' faith and cultural heritage. Perhaps this is why, when so many were taking to the streets in support of Charlie, Muslim students in the public education system began speaking out that they did not support this particular show of solidarity.¹³¹ The failure of Muslim students in the French public education system to observe the minute of silence on behalf of the victims of the shootings became a major issue in the political discourse at the time.¹³² This failure to support the victims, as it was framed by the media, was seen as a rejection of the French republican values of freedom of speech, rather than a refusal to support Islamophobic proponents.

Following the initial demonstrations, the socialist government under Francois Hollande increased their funding and support for national security.¹³³ Despite the fact that Hollande was a socialist president whose personal beliefs stemmed mostly from his commitment to liberalism, in

¹²⁹ Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 569.

¹³⁰ Ibid., 569.

¹³¹ Moran, 319.

¹³² Ibid.

¹³³ Jim Wolfreys, *Republic of Islamophobia: The Rise of Respectable Racism in France* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2017): 22.

the events following Charlie Hebdo, he disparaged the Muslim community as a threat to republican values.¹³⁴ This shift towards right-wing politics and anti-Muslim rhetoric would become a feature of the later years of Hollande's presidency through his handling of future terror events.

The revival of terrorism in France also incurred violent retaliation from the French public. "Whereas 2014 saw 133 reported (anti-Muslim) hate crimes, there were more than 400 such bias incidents in 2015. Per the French National Human Rights Commission (CNCDH), this represented a 223 percent increase in anti-Muslim hate crimes."¹³⁵ Just as anger can incentivize individuals to increase their visible participation in political functions, it is likely the increased feelings of anger led to increased rates of violence. This increase in aggression towards Muslims throughout France also signalled to politicians the rising tide of fear and the possibilities of co-opting this fear of Islamic terrorism for political power. More generally, the return of terrorism revived the perceived terroristic threats that had been attributed to Islam since the Algerian Revolution.

The November Paris Attacks (November 13, 2015)

While the shootings of Charlie Hebdo left a lasting scar on the people of France, that same year gave witness to the greatest death toll from terrorism than during any individual month in their history.¹³⁶ The November Paris attacks were a series of coordinated acts of brutality that took place on November 13, 2015, resulting in 130 deaths and over 400 additional

¹³⁴ Ibid., 23.

¹³⁵ Engy Abdelkader, "A Comparative Analysis of European Islamophobia: France, UK, Germany, Netherlands and Sweden," *Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law* 16, no. 1 (2017): 45.

¹³⁶ Moran, 316.

injuries, of which 100 were reported to be serious in nature.¹³⁷ These attacks were the deadliest experienced by France since the Second World War and opened the door for valid criticism of the administration's inability to provide for the security of the French people.

Where the Charlie Hebdo shootings had been in response to a clear and repeated provocation, mocking the foundations of the Islamic faith, the November attacks were different. No specified ideological reasoning came to light following the attack, no insult issued against the prophet Muhammed, nor any shared hatred of Islam between the victims. What little analysis does exist on the reasoning behind the attack on November 13th, 2015 seems to agree that these attacks, perpetrated by fundamentalists with ties to Daesh, were initiated with the purpose of spreading fear and uncertainty across all of France.¹³⁸

Despite the November Paris attacks resulting in a significantly higher loss of life, the impact on the French populace could be said to be comparable to the reactions to the Charlie Hebdo shootings. It is likely that the relatively muted outrage was a result of the attack being less shocking in the wake of the January attacks. This is not to say that Charlie Hebdo had inoculated the French population against the emotional distress derived from acts of terrorism.

One of the most significant developments to come from the November attacks was the response to the call for increased security given by President Francois Hollande. Three days after the attack, Hollande met with the Houses of Parliament to suggest the initiation of a State of Emergency. "(This) expanded executive and police powers with minimal judicial oversight."¹³⁹ The results of these unsupervised increases to police power and decreases to civil liberties has

¹³⁷ Ibid.

¹³⁸ Ibid.

¹³⁹ Abdelkader, 31.

resulted in a substantial increase in reported acts of anti-Islamic discrimination.¹⁴⁰ Hollande also proposed changes that would permit the government to deprive naturalized individuals of their citizenship if they became suspected of aiding or abetting acts of terrorism.¹⁴¹

The Car Massacre in Nice (July 14, 2016)

While other acts of terror have been committed in France since the November Paris attacks, there is only one other instance in which terrorism truly shook the nation and recalled into question the ability of the government to protect its citizens. On Bastille Day, July 14, 2016, Mohamed Lahouaiej-Bouhlel, a Tunisian resident of France drove a cargo truck into a crowd celebrating on the streets of Nice killing 86 people and injuring over 400 more.¹⁴² This act of terror happened months after the November Paris attacks and was taken by many as a sign that the State of Emergency issued by President Francois Hollande that same month had failed to effectively deter terrorism.

Unfortunately, the Nice attack has not received nearly as much coverage and analysis in terms of the media's portrayal of the events as the 2015 attacks received. However, the attack quickly became framed as a direct attack on France's universal values of equality, being that it was perpetuated on Bastille Day, a celebration of French republicanism.¹⁴³

The Nice attack could be considered as the third strike of Hollande's government. When Charlie Hebdo occurred, the general consensus was that it was an awful event which warranted

¹⁴⁰ Jim Wolfreys, 22.

¹⁴¹ Olivier Duhamel, "Terrorism and Constitutional Amendment in France," *European Constitutional Law Review* 12, no. 1 (2016): 1.

¹⁴² France 24, "How Did Nice Killer Truck go Undetected on Bastille Day?" *France 24*, July 21, 2016, <https://www.france24.com/en/20160720-nice-truck-attack-security-failings-july-14-france>.

¹⁴³ Dorian Bell, "Europe's 'New Jews': France, Islamophobia, and Antisemitism in the Era of Mass Migration," *Jewish History* 32, no. 1 (2018): 67.

an increase in focus on security. With November, critics of the government, especially members of the FN opened up harsh criticism against the government for failing to make the necessary changes to their security policy to prevent tragedy from occurring a second time. Nice presented for many individuals a final straw effect. Notably, many regional governments, regardless of political affiliation, took it upon themselves to enact local security measures against what they proposed was a threat to French national security. More specifically in the months following the 2016 attack, regional governments from Marseilles to Pas-de-Calais passed legislation banning the wearing of burkinis on public beaches.¹⁴⁴

The burkini bans which spread across France in the aftermath of the massacre were proposed as a mitigating factor concerning Islamist extremism on the beach. Including the *hijab*, *jilbab*, *burqa*, and *niqab*, the *burkini* brings the total number of Muslim female garments outlawed to some degree by France and her regions.¹⁴⁵ In practice, the bans saw the advent of French police going from beach to beach forcing Muslim women to remove their swimwear or pay a fine for disobeying a law specifically targeting their religious expression in a country built on ideals of tolerance.¹⁴⁶

Framing Reactions to Terrorism in terms of existing Islamophobic Tensions

While the Muslim population was targeted to a greater extent following the acts of terror and distrust did grow between practitioners of Islam and most others in France, this cultural divide is not strictly a product of modern terrorism. France's difficulties with Islam are not

¹⁴⁴ Wolfreys, 85.

¹⁴⁵ Kimberley Brayson, "Of Bodies and Burkinis: Institutional Islamophobia, Islamic Dress, and the Colonial Condition," *Journal of Law & Society* 46, no. 1 (2019): 56.

¹⁴⁶ *Ibid.*

contemporary developments. Going back before the terrorist actions of the Algerian National Liberation Front during their attempted revolution, France had already nurtured an ingrained fear and uncertainty for the Muslim population brought to their shores through work or asylum. France has also been home to one of the greatest populations of Muslims in Europe thanks to their colonial links to Africa.¹⁴⁷ This has proven uniquely difficult for the French, as their staunch belief in secularity naturally conflicts with the Muslim population adherence to Islam. This conflict, both political and religious in nature depending on the event has bubbled over into outright violence on several occasions over the 20th century including the bombing of a train in Paris back in 1995 by Algerian terrorist who openly expressed having drawn inspiration from the teachings of Islam.

Perhaps the most significant pre-existing condition in France concerning the treatment and framing of the 2015 terror attacks was the historical deep-seated distrust and animosity that many French citizens held for the ostracized and separated Islamic population in the *banlieues* surrounding all major French cities. The divide between the French cultural values and those of Islam has manifested itself in political avenues as well with the passage of the “law of 2004 on ‘conspicuous signs of religious identity,’ which forbade girls from wearing the Islamic headscarf in public educational institutions.”¹⁴⁸ It was this ban which truly brought the Islamophobia in France forth as a global issue. Legal practices continued to target Islamic citizens and migrants through means such as the refusal of naturalization, the prohibition of garments deemed unfit for French society, and the targeting of Muslim populations as a scapegoat for economic issues,

¹⁴⁷ Natalie J. Doyle, “Lessons from France: Popularist anxiety and veiled fears of Islam,” *Islam & Christian-Muslim Relations* 22, no. 4 (2011): 476.

¹⁴⁸ Doyle, 476.

perpetrated in large part by Le Front National.¹⁴⁹ Long before Charlie Hebdo, the French Judicial System was already biased against those they perceived as opponents to the French way of life, namely the Islamic Muslim minority.

In a related issue, the significant Muslim population in France has for the most part been segregated from other French citizens geographically with the creation and perpetuation of the *banlieues* surrounding most of her major cities. These “badlands of the Republic” as they were referred to by the French media following the terrorist attacks in 2015, had been established around Paris as a fallout from the influx of Muslim workers brought in from Northern Africa to help rebuild France following WWII.¹⁵⁰ Since then these areas, originally designed as temporary residences for the workers in the mid 20th century have devolved into Muslim-heavy slums known for their poor living conditions and heightened rates of violence and poverty.¹⁵¹

One final issue to consider before returning to the concept of terrorism is that, before Charlie Hebdo was attacked, France had not experienced an act of coordinated terrorism of such violence and magnitude since 1995, 20 years earlier, when an Algerian terrorist syndicate bombed a train under Paris. While this is less significant in understanding why Muslims were targeted going forward, it is important from a psychological standpoint. Individuals who find their previously assumed notions about reality to be false tend to react with anxiety and anger.

Analysis of France’s Reactions to Terror

Long before the Charlie Hebdo shootings, former French Prime Minister Manuel Valls had put forth the reality that “social segregation was... undeniably visible in certain parts of

¹⁴⁹ Ibid., 477.

¹⁵⁰ Moran, 316 & 321.

¹⁵¹ Ibid., 321.

France.”¹⁵² The introduction of fear and anger into France’s political climate has been seen to shift previous political leanings towards the right from pre-terror positions. Voters who in times of peace and security felt safe in supporting politicians based on their economic and social outlooks, or even on their party loyalties. However, once the security of peace is disturbed by acts of violence lying outside their preexisting desired conditions, individuals alter their priorities away from economic and social prosperity and exhibit a tendency to support increasingly militaristic and authoritarian policies.¹⁵³

Political leaders are no exception to this trend of drifting towards the “illiberal right” as demonstrated by France’s former president, Francois Hollande, following the November of 2015 Paris attacks.¹⁵⁴ Hollande instituted a state of emergency in France in order to conduct searches of property without warrants. Even as a socialist president, he enacted policies in response to the acts of terror that would in other circumstances be “evocative of far-right politics” because it was those policies that a fearful public begged for to ease their minds.¹⁵⁵

France is certainly not the only nation to have been affected on a national scale by the advent of modern terrorism. Following the terrorist attack on the World Trade Center, the United States was shaken to its core as millions tuned in to the political affairs of their nation believing that their security was in danger and their government was incapable of providing answers. It is the response of then-President George W. Bush that illustrates one significant generalization that continues to this day to be an issue for the proper treatment of the threat of terrorism.

¹⁵² Gino G. Raymond, “After Charlie : The Unravelling of the French Republican Response,” *Patterns of Prejudice* 52, no. 1, 2018, 25.

¹⁵³ Vasilopoulos, 102.

¹⁵⁴ Henk van Houtum, and Rodrigo Bueno Lacy, “The political extreme as the new normal: the cases of Brexit, the French state of emergency and Dutch Islamophobia,” *Fennia* 195, no. 1, 2017, 88.

¹⁵⁵ *Ibid.*, 88.

Rather than identifying the responsible party and providing proper distinctions between militant Islamism and Islam as a faith and Muslims and Islam generally, Bush initiated the War on Terror, which unintentionally framed all of Islam as a monolithic, radical threat of terrorism to the democratic West.¹⁵⁶ This generalization of Islam has spread throughout Europe to permeate the political and media worlds. However, it is noteworthy that France's previous experiences with terrorism during the Algerian War of Independence had also been linked to Islam, seeing as much of the rhetoric used by the FLN was inspired by the sentiments of Islam.¹⁵⁷

Capitalizing on the Outcomes of Terrorism: Front National

Having explained the physical, psychological, and political effects of terrorism on a national populace, it remains to show how the FN has capitalized on these exacerbating factors. To recount the major findings of this chapter the first major point is that terrorism results in heightened levels of fear and anger. These psychological states, in turn, result in increased seeking-out of political information by means of media consumption in the case of fear, and in an increased desire to participate in the political process in the case of anger. Additionally, it has been recorded that political novices are impacted by the onset of fear and anger following acts of terrorism to a greater degree.¹⁵⁸ This is a logical finding, as previously politically involved members of the public would not experience as significant a change in their participation due to their already extensive levels of involvement. It is now necessary to return to the existence of populism as an entity, its platform, and its allure to the fearful and disgruntled.

¹⁵⁶ Zdeněk Velišek, "Different Times in a Different Europe" *New Presence: The Prague Journal of Central European Affairs* 12, no. 4 (2010): 3.

¹⁵⁷ Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 571.

¹⁵⁸ Vasilopoulos, 112.

For the FN, it is not a difficult task to capitalize on fears of Islamic fundamentalism as their own programme in 2012 had denounced the religion as “an inherently dangerous religion that does not respect the separation between state and church.”¹⁵⁹ This belief system which originated with Jean-Marie Le Pen, has been perpetuated under the tenure of his daughter, Marine Le Pen, and has placed the FN in a perfect position to benefit off of the increase in Islamophobic sentiments. Not only does the current discourse on terrorism demonstrate that terrorism is followed by increased support for authoritarian, far-right policies concerning security, the previous efforts of the FN leadership to define their party as an expert on issues of security has led to increased focus on the advice and rhetoric of the FN.

First one must examine the evidence of change in support for the FN in France following the terrorist attacks in 2015 and 2016. In December of 2015, William Horobin, a journalist for the Wall Street Journal wrote an article stressing the gains in regional election representation that the FN was bound to make following the November Paris Attacks due to Marine Le Pen’s framing of the situation; with her calls for tighter security ignored by the existing socialist government and the resulting deaths a product of that incompetence.¹⁶⁰ By placing herself at the forefront of France’s debate on security, Marine Le Pen successfully cast Hollande and his government as ill-prepared and incapable of protecting the public. Beyond Le Pen’s personal image, the acts of terrorism in France resulted in the passing of several major laws which could be described as extreme-right and authoritarian. The most important policy being the initiation of the State of Emergency, which in coming from a socialist government, represented a vote of

¹⁵⁹ Daniel Stockemer, *The Front National in France : Continuity and Change Under Jean-Marie Le Pen and Marine Le Pen* (Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017), 34.

¹⁶⁰ William Horobin, “France's National Front Likely to Perform Strongly in Regional Elections; Marine Le Pen's party well placed to win control of several French regions three weeks after terror attacks,” *Wall Street Journal*, 2015, 1.

support for the FN's authoritarian security preferences without the FN leadership needing to take responsibility for any negative repercussions.

Conclusion

Terrorism is a global phenomenon even if the majority of its coverage comes from the handful of Western European states and the U.S, and the immediate short-term effects are always similar. Fear spreads outwards as individuals recognize their peace to be shattered and that fear often leads individuals to increase their value for information in their efforts to regain control over the new threat. It is after this initial preconscious reaction that individuals begin to form new opinions and strategies based off of those opinions. During this time, the framing of terrorism is crafted by the media and politicians as they attempt to harness the increased levels of political involvement and the increased willingness of the public to place in leaders viewed as capable of addressing the threat. This relationship explains why socialist president, Francois Hollande, failed to maintain a tolerant and accepting approach towards French Muslims in the aftermath of the 2015 terror attacks and instead chose to initiate a State of Emergency. This action provided for increased occurrences of police brutality and discriminatory actions against Muslim citizens and further legitimized the platform of the FN.

While the events of 2015 and 2016 clearly demonstrate a valid source for Islamophobic sentiment in their connections to Islam and, in the case of Charlie Hebdo, their primary motivations, the framing of these terrorist events points clearly to the underlying sentiments of Islamophobia left over from France's history. The portrayal of the events by the media initially focused on the events as they occurred, their quick transition to a more politicized and thematic

approach to understanding the motivations for the terror events demonstrates this very bias inherent in the French identity.¹⁶¹

The acts of terrorism in 2015 and 2016 shook the French world. Not since the 2nd world war had lives been lost in the numbers brought on by the November attacks, and the responses to these attacks have been various and flavored with the history of the French people. As with most nations who have experienced the horrors of an act of terrorism the people of France exhibited widespread reactions of fear and anger following the 2015 attacks. In the immediate aftermath of both of these events, there was a demonstrably higher consumption of tv news media by French citizens seeking to gain information about the new situation.¹⁶² These events were also followed by noticeable increases in political participation by means of voting numbers and willingness to demonstrate in rallies.

This chapter has sought out to prove is that the revival of terrorist activity in France after twenty years has succeeded in reawakening French Islamophobic sentiment. The resulting sentiments of fear and anger, framed by the national media, have resulted in political shifts in preferences concerning security values, as well as changes in the trust levels for the existing governments. This uncertainty is what Marine Le Pen played upon following the November Paris attacks and it resulted in several regional successes for the FN in December of 2015. All in all, this chapter's goal is to demonstrate how Islamophobia was reinvigorated by these terrorist events, and how the resulting treatment of these events by the media, the government, and the FN have further exacerbated tensions between the Muslim minority in France, and those most likely to increase their participation in French politics following acts of terror.

¹⁶¹ Polonska-Kimunguyi and Gillespie, 573.

¹⁶² Vasilopoulos, 110.

Terrorism reminds the public that the government is in charge of safeguarding their safety and security against all domestic and foreign threats. “Governments are expected to maintain public order and, in time of crisis, to (re)create order. They do so through the manipulation of images, symbols, and rituals.”¹⁶³ Regarding the reactions of Hollande’s government, the events of 2015 and 2016 did call into question his ability to provide for the security of his people. This threat to popular support incentivized the socialist government to enact policies which would otherwise be seen as extreme-right, authoritarian policies in the face of growing public unrest.

¹⁶³ Florence Faucher and Laurie Boussaguet, “The Politics of Symbols: Reflections on the French Government’s Framing of the 2015 Terrorist Attacks,” *Parliamentary Affairs* 71 (2018): 173.

A Clash of Cultures: Islamophobia and the European Refugee Crisis

Introduction

The FN supports several major policies, but their primary focus is on the immigration issue faced by France, specifically concerning the immigration of Muslims. In 2015, the nation of Syria experienced a mass exodus as its people attempted to escape the violence and bloodshed which have come to ravage the country in the internationally-backed battle between the Assad regime and the various rebel forces. These migrants have spread over the globe in a great diaspora and many have made their way to the borders of the European Union, in which they now seek and claim asylum from the violence in their homeland. As of this point in time, nearly four million refugees and migrants have applied for asylum in the EU.¹⁶⁴ Among its colleagues, France has accepted some of the largest numbers of refugees in the past four years. This influx has added to the already substantial Muslim immigrant population present in France and has provided increased ammunition for anti-immigrant parties playing on the public's fear for personal safety and job security. Because of pre-existing perceived threats attributed to Islam and Muslims by the French population, the increase in immigrant population and visibility has stoked feelings of anxiety among the French population.¹⁶⁵ In turn, the government has repeatedly responded to these growing fears and tensions by instituting increasingly authoritarian security measures which asymmetrically target Muslims and their faith.

¹⁶⁴ “Asylum and first time asylum applicants by citizenship, age and sex Annual aggregated data (rounded),” *Eurostat*, accessed April 20, 2019, http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=migr_asyappctza&lang=en.

¹⁶⁵ Jens Rydgren, *The Populist Challenge: Political Protest and Ethno-nationalist Mobilization in France*, (New York: Berghahn Books, 2004): 161.

France's trouble with accepting Muslim immigrants is not a new development. As discussed in chapter one, the French government has often mistreated Muslim immigrants based on fears of their cultural influence and more realistic threats of violence and job security posed by the integration of a large migrant population. The tensions derived from France's previous interactions with Algerian terrorism and Muslim religiosity remain present in discussions of the impact of the arriving refugees, and several prominent figures in French politics have spoken against the acceptance of further refugees, whose arrival coincides with the renewed occurrences of terrorism.

While normally the presence of individuals from groups identified as different from oneself will lead to improved relations and a process of de-exotification in the minds of dominant parties guilty of 'othering,' the people of France are not experiencing such a process.¹⁶⁶ Instead, the increased presence of Muslims in France, which already is home to the largest Muslim minority of any Western European state, has exacerbated tensions between Muslims and non-Muslims and resulted in increased sentiments of Islamophobia among white middle-class French citizens.¹⁶⁷ The process of exacerbated tensions, derived from the cultural and religious differences over issues such as gender-equality, religious tolerance, secularity, and essential freedoms, has resulted in a situation which falls under the predictions of Threat and Conflict Theory.

This chapter will begin with an analysis of the existing psychological theories on contact with out-groups. This analysis is necessary to explain why the French situation predisposes

¹⁶⁶ Seth K. Jolly and Gerald M. DiGusto, "Xenophobia and Immigrant Contact: French Public Attitudes towards Immigration," *The Social Sciences Journal*, (2013): 465.

¹⁶⁷ Jim Wolfreys, *Republic of Islamophobia: The Rise of Respectable Racism in France*, (New York: Oxford University Press, (2017): 27.

France towards a negative and inflammatory reaction to increased levels of migrants and refugees. Following the general theory will be a comparison with the French historical case and an explanation of why their history, their values, and their culture all demonstrate a dynamic with the beliefs of Islam which lend themselves more to threat and conflict than reconciliation. After the general set up will be a look first at the reactions to Muslim immigration more generally, followed by a focus on the facts, framing, and results of the European Refugee Crisis on France. Finally, as with previous chapters, a final section will provide analysis and discussion concerning the value of mass Muslim immigration as a catalyzing factor supporting the rise of the FN as an accepted populist political party.

Relevant Theory on Interactions between In-Groups and Out Groups

Gordon Allport addresses the issue of xenophobia and the possible solution to such prejudice in his Contact Theory. His proposition of Contact Theory states that proximity and healthy interactions between in-group and out-group members are likely to foster increased harmony and understanding over time.¹⁶⁸ His belief stems from psychological research stating that xenophobic prejudices are derived in large part from the designation of something as unknown and exotic.¹⁶⁹ Therefore, given time and contact with those originally dehumanized because of their mystery, inter-group similarities are discovered which help to disabuse the in-group of the “negative stereotypes” imposed on the out-group.¹⁷⁰ In coming to know something, fears stemming from uncertainty disappear and are replaced with rational knowledge

¹⁶⁸ Jolly, and DiGiusto, 465.

¹⁶⁹ Ibid, 465.

¹⁷⁰ Ibid, 465.

and understanding. This mirrors the trends found in society that higher levels of education are correlated with decreased ‘othering’ and baseless hatred.¹⁷¹

Allport does recognize that proximity and interaction alone do not for certain result in decreased xenophobic sentiments. To have contact theory function, the two groups must interact in peaceful ways, without experiencing any symbolic or realistic threats from one another.¹⁷² Part of being able to know something comes from the finding of common ground and beliefs between peoples. When the differences that separate people come not from physically non-threatening features, but from symbolically irreconcilable divisions and realistic threats to life and property, the increased rate of interactions can serve not as a mitigating element but as an exacerbating one.

Several major theories have arisen to address these possible shortcomings in Allport’s Contact Theory. These theories focus on three forms of threat perceptions leading to prejudice which are particularly applicable to the relations between French and Muslims. One major theory which contradicts Allport’s somewhat optimistic contact theory is known as Integrated Threat Theory, which proposed that prejudices arise from four types of threat.¹⁷³ This theory has been updated in recent years as two of the threat forms, intergroup anxiety and negative stereotypes were determined to be products of the other two threat categories.¹⁷⁴ The new Intergroup Threat Theory (ITT) proposed by Walter Stephan, Ybarra, and Mosu, focuses on two types of perceived

¹⁷¹ Zan Strabac, Ola Listhaug, and Tor Georg Jakobsen, “Patterns of Ethnic Intolerance in Europe,” *Int. Migration & Integration* 13 (2012): 460.

¹⁷² Jolly and DiGiusto, 465.

¹⁷³ Walter G. Stephan and Cookie White Stephan, “An Integrated Threat Theory of Prejudice,” in *Reducing Prejudice and Discrimination*, ed. Stuart Oskamp (New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000): 25.

¹⁷⁴ Faith Uenal, “Disentangling Islamophobia: The Differential Effects of Symbolic, Realistic, and Terroristic Threat Perceptions as Mediators Between Social Dominance Orientation and Islamophobia,” *Journal of Social and Political Psychology* 4, no. 1 (2016): 69.

threats to make the assertion that individuals in the in-group who perceive the presence of a threat posed by an out-group to the well-being and prosperity of the in-group will react to increased interaction with increased levels of anxiety and fear. The two forms of perceived threats maintained by this theory are realistic threats and symbolic threats.

Realistic threats were first explored under the assumptions posed in Realistic Conflict Theory (RCT). They refer to the concerns of an in-group of physical quantifiable danger presented by the introduction of a less established and less privileged out-group. Realistic fears can manifest from fear of job security, fear of violent action against the in-group, or destruction of material property belonging to the in-group.¹⁷⁵ Fears of safety and material prosperity are commonly associated with increased levels of prejudice. For Europe in general, anti-Muslim prejudice is focused primarily on this form of threat in the framing of Muslims as a threat to job security and a catalyst for increased crime rates.¹⁷⁶

The second perceived threat considered by Intergroup Threat Theory is that of perceived symbolic threat. Symbolic threats are seen as threatening differences in cultural norms, traditions, or tightly held values between groups.¹⁷⁷ For the purposes of this paper, that would be referring to the threat posed by the hyper-religiosity of Islam vis à vis French commitment to secularism. A perceived symbolic threat occurs when a dominant group determines that an out-group's cultural and ideological values present a danger to the continued supremacy of the dominant group's ideology. While this threat does not directly suppose the introduction of physical harm as a result of the encroaching of an outgroup, it does focus on the ability of foreign and exotic cultures to change the atmosphere and assault the cultural values of the

¹⁷⁵ Stephan and Stephan, 25.

¹⁷⁶ Uenal, 66.

¹⁷⁷ Ibid, 69.

established in-group.¹⁷⁸ The fear inherent in this threat comes from a sense of pride in one's faith and culture. To have that culture threatened by encroaching cosmopolitanism is one of the driving forces behind the support for the FN.¹⁷⁹

While not a part of ITT directly, another perceived threat relevant to the French case against immigration is the Terrorism Threat Theory (TTT). Going beyond physical damages and deprivations of leisure and opportunity, and solidifying the differences in values, TTT focuses on an in-group's belief that the out-group is capable of committing extensive acts of violence with the intention being loss of life and/or damage of property on a significant scale.¹⁸⁰ This theory came into prominence following the events of 9/11, as terrorism became a primary associated to physical safety.¹⁸¹ While Muslims themselves are not directly associated with Terrorism theory, Islam, or more accurately the fundamentalist interpretation which Europeans tend to prescribe to all Muslims, is perceived to encourage the taking of life en masse through acts of terror.¹⁸² This perceived threat to the safety of a community is derived from genuine threats posed by fundamentalism but is likely to become a framed threat as terrorism is often politicized once the discourse goes beyond the physical events. By associating terrorism with a specific out-group, a political movement can gain support by harnessing the resulting anger as the public designates a specific group as responsible for their anxiety.¹⁸³

Beyond the notions of perceived threats and their ability to instill grounded prejudice in the in-group, the topic of immigration in general poses a possible source of anxiety for any

¹⁷⁸ Ibid.

¹⁷⁹ Peter Davies, *The National Front in France : Ideology, Discourse and Power* (London: Routledge, 1999): 64.

¹⁸⁰ Uenal, 70.

¹⁸¹ Ibid., 70.

¹⁸² Ibid., 66.

¹⁸³ Bethany Albertson and Shana Kushner Gadarian, *Anxious Politics : Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World*, (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015):

nation. This is because the introduction of change to a previous stable situation often forms feelings of uncertainty. Immigration is particularly significant in analyzing the reactions of the government as immigration is a type of fear that can be framed and politicized to promote certain political and social changes and preferences.¹⁸⁴ Unlike natural disasters and other potential sources of anxiety, immigration does not pose an inherent threat by its existence. Immigration can indeed be construed as a positive element in the adding of new cultures, ideas, and advancements to society. However, political parties often make decisions on the ease and value of immigration a partisan matter, and for those using immigration as a threat, the anxiety they can instill in the public directly benefits their platform through the exacerbation of anxious sentiments. This anxiety leads to individuals seeking out political elites who present themselves as capable of addressing the causes of anxiety.¹⁸⁵ The other side to this association is that politicians and leaders are more likely to adopt hardline, authoritarian policies regarding issues of immigration and security when anxiety becomes a widespread sentiment.

To briefly review xenophobic populism, the primary source of support garnered by the newest wave of populist movements in Europe is that of xenophobic sentiment and the ability of populist leaders to frame a designated ‘other’ as a threat to the established safety and security of society. Immigration, when paired with pre-existing prejudices and sentiments of fear and hatred, creates the perfect scapegoat for populist rhetoricians to use in establishing the source of common troubles of the people like job scarcity and in the case of Islam, fear of terrorism.¹⁸⁶

¹⁸⁴ Albertson and Gadarian, 26-27.

¹⁸⁵ *Ibid*, 75.

¹⁸⁶ Cifti, 297.

Why French-Islamic relations adhere to Threat Theories

As addressed in the introduction, France is home to the largest Muslim minority population of any European nation, with approximately 10% of the Muslim refugees in Europe being housed in France.¹⁸⁷ This significant influx of visibly different peoples into France exacerbated tensions in the readjustment of standard living and the exposure to Islamic practices. This issue became particularly pronounced when the Muslim migrants transitioned from practicing their faith in cellars to practicing in the public eye with the transition from temporary workers to citizens of France.¹⁸⁸ When changes are made to the standard living styles of individuals, anxiety is likely to occur. *Anxious Politics: Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World* specifically addresses the fears and concerns brought on by mass immigration in several of its chapters, and France herself has experienced mass influxes of Muslim immigrants at multiple times throughout her history under the governance of the Fifth Republic.

Muslim immigrants have always faced difficulties in gaining French citizenship. From the outset, Muslims were viewed with suspicion concerning their religious views and practices and were persecuted with regard to their efforts to integrate into French society. “In practice, an Algerian who sought citizenship under French civil law had to renounce his local status, effectively requiring him to abandon Islam.”¹⁸⁹ The severity of the requirements to gain French citizenship led to insignificant numbers of Algerian immigrants successfully gaining French citizenship. “Between 1865 and 1962 only 7,000 Algerians acquired French citizenship through

¹⁸⁷ Farid Hafez, “The Refugee Crisis and Islamophobia,” *Insight Turkey* 17, no. 4 (2015): 22.

¹⁸⁸ Engy Abdelkader, “A Comparative Analysis of European Islamophobia: France, UK, Germany, Netherlands and Sweden,” *Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law* 16, no. 1 (2017): 41.

¹⁸⁹ Amelia H. Lyons, *The Civilizing Mission in the Metropole: Algerian Families and the French Welfare State during Decolonization* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013): 28.

this naturalization process.”¹⁹⁰ When compared to the millions of Muslim French citizens the now reside in France, the change in France over the last 57 years becomes all the more vivid. The problem that arises comes from France’s continued distrust of Islam and the unshakable history of violence and ‘othering’ which has come to define the Franco-Islamic interactions for nearly two centuries.¹⁹¹

As has been addressed by multiple policial scientists and historians, this large minority population is particularly difficult for France due to the various values and ideals held at the heart of the French identity. Unlike her European counterparts, France has held rigid in several observances dating back to colonial times. Among these are the commitment to secularism, the universal values of liberty, equality, and fraternity, and the belief that to be French is to abide by the cultural norms listed above. Against these values, the perceived zealous nature of Muslims was seen as a threat to France’s liberal commitment to the removal of religious observance from public spaces.

How does the influx of Muslims provide a perceived, realistic threat to the average middle class French citizen? For one, the increase in underprivileged Muslims in France was quickly framed by the FN and other conservative parties as a threat to job security and the reasons behind periods of high unemployment in France.¹⁹² The 1990s saw increased scapegoating of the Muslim population as the reasons behind exacerbated unemployment in France, and was championed strongly by the FN.¹⁹³ Furthermore, the French period of colonialism cemented certain perceptions of Muslims and their faith in the French memory,

¹⁹⁰ Ibid., 28.

¹⁹¹ Jacques Barou, “Integration of Immigrants in France: a Historical Perspective,” *Identities* 21, no. 6 (2014): 653.

¹⁹² Davies, .

¹⁹³ Doyle, 477.

including associations with violence and laziness, enabling negative stereotypical depictions of Muslims as threats to public safety and prosperity.¹⁹⁴

Going back to colonial perceptions, the French have viewed Islam as a threat to French republican values since the colonization of Algeria forced increased interactions between the two groups. The hyper-religiosity observed to be part of the Islamic faith, represented by the wearing of religious garments and the perceived fanatical belief in the power and guidance of their lord left the French unsettled. This zealous nature of Muslims directly conflicts with French secularism, as made into law in 1905. Additionally, the differences in the ways that Muslim men and women are treated presents another symbolic threat to French liberal values of equality and freedom. The unequal treatment of women evokes fears that Islamification undermines the values of universalism and equality the French pride themselves on supporting.¹⁹⁵

Islam has long been associated with acts of terrorism going back to the usage of terrorist shootings and bombings in the Algerian Revolutions by members of the FLN.¹⁹⁶

France's Handling of Muslim Immigration from 1960 to 2015: A Failure to Integrate

Seeing as how French society has associated Islam and Muslims with all forms of prevalent threats for generations, it is understandable that France's efforts to integrate Muslims into French society has been lackluster. Following the initial refusal of France to allow more than a few thousand Algerians to acquire French citizenship before 1962, France would go on to host

¹⁹⁴ Silverstein, 7.

¹⁹⁵ Ibid, 8.

¹⁹⁶ Eva Polonska-Kimunguyi and Marie Gillespie, "Terrorism discourse on French international broadcasting: France 24 and the case of Charlie Hebdo attacks in Paris," *European Journal of Communication* 3, no. 5 (2016):

the largest proportional minority of Muslims in Western Europe.¹⁹⁷ This substantial change in France's exposure to Muslims promoted anxiety among the French populace, particularly in the post-Algerian Revolution environment of the 70s and 80s.¹⁹⁸ The shift away from the European-heavy migrant pool in the 60s to the non-European majority from 1982 onwards changed the discourse on immigration as it took on a cultural and racial element.¹⁹⁹

Politicians are incentivized to present themselves as capable to address threats to the public in order to take advantage of the tendency for anxious citizens to exhibit increased trust in those seen as able to remove present threats.²⁰⁰ Following the relaxed nature of the Mitterrand government, public unrest surrounding the increasing visibility of Muslims and the administration's failure to address illegal immigration made authoritarian stances on immigration favorable positions to take.²⁰¹ At the time, Jean-Marie Le Pen spoke out against the government, claiming that their inaction was the cause of the unemployment and crime issues facing France in the 1980s and 90s.²⁰² This stance, which he and the FN had cultivated since their shift towards a populist image, assisted in casting the FN not only as the outsider to politics but as the expert on immigration.

It was in response to Mitterrand's relaxed immigration legislation and treatment of illegal immigrants that the FN's stance on French national identity formed as staunchly anti-cosmopolitan. The FN believed that French nationality was a status that needed to be earned

¹⁹⁷ Pew Research Center

¹⁹⁸ Rydgren, 161.

¹⁹⁹ Ibid, 161.

²⁰⁰ Albertson and Gadarian, 139.

²⁰¹ Albertson and Gadarian, .

²⁰² Richard DeAngelis, "A Rising Tide for Jean-Marie, Jorg, and Pauline? Xenophobic Populism In Comparative Perspective," *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 49, no. 1 (2003):

through commitment to the values of French republican society, and that only through complete compliance with French values can one become truly French.²⁰³

The governments of Chirac and Sarkozy rose in a post-Mitterrand environment which was characterized by increasingly visible distrust and fear of the growing Muslim population which had been allowed to proliferate under the previous socialist regime. Following Mitterrand, an election followed between Jacques Chirac and Jean-Marie Le Pen, and while Chirac won and proclaimed it a victory against xenophobia, his passiveness in suppressing Islamophobic sentiments positioned him more as an observer than any form of champion for the oppressed.²⁰⁴ It was under his governance that his fellow conservative counterpart, Nicolas Sarkozy, began to influence the treatment of Muslims in France through increased Islamophobic rhetoric and the passing of legislation predicated on French republican values, but targeting the Muslim minority asymmetrically.²⁰⁵

In 2004, The French government instituted a ban on the wearing of the hijab in schools and framed it as a defense of French secularism: banning religious emblems in public spaces.²⁰⁶ In reality, the action to outlaw the wearing of visible religious garbs in centers of education of uniquely targeted at Muslims as the wearing of small religious emblems was permitted, leaving Christians and Jews relatively unaffected by the new law.²⁰⁷ “It signalled that Muslim culture was to be subjected to regulation and restriction, opening a space within which zealotry and racism could find expression.”²⁰⁸ The liberalism of secularism as it was initially intended had

²⁰³ Davies, 64.

²⁰⁴ Wolfreys, 45.

²⁰⁵ Ibid., 70.

²⁰⁶ Ibid., 30.

²⁰⁷ Abdelkader, 48.

²⁰⁸ Wolfreys, 30.

been corrupted to limit the visible presence of Islam in public spaces, a deliberate move to prohibit Islam in France.

The year after the controversial headscarf ban, France received a reminder of the violence that the Muslim minority was capable of when the *banlieues* of Paris, and other French cities experienced widespread violent riots.²⁰⁹ The October Riots of 2005 demonstrated the failure of French immigration policy as the Arab descendants of immigrants engaged in mass destruction of property.²¹⁰ This uprising was founded on sentiments of resentment in opposition to police brutality and Islamic discrimination, which had become increasingly more present in France over the past few years.²¹¹ Notably, these riots came following the headscarf bans imposed on Muslim school children and comments by Nicolas Sarkozy, characterizing the inhabitants of the *banlieues* as “scum” or “riffraff.”²¹² These inflammatory actions and proclamations by the government, along with the high rates of unemployment and racial discrimination, incited the most violent uprising in France since May of 1968.²¹³

Later, in 2010, another religiously targeted law was enacted, banning the wearing of garments covering the face in public.²¹⁴ The wearing of the burqa and niqab were targeted as threats to public security, as well as symbols of gender oppression. In this way, Conservatives and members of the far-right validated the removal and oppression of religious expression for the few Muslim women implicated by this law.²¹⁵

²⁰⁹ Yvonne Yazbeck Haddad and Michael J. Balz, “The October Riots in France: A Failed Immigration Policy or the Empire Strikes Back?” *International Migration* 44, no. 2 (2006): 24.

²¹⁰ *Ibid*, 24.

²¹¹ Wolfreys, 135.

²¹² Wolfreys, 135.

²¹³ Wolfreys, 135.

²¹⁴ *Ibid*, 33.

²¹⁵ *Ibid*, 33.

Sarkozy's framing of Muslim immigrants as a threat to republican values, paired with new legislation and the riots of 2005 led to increasing fears and anti-immigrant sentiments. By 2010, 38% of French citizen polled saw immigration as a positive influence on France, while 55% saw illegal immigration as a contributor to increased crime rates.²¹⁶

This series of Islamophobic reactions to the influx of Muslim migrants has been perpetuated since the Algerian Revolution. Beginning with the brutalization and murder of Algerian nationalists, growing in public support with the increasing visibility of Islam in the public sector, and gaining political legitimacy with the continued actions of politicians to secure the public's trust by passing and promoting authoritarian security measures and condemning the presence of Islam in the *banlieues*. This history is the context provided for the framing and handling of the modern European Refugee Crisis; a portrayal of Muslims as a threat to society which has been legitimized as a threat by established, centrist governments.

European Refugee Crisis

The European Refugee Crisis began in the summer of 2015 and by September of '15, 264,000 refugees had applied for asylum in European countries.²¹⁷ The past few years have seen steady and tremendous increases in the number of asylum seekers with most settling in Germany, Italy, and northern European countries. For France, who is already home to approximately 10% of Europe's Muslim population, the increased burden of refugees has incited outrage and increased anti-immigrant rhetoric on the part of the FN and other conservative elements.²¹⁸

²¹⁶ Dominic Richard David Thomas, *Africa and France : Postcolonial Cultures, Migration, and Racism*. African Expressive Cultures, (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2013), 51.

²¹⁷ Hafez, 20.

²¹⁸ *Ibid.*, 22.

The two contextual pieces which differentiate the immigration experienced in France from 2015 onwards are the quantity of Muslim asylum seekers and the renewed emergence of terrorism in France from Charlie Hebdo onwards. The violence inherent in the French response to the treatment of Muslim immigrants has been an established practice since well before the European Refugee Crisis began in 2015. The first instances of this violence going back to the murder of Algerian nationalists in France by Parisian police officers in the later years of the wars, the number of which will likely never be fully determined.²¹⁹ As Muslim presence became more visible with the practicing of Islam in increasingly public spaces, it became apparent that the French population was negatively affected by perceived threats attributed to the increasing Muslim minority. This perceived sense of threat continues to frame public perceptions of Muslim immigrants and, when combined with the added fear of renewed mass terrorism, offers an opportunity for the far-right to make increased gains in support.

Marine Le Pen has framed the influx of new refugees much in the same manner she always had; Muslims represent a threat to French jobs, ideals, and safety, and France must not continue to accept further immigrants into a country which has already become saturated with Muslims over the past several decades. The fact that the majority of the asylum seekers come from Syria, which has been directly associated with the November Paris attacks through the presence of ISIS, is an important connection.²²⁰

²¹⁹ Lorcin, 117.

²²⁰ Wolfreys, 22.

Framing the Refugee Crisis to Benefit the Front National

As with most populist political parties, the Front National (FN) is staunchly anti-immigration, with a particular focus on opposing the influx of Muslim immigrants from the Middle East and North Africa. Chapters two and three have already discussed how the FN gained much of its initial following and support from running on a platform which proclaimed France for the French. Jean-Marie Le Pen vehemently opposed the influx of Arab immigrants from before the creation of the FN and cemented those beliefs into the foundation of the party in 1972.

²²¹ While elements of biological racism and anti-semitism have disappeared from the party's rhetoric under the guidance of Marine Le Pen, the anti-Islamic sentiments have been preserved. When immigration is discussed as a threat to French values, it is immigration from North Africa which dominates the discourse, rather than intra-European immigration.²²² The Refugee Crisis, and frankly all immigration by Muslims from the Middle-East and North Africa serve the platform of the FN as a visible and easily discriminated scapegoat. From the increase in Arab immigrants in the mid-twentieth century, to the modern refugee crisis, the increasing population of Muslims has fueled the FN and is a major mechanism through which populism is strengthened by Islamophobia.

Xenophobic populism often rises up when a majority in-group of a society perceives their position as becoming threatened by a proportionally increasing minority population which they differentiate from themselves through a number of means.²²³ France's uniquely stubborn interpretation of French identity allows populist parties to draw upon cultural heritage to a

²²¹ DeAngelis, 79.

²²² Daniel Stockemer, *The Front National in France: Continuity and Change Under Jean-Marie Le Pen and Marine Le Pen* (Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017): .

²²³ DeAngelis, 83.

greater extent than normally would be possible. This is because, while not universally accepted by the French, the common discourse on French national identity states that anyone can become French, but to become French is to abandon one's own identity in exchange for complete adherence to the French ideals.²²⁴ Thanks to the perceived threats presented by Muslim immigration and refugees, it becomes easy for Marine Le Pen and the FN to claim republican values in their defense of French identity throughout the 1990s.²²⁵

Conclusion

Because the French perception of Muslims and Islam has been saturated with threat prejudice from colonial past, political portrayal, and media portrayal, The increased level of interaction does not subvert negative stereotypes, but instead exacerbates fear of physical and ideological damage to France brought on by Muslim Immigrants. The FN uses this fear to grow and solidify their voter base, consisting in large part of uneducated, unemployed individuals, as well as those believing in the singular version of French identity. The FN scapegoats Muslims as the cause of unemployment, generalizes them as all in adherence with the fundamentalists, and blames immigration as the cause of terrorism and deems it a security risk.²²⁶

As demonstrated during the 2017 Presidential election, Marine Le Pen and the FN might have a chance at obtaining and maintaining a strong plurality in France, but are unlikely to overcome the second round majority barrier as the majority of the French public still views the FN as an extremist, anti-democratic, heir to fascism. The real threat laid out by the information

²²⁴ Rydgren, 123.

²²⁵ Natalie J. Doyle, "Lessons from France: Popularist Anxiety and Veiled Fears of Islam." *Islam & Christian-Muslim Relations* 22, no. 4 (2011): 477.

²²⁶ Jolly and DiGiusto, 468.

accumulated here is the threat of normalization of hatred. While the FN might never hold the presidency, both Jean-Marie and Marine have, in their times, applied pressure through their movement upon the presiding government to initiate and enact laws which lean far-right of center. From the Security act to the consideration of removing citizenship from natural dual-citizens, Hollande considered significant authoritarian responses to the growing discontent in France with the increasing levels of immigrant presence and terrorism.

Conclusion

Fear is a powerful motivation. Humans detest the feeling of not being in control of their reality. The presence of perceived threats or violent disasters often focuses the mind on its own mortality and catalyzes it to seek out way to mitigate the risk to its safety. This instinct of the human body to seek safety from threats is, on its own, a valuable characteristic which keeps humans alive. When an unframed disaster such as a hurricane or a school shooting occurs, it is healthy to react in fear. However, when the fear that one experiences is based on perceived threats of violence, regardless of the actual level of threat present, then that fear inhibits the mind from successfully and logically processing information. To have an irrational fear of something or someone is to sacrifice the ability to reason and logically come to understand the source of that fear. Additionally, as human beings seek to control their fears through the gathering of information, it is fairly simple for sources of information to impart their biases unto the fearful individuals.

The first take away from this paper must be the understanding that xenophobia can be a powerful factor in the political calculations of a nation, especially when the nation's history has formed around an ethno-cultural identity as is the case with France. At the very heart of the issue, France's colonial roots have engrained in her a pervasive Islamophobic sentiment which influences political discourse on all sides of the political spectrum. This history of oppression and violence has created lasting negative stereotypes which have come to be validated on the logic of French republicanism. It is sobering to understand the reality of the corruption of French universalism and secularism. The politicization of these liberal policies has transformed their

purpose from one of tolerance and protection, to one of intolerance and targeted religious censor. Because French perceptions of Islam have been integrally biased since the period of colonization in the early-1880s, the ability of the French to logically consider the actual impacts of Islam on the French republican experience is impeded. Specifically, the hyper-religiosity and perceived intolerance than has come to be associated with the faith of Islam, frames it as inherently antagonistic toward the central pillars of French society.

French adherence to their values of *liberte, egalite, and fraternite* are considered to be necessarily universal; anyone who comes to France has an obligation to adhere to these policies of tolerance and acceptance. These values are the foundations of French liberalism and have been enacted to safeguard the rights of the French. However, previously existing animosity between the French and Algerians has transformed values of tolerance into weapons of normalization. The FN under Marine Le Pen has made stride in the political realm because she has convince many in France that her policies come not from a place of hatred for Muslims, but from a desire to protect France and her liberal ideals of tolerance and freedom.

The Front Nationale has experienced significant increases in support in the decades since its creation in 1972. While at first Jean-Marie Le Pen experienced in-party schisms and pitifully low levels of support, the party has evolved to appeal to French citizens regardless of traditional political affiliations. The party has gained attention and support through their incendiary remarks on the state of the nation of France, often relying on the scapegoating of the Muslim immigrant population in France as the source of threats to France's republican ideology, safety and well-being, and job security for the average Frenchman. From their inception to now, it has been the ability of the FN leadership to capitalize upon Islamophobic sentiment which has aided in the

rise to prominence and elevation from fringe to party-politics. Beyond their own electoral success, the FN has experienced great success through the normalization of their beliefs among French political elites and the general public. In fact, the most dangerous element of the FN's rise to prominence might not be the potential for a FN candidate to rise to the position of President of France. The most dangerous implication of this paper, is that the FN will be able to spread their influence and policies to the extent that their policies will become normalized and accepted by centrist party politicians.

The open racism of Nicolas Sarkozy and the reactionary authoritarian policies of Francois Hollande illustrate a break from traditional centrist party lines. Where under Mitterrand and de Gaulle, policies remained polarized on traditional issues of market economics and social welfare, the new debate has been guided by the Front National: the debate between isolation and integration. By driving politics towards debates on the presence of, and threats posed by, ethnic minorities, the FN has cemented itself at the heart of party politics and successfully framed itself not as an extreme-right, fanatical party, but a party focused on protecting French values for the French. By choosing the growing Muslim minority as their scapegoat, the FN has successfully capitalized on the perceived threats of Islam held by many of the French public. The French public, through their consumption of threatening media in times of crisis, has shifted their preferences which in turn influence the centrist parties of France and provide for a cycle of increasing Islamophobic sentiments, support for the FN, and normalization of their authoritarian, xenophobic security and immigration policies.

References

- Abdelkader, Engy. "A Comparative Analysis of European Islamophobia." *Journal of Islamic and Near Eastern Law* 16, no. 1 (2017): 29-63.
- Albertson, Bethany, and Shana Kushner Gadarian. *Anxious Politics: Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2015. Accessed March 11, 2019.
- Barou, Jacques. "Integration of Immigrants in France: a Historical Perspective." *Identities* 21, o. 6 (2014): 642-657.
- Bell, Dorian. "Europe's 'New Jews': France, Islamophobia, and Antisemitism in the Era of Mass Migration." *Jewish History* 32, no. 1 (2018): 65–76.
- Brayson, Kimberley. "Of Bodies and Burkinis: Institutional Islamophobia, Islamic Dress, and the Colonial Condition." *Journal of Law & Society* 46, no. 1 (2019): 55–82.
- Brouard, Sylvain, Pavlos Vasilopoulos, and Martial Foucault. "How Terrorism Affects Political Attitudes: France in the Aftermath of the 2015-2016 Attacks." *West European Politics* 41, no. 5 (September 2018): 1073–99.
- Césaire, Aimé. *Discourse on Colonialism*. New York: MR, 1972.
- Ciftci, Sabri. "Islamophobia and Threat Perceptions: Explaining Anti-Muslim Sentiment in the West." *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 32, no. 3 (2012): 293–309.
- Clarke, Sean, and Josh Holder. "French presidential election May 2017 – full second round results and analysis." *The Guardian*, May 26, 2017.
<https://www.theguardian.com/world/ng-interactive/2017/may/07/french-presidential-election-results-latest>.
- Davidson, Lawrence. "Who Is Charlie?" *Middle East Policy* 23, no. 1 (2016): 170–72.
- Davies, Peter. *The National Front in France : Ideology, Discourse and Power*. London: Routledge, 1999.
- DeAngelis, Richard A. "A Rising Tide for Jean-Marie, Jorg, and Pauline? Xenophobic Populism In Comparative Perspective." *Australian Journal of Politics and History* 49, no. 1 (2003): 75-92.
- Doyle, Natalie J. "Lessons from France: Popularist Anxiety and Veiled Fears of Islam." *Islam & Christian-Muslim Relations* 22, no. 4 (2011): 475–89.

- Duhamel, Olivier. "Terrorism and Constitutional Amendment in France." *European Constitutional Law Review* 12, no. 1 (2016): 1–5.
- Eurostat. "Asylum and first time asylum applicants by citizenship, age and sex Annual aggregated data (rounded)." Accessed April 20, 2019.
http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=migr_asyappctza&lang=en.
- Fanon, Frantz, Jean-Paul Sartre, and Homi K Bhabha. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Translated by Richard Philcox. New York: Grove Press, 2004.
- Faucher, Florence, and Laurie Boussaguet. "The Politics of Symbols: Reflections on the French Government's Framing of the 2015 Terrorist Attacks." *Parliamentary Affairs* 71, no. 1 (2018): 169–95.
- France 24. "How Did Nice Killer Truck Go Undetected on Bastille Day?" *France 24*, 21 July 2016,
www.france24.com/en/20160720-nice-truck-attack-security-failings-july-14-france.
- Haddad, Yvonne Yazbeck, and Michael J. Balz. "The October Riots in France: A Failed Immigration Policy or the Empire Strikes Back?" *International Migration* 44, no. 2 (2006): 23-34.
- Hafez, Farid. "The Refugee Crisis and Islamophobia." *Insight Turkey* 17, no. 4 (2015): 19-26.
- Horobin, William. "France's National Front Likely to Perform Strongly in Regional Elections; Marine Le Pen's party well placed to win control of several French regions three weeks after terror attacks." *Wall Street Journal (Online)*, (2015) Dec 04.
- Jolly, Seth K., and Gerald M. DiGiusto. "Xenophobia and Immigrant Contact: French Public Attitudes Towards Immigration." *Social Sciences Journal* 51, no. 3 (September 2014): 464–73.
- Kalman, Samuel. *French Colonial Fascism: The Extreme Right in Algeria, 1919-1939*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan, 2013.
- Katz, Ethan B. "An Imperial Entanglement: Anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, and Colonialism." *American Historical Review* 123, no. 4 (2018): 1190–1209.
- Lorcin, Patricia M. E. *Algeria & France, 1800-2000 : Identity, Memory, Nostalgia*. 1st ed. Modern Intellectual and Political History of the Middle East. Syracuse, N.Y.: Syracuse University Press, 2006.

- Maussen, Marcel. "Islamic Presence and Mosque Establishment in France: Colonialism Arrangements for Guestworkers and Citizenship." *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 33, no. 6 (August 2007): 981-1002.
- Merriam-Webster. "Xenophobia." Accessed February 16, 2019.
<https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/xenophobia>.
- Moran, Matthew. "Terrorism and the Banlieues : The Charlie Hebdo Attacks in Context." *Modern & Contemporary France* 25, no. 3 (2017): 315–32.
- Pickles, Dorothy Maud. *Algeria and France: From Colonialism to Cooperation*. Routledge Library Editions. North Africa, 2. London: Routledge, 2015.
- Połośńska-Kimunguyi, Eva, and Marie Gillespie. "Terrorism Discourse on French International Broadcasting: France 24 and the Case of Charlie Hebdo Attacks in Paris." *European Journal of Communication* 31, no. 5 (2016): 568–83.
- Raymond, Gino G. "After Charlie : The Unravelling of the French Republican Response." *Patterns of Prejudice* 52, no. 1 (2018): 24–38.
- Rydgren, Jens. *The Populist Challenge: Political Protest and Ethno-nationalist Mobilization in France*. New York: Berghahn Books, 2004.
- Sessions, Jennifer E. *By Sword and Plow : France and the Conquest of Algeria*. Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 2011.
- Silverstein, Paul A. "The Context of Antisemitism and Islamophobia in France." *Patterns of Prejudice* 42, no. 1 (2008): 1–26.
- Smith, Andrea L. *Colonial Memory and Postcolonial Europe : Maltese Settlers in Algeria and France*. New Anthropologies of Europe. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2006.
- Stephan, Walter G., and Cookie White Stephan. "An Integrated Threat Theory of Prejudice." In *Reducing Prejudice and Discrimination*, edited by Stuart Oskamp. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000. 23-46.
- Stockemer, Daniel. *The Front National in France: Continuity and Change Under Jean-Marie Le Pen and Marine Le Pen*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2017.
- Strabac, Zan, Ola Listhaug, and Tor Georg Jakobsen. "Patterns of Ethnic Intolerance in Europe." *Int. Migration & Integration* 13 (2012): 459-479.
- Tarr, Carrie. "Looking at Muslims: The Visibility of Islam in Contemporary French Cinema." *Patterns of Prejudice* 48, no. 5 (2014): 516–33.

- Thomas, Dominic Richard David. *Africa and France : Postcolonial Cultures, Migration, and Racism*. African Expressive Cultures. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2013.
- Uenal, Faith. "Disentangling Islamophobia: The Differential Effects of Symbolic, Realistic, and Terroristic Threat Perceptions as Mediators Between Social Dominance Orientation and Islamophobia." *Journal of Social and Political Psychology* 4, no. 1 (2016): 66-90.
- Van Houtum, Henk, and Rodrigo Bueno Lacy. "The Political Extreme as the New Normal: The Cases of Brexit, the French State of Emergency and Dutch Islamophobia." *Fennia* 195, o. 1 (2017): 85–101.
- Vasilopoulos, Pavlos. "Terrorist Events, Emotional Reactions, and Political Participation: The 2015 Paris Attacks." *West European Politics* 41, no. 1 (2018): 102–27.
- Velíšek, Zdeněk. "Different Times In a Different Europe!" *New Presence: The Prague Journal of Central European Affairs* 12, no. 4 (2010): 12–14.
- Wolfreys, Jim. *Republic of Islamophobia: The Rise of Respectable Racism in France*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2017.