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Abstract

This thesis began as an exploration into Donald Trump’s Twitter use in the final year of his presidency, starting with his first (and at the time, only) impeachment and ending with the November 2020 election. As an incumbent running for re-election, Donald Trump broke from precedent by opting out of the traditional messaging strategy of expanding his base and promoting unity and instead focused on energizing his existing supporters. Throughout his campaign and presidency, his Trumpian-style of rhetoric which perpetuated an “us versus them” mentality resulted in threats and real violence by his supporters.

The role of social media has grown tremendously in the past decade, and has shifted political messaging as a result. While traditional media had a structure to fact-check stories, social media allows for the constant sharing of oversimplified ideas. With Trump’s massive Twitter following, he was able to engage in tweet-storms on any given subject, no matter how accurate the content of his messages were. Social media companies frequently rebuffed calls to increase the policing and accountability of Trump’s accounts. But in 2020, they were faced with a challenge: a sitting president actively challenged the integrity of a national election. Twitter decided to fact-check his tweets, which helped limit the spread of disinformation. However, these labels were not enough to overcome the circulation of lies that the election was stolen, bolstered by Trump and other Republicans across the country. By comparing the escalation of violence with the online messaging between November 2020 and January 2021, I conclude that Trump’s repeated claims that his supporters must “stop the steal” and fight for the country led directly to the kind of violence we witnessed on January 6th.
Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Social Media in Politics

The growth of social media ushered in a new wave of information gathering. In contrast to the era of broadcast media, where information came through daily newspapers or nightly news shows, users now had a greater choice in when, how, and what to consume as news.\footnote{Fontaine, Sam A., and Daniel M. Gomez. “Going Social: A Comparative Analysis of Presidents' Official and Social Media Messages.” Presidential Studies Quarterly 50, no. 3 (2020): 507.} Cable television paved the way for partisan channels such as MSNBC and Fox News to cater to a specific audience, feeding their viewers commentary that no longer aimed to be objective.\footnote{Fontaine and Gomez, “Going Social” 510.} With few restrictions, Facebook allowed different types of groups to form online, including those plotting domestic terrorist attacks. With every new type of social media came an opportunity for political groups and individuals to spread their message in an easily consumable way, sometimes at the expense of a more comprehensive overview of the situation. None managed to take off politically the way Twitter did.

Twitter is a relatively new form of political messaging. Unlike traditional news sources, it allows anyone to post in real time. Before, journalists could exert some amount of control over the stories distributed to the American public. Such gatekeeping ensured a modicum of reliability and fact-checking before stories became available to the general consumer.\footnote{Fontaine and Gomez, “Going Social” 512.} It also meant that news came at a slower pace, and gatekeepers...
deemed certain stories too insignificant or unverified to publish. With Twitter, nearly anything can be posted at any hour of the day. Politicians and journalists have harnessed this power, with reporters posting breaking news before it makes it to print and politicians using the platform as a direct line of communication with their constituents. Twitter has become intrinsic in modern journalism, with many journalists describing the platform as a kind of necessary evil.⁴ Although it hosts bad-faith actors and heated arguments, it also connects journalists with their readers and lets previously marginalized groups have a sphere of influence.⁵

This mass marketing of ideas to the general public is a product of 20th century politics. In the 19th century, presidents did not consider direct communication with the public a routine part of the job. Both Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson pioneered the idea that mass rhetoric should be a tool of the office.⁶ They had to communicate with their audience largely in person or by print media, but as technology developed so did the medium through which a president fulfilled this new duty — to interact directly with the American people.⁷ Franklin Delano Roosevelt utilized broadcast television, while Clinton used cable and “Web 1.0,” the Internet of the 1990s.⁸ Web 1.0 became Web 2.0, which allowed not only for access to resources but creation of them by

⁵Ingram, “The Yin and Yang of Twitter and Journalism.”
⁷Tulis, The Rhetorical Presidency.
the public. By the time of Obama, Facebook was used nationally and Twitter was in its early stages.

The advent of Twitter coincided with the presidency of Barack Obama, so there is only one pre- Trump data point to analyze when it comes to presidential Twitter. But a few notable aspects of the application lend themselves to the duties of the president: direct communication with their base (the American public), accessible to all citizens (even if they do not consume “traditional” news), and immediate commentary on political figures as well as events that occur.9

When presidents assume office, they become the leaders of their party — their policy priorities affect the priorities of every federal official on their side. In an increasingly polarized nation, publicly appealing to all Americans on a platform like Twitter is rarely as effective as desired.10 But leaders can use it to mobilize a specific base. Even if the claims are sometimes dubious, the speed of Tweets allows a president to rattle through a series of statements designed to energize voters. In addition to the mobilization potential of Twitter, it also hosts many users who do not consume media in traditional ways. The general public has increasingly opted out of using mainstream sources of news, instead choosing to absorb political information through organizations or figures who directly align with their ideology.11 In the United States, there are currently 68 million users on Twitter, about 8,160,000 of whom use it as their primary news source.12 Of those 68 million users, most are very polarized when it comes to the

9Fontaine and Gomez, “Going Social” 512.
10Fontaine and Gomez, “Going Social” 513.
11Fontaine and Gomez, “Going Social” 513.
accounts they trust and distrust.\textsuperscript{13} Republicans view many “reputable” sources such as CNN and the \textit{New York Times} as untrustworthy, and instead elect to follow Fox News and Sean Hannity, while Democrats view those same sources as credible.\textsuperscript{14} Presidents can also use Twitter to reach a brand new constituency that previously would have been unaware of their messaging. Twitter reached its peak users in early 2017, right as Donald Trump took office.\textsuperscript{15}

Political leaders are constantly engaged in efforts to control the narrative of important events. For presidents, Twitter provides an opportunity to engage directly with criticism, political pundit commentary, and fellow politicians. While Twitter opens up commentary to all, including those that criticize the president, it also gives the president a pathway to respond. Through these conversations comes the opportunity for the public to witness messaging spread directly by the president’s team. The president assumes the responsibility of leading the entire public, and Twitter allows them to express a narrative. The influence of social media has only grown in modern presidential times, and Donald Trump is a clear example.

\section*{1.2 President Obama introduces Twitter}


While Donald Trump is the president most associated with Twitter, Barack Obama introduced the application to the White House. In fact, President Obama was the first occupant of the Oval Office to tweet from @POTUS on Twitter, to go live on Facebook, to answer questions from Americans on YouTube, and to use a filter on Snapchat. In many ways, he brought the new age of media to the presidency. During his campaign, he utilized tools such as Facebook and MySpace to connect directly with voters. It worked, as he won almost 70 percent of the youth vote in 2008. His goal, according to his administration, was always “to reach Americans and people around the world on the channels and platforms where they already spend their time.” He hired Chris Hughes, a Facebook co-founder, to assist in the dissemination of materials through social media, texting, and podcasting. At that time, around half of all Americans used the internet or text messaging to receive news about the campaign. Three times as many Americans used the internet to watch political videos as they did in 2004. While 600,000 people followed John McCain on Facebook, 2 million followed Obama. His 112,000 Twitter followers dwarfed McCain’s 4,600. His team did not invent any kind of new technology, but instead found an original way to use social media to support various wings of their campaign including fundraising, organizing, fighting smear campaigns,

---

17Schulman, “The Digital Transition.”
18Schulman, “The Digital Transition.”
20Dutta, “Barack Obama and the Facebook Election.”
21Dutta, “Barack Obama and the Facebook Election.”
22Dutta, “Barack Obama and the Facebook Election.”
and mobilizing voters.\textsuperscript{23} These contacts carried into his presidency, giving him a leg up on the transition compared with prior administrations’ voter lists and mailing addresses.\textsuperscript{24} Obama set the precedent for the transition of social media power, including the transition of handles such as @WhiteHouse, @FLOTUS, @PressSec, and @VP, cementing the official use of social media.\textsuperscript{25} He and his team decided that his social media would live archived and available for download online — all of which were new steps for the highest office in the nation.\textsuperscript{26}

Aside from the rhetorical differences between the way both presidents used the platform, President Obama brought the same kind of meticulous screening to Twitter as he would any official message. Policy and legal experts vetted tweets, and could take days or weeks to hit the final phase of posting.\textsuperscript{27} Historian David Greenberg draws a parallel from Obama and Trump to Calvin Coolidge and Franklin Roosevelt — although Coolidge was the first president to have his inaugural address, acceptance address, and State of the Union broadcast over radio, we remember FDR’s fireside chats with speeches written specifically in colloquial language to be broadcast nationwide.\textsuperscript{28} In the same vein,

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{24} Carr, “How Obama Tapped Into Social Networks' Power.”
\item \textsuperscript{25} Schulman, “The Digital Transition.”
\end{itemize}
while Obama will always be the first President to use Twitter, Trump will be remembered as the “Tweeter in Chief.”

While Obama brought a more restrained tone to his administration’s social media messaging, critics still considered the implications of turning complex ideas into easily broadcasted online soundbites. Social media has always been a double edged sword, making information more easily accessible to a broader audience but also less complex. The public is left vulnerable to everything from false information to data mining by large technology companies, while the president’s online reach can greatly shift the national debate.

1.3 Online Partisanship

There was always partisanship in the media, with the far right movement using the new developments to their advantage. Before the 1980s, talk radio had limited, local reach. Shows generally appeared only on their home stations, and distant listeners had to pay tolls to call in. Rush Limbaugh took advantage of satellite transmission and 800-numbers to create a national audience, broadcasting his program five days a week to 600 stations nationwide. In the 1990s, the Drudge Report became a hub for conservative internet users. Fox News was created as a “legitimate” news source seeking to combat mainstream disinformation. Starting in 2018, Parler, a conservative online echo chamber

---


30 Bogost, “Obama Was Too Good at Social Media.”
where liberals make up “a minute amount of the population,” attempted to counter the more liberal learning Twitter. Until very recently, Twitter was a truly open and broad platform where anyone could post nearly anything. When its CEO tried to curb disinformation, far right users erupted in protest that it was censoring their views. Before Trump, their claims fell flat. They embraced the ideals of online trolling, but could only target lower level figures who might actually respond. Then Trump emerged as a mainstream celebrity who attacked those at the highest level. If he attacked Rosie O'Donnell, for instance, people could posit that there was some amount of legitimacy to his claims since he knew her personally. It opened up a new world where targets were much more likely to respond, and suddenly extremists had a gateway into the mainstream.

While both Republicans and Democrats use Twitter, they largely interact with members of their own party. There are some marked aspects of each group online: more Democrats are active on Twitter, more Republicans distrust traditional news sources, both tend to be intolerant of members of the other party. When exposed to liberal viewpoints on Twitter, Republicans became more conservative and Democrats became more liberal, although to a lesser degree.


With Trump’s perfected ability to stir up negative emotions among his followers, he left little room for rational debate. Although Democrats outnumber Republicans in terms of active users, none of their tweets or principal figures came close to the kind of coverage Donald Trump’s tweets received. Many tweets were picked up daily by national news outlets, broadening their reach well beyond what was possible on Twitter. During the third presidential debate in 2016, political bots (accounts not manned by real people) posted significantly more pro-Trump tweets than pro-Clinton ones, furthering Trump’s online visibility to his own party.

1.4 Trump’s Twitter Takeover

Donald Trump joined Twitter in 2009. He had already dipped his toe in electoral politics, considering a run for president in 1988 (although that was mostly a publicity stunt for book sales). He tried and failed again in 1999 as a member of the Reform Party, receiving around 15,000 votes in, the California primary. The advent of Twitter allowed Trump to broadcast tweet-storms of commentary on politics. Among other

---


falsehoods, he repeatedly pushed the claim that vaccines cause autism (Republicans are now the most vaccine-resistant segment of the population). He also famously propagated the “birther” conspiracy claiming that President Obama was not born in the United States. His obsessive tweeting gained him earned media coverage during his 2016 campaign, allowing his 140-character thoughts to spread. Donald Trump exploited traditional and nontraditional news sources, giving the media enough scandal to justify free coverage. He once said “I use the media the way the media uses me – to attract attention.” Donald Trump’s presidency created a new way of using political Twitter, as he maintained his candid, informal rhetorical to provide commentary of current events, drop breaking news announcements, and go after his political rivals.

Donald Trump was a media spectacle — he managed to hijack media coverage with just a few taps of his finger. Trump largely based his messaging in emotion and ideology, exploring Twitter as an easy gateway to the public. While he did control the official President’s Twitter account, he opted instead to tweet from his personal handle (@realDonaldTrump). Blurring the line between the responsibilities of the President and the general public, the Department of Justice deemed tweets from his personal account “official statements of the President of the United States.”

---

40Fuchs, Digital Demagogue Authoritarian Capitalism in the Age of Trump and Twitter.
His actions forced various social media sites to reconsider their community guidelines, choosing for most of his presidency to keep his posts up even when “normal” users would have been banned for some of the content. Initially, Twitter allowed his tweets to remain up, as Twitter CEO Jack Dorsey said that he believed “it’s important that people have conversations around what’s happening, especially with our global leaders, that they can push back, that they can speak truth to power, that they can share and show why this particular behavior is not right, and not just.” This action drew criticism from those who believed the President should be held to the same if not higher standards than the general public. Twitter took new action to label certain tweets as misinformation during the Covid-19 pandemic and the November 3rd election, as well as limit the sharing of tweets with false claims. This resulted in Trump to once again declaring war on Twitter. They temporarily locked his account during the January 6th insurrection, which led to an indefinite ban on January 8th, 2021.

1.5 Trumpism, Trumpology, Trump-Twitter

Researcher Christian Fuchs has classified Trump’s manner of tweeting as exemplifying the “post-truth phenomenon,” which focuses more on emotions,
personalities, and high-visibility than on rational arguments and facts. Trump managed to construct a narrative that polarized his world into friends and enemies, winners and losers, enemies and friends. Those who sided with him were “great, impressive, nice, successful, and talented,” while those who dared oppose him became “inaccurate, nasty, not nice, one-sided, overrated, poor, rude, sad, terrible, wrong, and untalented.” His followers viewed him as a symbol of love, hope, and everything going right in America as he presented himself as the sole combatant of the political elites, Democrats, and any Republicans who stood in his way. Certain groups were always on the outs, such as undocumented immigrants, Mexico, China, Muslims, and his critics, while others could quickly lose his favor, like Mitt Romney or certain Fox News hosts who crossed him.

It took Donald Trump’s advisors a while to get on board with his use of social media. At the beginning of his presidency, some sought to control his use of the platform. Dan Scavino, Trump’s trusted aide, would present him with a series of potential tweets and allow him to choose. This arrangement did not last long. Aides considered appealing to Twitter and asking them to build in 15-second windows before a tweet was published, but all strategies fell by the wayside as allowing Trump to tweet freely proved mutually beneficial — Trump could enjoy likes and retweets while simultaneously receiving unprecedented media attention. The mainstream media served as a force multiplier, vastly extending the reach of his tweets beyond those who were following him on Twitter. In the first 100 days of his presidency, around half of his total tweets were

---

45 Fuchs, Digital Demagogue Authoritarian Capitalism in the Age of Trump and Twitter.
46 Fuchs, Digital Demagogue Authoritarian Capitalism in the Age of Trump and Twitter.
47 Fuchs, Digital Demagogue Authoritarian Capitalism in the Age of Trump and Twitter.
covered in the news media, such as the New York Times, Washington Post, and Wall Street Journal. This phenomenon set the precedent for the rest of his presidency, where the media prioritized the issues addressed in his tweets.

Only one person reportedly had access to draft and publish tweets from @realDonaldTrump: Dan Scavino. Scavino once worked as a teenage caddy for Trump and years later made his way onto the Trump campaign with no apparent qualifications except for his undying loyalty. Scavino rose quickly in rank, from small transcription assignments and KFC runs to managing a large portion of Trump’s social media.

Scavino’s own Twitter became a cesspool for conspiracy and baseless attacks, with retweets of claims that Senator Ted Cruz was having an affair. When the news broke that Trump planned to extract troops from Syria, Scavino promoted the popularity of the policy to a room full of skeptical members of Congress, citing “likes” and “retweets” as proof. Steve Bannon once described him as the “comms department of the White House.” He survived the aggressive turnover of Trump employees, all while exerting consistent influence over Trump’s favorite messaging platform.

With Scavino’s help, the days of trying to censor Trump’s Twitter account ended. Trump managed to mix in just enough newsworthy announcements that his tweets continued to merit analysis from major news networks — the world learned that he replaced his secretary of Veterans Affairs, that he believed trade wars were good, that he

---

51 Lippman, “Get Scavino in Here: Trump's Twitter Guru Is the Ultimate Insider.”
52 Lippman, “Get Scavino in Here: Trump's Twitter Guru Is the Ultimate Insider.”
planned on suspending DACA, as well as a series of his personal opinions on his own attorneys general, all from the platform. Trump had mastered the short-form version of messaging.

1.6 Social Media Fights Back

Donald Trump did not get away with complete free rein over his Twitter account as President — in addition to being sued over his use of the block button in 2017, Twitter took steps in preparation for the 2020 election to limit the threat of misleading claims coming from the highest office in the nation. Although these steps were meant to limit the spread of disinformation, their decision to fact-check Trump’s tweets may have further polarized Democrats and Republicans, causing Republicans to double down on their beliefs and Democrats to embrace the facts laid out by the Twitter algorithm. After Trump lost, continued to tweet, and rallied enough of his supporters to storm the United States Capitol, Twitter permanently banned his account. Facebook took a more passive stance on Trump during his presidency, allowing organizations to spread misinformation through paid advertisements until the 2020 election where they began to limit misleading


posts. They also decided to ban Trump’s accounts indefinitely in January, and soon other platforms followed suit.⁵⁵

Donald Trump’s Twitter created a unique problem for social media organizations: a sitting president was actively spreading disinformation, largely without regulation. Knowing that a decision either way would face backlash, Twitter announced that it had expanded its civic integrity policy in an attempt to address any misleading claims that attack the integrity of the election or attempt to prematurely declare a winner.⁵⁶ Tweets that violated the new policies were labeled, and anyone attempting to retweet a flagged post saw a prompt for credible information on the subject.⁵⁷ Flagged tweets from prominent political figures or accounts with over 100,000 followers could not be retweeted without adding some kind of comment.⁵⁸ Twitter has multiple programmed machine learning systems designed to catch tweets that violate their terms of service. However, they only flag around 50% of the total tweets that violate their norms.⁵⁹ While they also have human content moderators, this means that thousands of inflammatory tweets can go unfiltered.⁶⁰ Twitter had been ahead of Facebook, actively banning political ads in October 2019, adding election labels to candidates in December 2019, creating labels for manipulated media in February 2020, and expanding policies to limit the spread

---


⁵⁶Gadde, Vijaya. “Additional Steps We’re Taking Ahead of the 2020 US Election.”

⁵⁷Gadde, Vijaya. “Additional Steps We’re Taking Ahead of the 2020 US Election.”

⁵⁸Gadde, Vijaya. “Additional Steps We're Taking Ahead of the 2020 US Election.”


of misinformation leading up to the election. Facebook labeled posts involving the
election and redirected readers to reliable sources. It also restricted paid promotion of
election claims. Earlier in the year, Facebook’s leadership was criticized for limiting or
eliminating measures that combated misinformation and hate speech for fear it would
harm Facebook’s usage numbers or conservative sources.

Social media sites that these platforms are run by private companies that can set
their own terms of service — they have no responsibility to ensure complete free usage.
Some are more dedicated than others to the goal of limiting disinformation, which is all
the more needed when those elected hold potentially harmful views. As more Trump-like
candidates are voted into every level of office, social media must find ways to curb the
spread of baseless conspiracies.

1.7 Trump’s War on Twitter

Trump has a long history of trying to use the law to silence critics. As a private
citizen, he made liberal use of defamation suits (and the threat of such suits) to keep
critics at bay. As president, he urged lawmakers to “open up the libel laws.” While Trump
loved Twitter as a messaging platform, he did not feel the same way about its leadership.

61Gadde, Vijaya. “Additional Steps We're Taking Ahead of the 2020 US Election.”
62Brandom, Russell. “Facebook, Twitter Take Steps to Limit the President's False Election Claims.” The
=5eb6d68b7fecd32c19ef33b4.
63Roose, Kevin. “Facebook Reverses Postelection Algorithm Changes That Boosted News from
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/16/technology/facebook-reverses-postelection-algorithm-changes-that-b
oosted-news-from-authoritative-sources.html.
His favorite attack is to call upon Congress to pass legislation to undo Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, which effectively allows tech companies to have open platforms without being held responsible for the content of posts. After Twitter began to label his tweets about mail-in voting as disinformation, Trump claimed they were interfering in the election and “stifling FREE SPEECH.” But by repealing Section 230, many platforms would suddenly be subject to lawsuits on behalf of their users, essentially forcing them to heavily regulate or shut down their entire platform. In essence, Trump would lose his primary messaging source.

1.8 The Dangers of Trumpian Twitter

At the end of Trump’s tenure, he had made 30,573 false claims according to the Washington Post running tally, averaging around 21 falsehoods per day. When he lost the election, the overwhelming majority of Republicans believed his claims that it was stolen. Since Trump’s election in 2016, more GOP candidates have emulated his rhetorical style in person and online. His hold on the Republican party has not gone away,

---
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as he can provide coveted endorsements to potential candidates regardless of their incumbency status.69

In the past year, social media sites have taken extra precautions to limit false claims, violent messages, and conspiracy theories. In President Trump’s final months in office, from impeachment through the inauguration of Joe Biden, a series of important events showcased the kind of harm he encouraged through his tweets. Democrats hoped for a sweeping condemnation of Trumpism in the 2020 election, and although they won control in each branch of government, the margins were much narrower than expected. The Trumpian style of using Twitter proved effective for many members, and will likely remain even at the highest levels of politics. Without an active effort by social media sites to limit the spread of intentionally false claims, the world of conspiracies and partisan divide will only grow. It is up to these companies to embrace greater social responsibility, as well as traditional news sources to re-examine the inherent “news-worthiness” of tweets from a political leader like Donald Trump. Every messaging platform needs to take steps to further mitigate the potential harm of Trumpian social media use, which is a very real threat to democracy and could last well after a Trump presidency.

Twitter, along with other social media sites, took the unprecedented step of banning the former president from their platforms as President Biden assumed office. Now, Donald Trump has been largely absent from the daily news cycle, save a few written statements and an appearance at the Conservative Political Action Conference.

Nevertheless, most Republican politicians have signaled their enduring loyalty towards him. While Trump may no longer have a Twitter, members of his party are using their own online platforms to mirror the rhetorical style he brought to the presidency. Trump was in many ways a catalyst to a larger shift in how political figures disseminate their beliefs, rally their base, and spread propaganda. Left unregulated, Trump may not be the last figure to lead to a real, violent uprising among Americans.

Chapter 2: Slow, Constant Escalation

2.1 Introduction

From the day the House of Representatives began the first formal impeachment proceedings against him in 2019 to the day he left office in 2021, Donald Trump tested the limits of the Constitution. Using his signature style of attacking enemies, firing up supporters, and questioning America’s legal system, he escalated interparty tensions and forced prominent Republicans to choose between appeasing him or following their constitutional responsibilities. As 2020 unfolded with protests for racial equality and a consequential election amid global pandemic, Donald Trump took to Twitter to lay the groundwork for November 3rd. He delivered a partisan State of the Union in the middle of impeachment trial proceedings, accepted the Republican nomination for President from the White House, and stoked confrontation with Black Lives Matter activists.
Using Twitter as a medium for campaign messaging, Donald Trump decided not to promote unity but instead to deepen partisan divides. This approach marked a stark contrast from other presidential reelection campaigns. While he turned out a record number of supporters on November 3rd, it was not enough to overcome Biden’s turnout, which was even greater.

2.2 Impeachment (part one)

“You can’t Impeach someone who hasn’t done anything wrong!”

-@realDonaldTrump, Nov. 2019

On September 24, 2019, Speaker Nancy Pelosi announced an impeachment inquiry into President Donald Trump for his conduct with respect to Ukraine. On a call, Trump asked with Ukrainian president Volodymyr Zelensky to “do us a favor” by investigating former Vice President Biden as well as the debunked theory that Ukraine, not Russia, interfered in the 2016 presidential election. In her announcement, Speaker Pelosi affirmed that “no one is above the law.” Trump fired back with a tweet he had used 30 times since taking office: “PRESIDENTIAL HARASSMENT!”

There is not extensive precedent when it comes to presidential impeachment, as it had only occurred three times prior to Donald Trump. But there are certain ways that

presidents tend to deal with scandals. Before his impeachment inquiry, Trump had already faced scandals that might have ended the careers of other politicians. He also lacked the staff and interest to engage in a coordinated communications strategy. Lanny Davis, a former Clinton lawyer, gives a piece of advice to presidents when they are directly involved in a scandal: “tell it early, tell it all, tell it yourself.” Trump took the opposite tactic. He propagated baseless conspiracy theories, dismissed the inquiry as a “witch hunt” and attacked any member of Congress who spoke out against him, regardless of party.

There are elements of the two most recent impeachments that set a general outline for how the president is expected to behave. Impeachment is, at its core, an act of Congressional oversight. The president is not involved as he can be with legislation. Members of Congress are loyal to their constituents, particularly those who voted for them. As soon as their constituents lose confidence in the president, so do they. While Richard Nixon initially resisted calls for resignation, once his approval plunged, he lost Congressional support among his own party. In contrast, Bill Clinton was acquitted with a 60% approval rating. The content of their impeachments were different, but both attempted to control the overall narrative by engaging with the press, their own party leaders, and the American people. Trump used his own messaging strategy through staging offhanded interactions with the press, getting some surrogates to defend him on air, and tweeting extensively. He was acquitted after a deeply partisan trial, with approval ratings in the high 40s. Nevertheless, his approval ratings among Republicans was at

94%. While 70% of them believed his behavior was “probably or definitely” unethical and 63% believing his actions were “probably or definitely” illegal, only 12% agreed that he should be removed. This attitude stayed fairly consistent along with his approval ratings — the day-to-day actions did not matter so much as the person in office. With a Republican majority in the Senate and Republican support nationwide, Trump’s sporadic conspiracy tweeting did not hurt him. He simply needed to convince his base that he did nothing wrong, which they already seemed to believe. Trump’s focus on discrediting Democrats and their witnesses energized his supporters, and successfully retained almost all of their support.

On the first day of opening arguments in the Senate trial, Trump tweeted and retweeted 142 separate times, setting a new record. His tweets created controversy, as he shared an image of a truck with “TRUMP 2020: PUSHING SNOWFLAKES ASIDE” written across it. “Snowflakes” was a common term for Trump to use to describe liberals, originating from the protests that occurred after his election. After Obama’s successful 2008 campaign, the gap in discourse style between the two parties widened.

---
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McIntosh, Crybabies and Snowflakes.
used “non-PC” language to attack liberals, and use of terms like “liberal snowflakes” and “liberal crybabies” spiked around Trump’s inauguration.

The term “snowflake” taps into a deeper sentiment felt among the right. Similarly to military bootcamps, it equates sensitivity and empathy with weakness and immaturity.\textsuperscript{80} It blocks any room for actual political discourse, as it paints all opinions of Trump detractors as invalid and unworthy of attention. By sharing an image of a truck pushing “snowflakes” aside, Trump positioned himself once again as the sole combatant of a liberal army, energizing his supporters in opposition to the left in general, not one specific policy dispute. This, coupled with comparisons between the image and the murder of Heather Heyer during the white supremacist “Unite the Right” rally in Charlottesville two years prior, created a charged environment for the impeachment trial.\textsuperscript{81} In addition to attacking those that opposed him, Trump frequently tweeted allusions to fictional conspiracies that were readily embraced by those following his post-truth tweeting style.

Amid a very real impeachment inquiry into his conduct, the president concentrated on deflecting responsibility. In addition to classifying his call with the Ukrainian president as “perfect,” he shared a doctored image of Barack Obama using binoculars to spy on Trump Tower. This false claim began early in his presidency, and Trump repeated it for years. It did not matter that Trump’s Justice Department failed to find any evidence of foul play, Trump had been spreading this lie since early 2017.\textsuperscript{82} Attacking Obama gave both him and his supporters a cause to rally behind.

\textsuperscript{80}McIntosh, Crybabies and Snowflakes.
\textsuperscript{81}A neo-Nazi ran over Heather Heyer with his car.
By perpetuating the “hoax” theme from the point that his campaign allegedly colluded with Russia in 2016 to his impeachment over the Ukraine call, Trump delegitimized the entire process to his supporters. He also placed pressure on Republican lawmakers: if they failed to agree with him or publicly voiced their opposition, he blasted them in tweetstorms. Truth came second to emotion as he spread lies about the proceedings, claiming (over Twitter) that “The Democrat House would not give us lawyers, or not one witness, but now demand that the Republican Senate produce the witnesses that the House never sought, or even asked for? They had their chance, but pretended to rush. Most unfair & corrupt hearing in Congressional history!” His lawyer repeated the claims of lack of due process, writing a letter to Democratic House leaders stating that they had “designed and implemented your inquiry in a manner that violates fundamental fairness and constitutionally mandated due process.” These claims were quickly debunked by constitutional scholars, but the use of a lawyer to perpetuate Trump’s falsehoods gave the appearance of adding new weight to his Twitter attacks. Real professionals backed up Trump’s claims, adding a level of purported authenticity for his supporters that was not previously there.

As Republicans answered questions on impeachment, almost all of them pivoted to Trump’s talking points: Democrats were using impeachment as a political tactic and Ukraine interfered in the 2016 campaign alongside Russia to attack the Trump campaign. The narrative that Trump created in 280-character tweets became Republican messaging:

---

Trump’s conspiracies were fact and anyone who did not accept them was working with the Democratic elite to ruin his presidency. Devin Nunes, Rick Scott, and Jim Jordan led the efforts in support of Trump, earning them shout-outs on his Twitter:

- “A great new book just out, ‘The Plot Against the President. The True Story Of How Congressman Devin Nunez Uncovered the Biggest Political Scandal In U.S. History.’ Shows very bad and corrupt people on the other side. Check it out!”

- “Thank you to Rick Scott. This Impeachment Hoax is an outrage!”

- “Rep. Jim Jordan Delivers Knockout Blow to Schiff’s Reputation

https://t.co/tVBdWMrAjn”

Trump also blasted the Senate proceedings, tweeting, “Many believe that by the Senate giving credence to a trial based on the no evidence, no crime, read the transcripts, ‘no pressure’ Impeachment Hoax, rather than an outright dismissal, it gives the partisan Democrat Witch Hunt credibility that it otherwise does not have. I agree!” This tweet is emblematic of his overall style: he only had true allegiance to himself and those that supported him, not his political party. Here, he not only criticized the members of his own party for entertaining an impeachment trial, but also showed a general disdain for the political process. This demand contradicted members like Mitch McConnell who, in following precedent, allowed House managers to present their case against Donald Trump to the Senate. McConnell and Senate Republicans did not accede to Trump’s goal

---

of outright dismissal. At this point, it was clear that Trump would be acquitted by the Republican senators, but acquittal was not enough for Trump. This highlighted a much larger problem: not only could truth pale to rhetoric and emotion, but also to the structure of government. While the Constitution does not require the Senate to try an impeached president, constitutional scholars agree that they have a “duty” to take up the House’s claims that a president is unfit to hold office. The Constitution also gives that body the “sole power” to try an impeached president. In exerting pressure and influence over members of the Senate to sidestep this duty, Trump attempted to breach the separation of powers.

2.3 State of the Union

“It was a great and triumphant evening for our Country. Thank you for all of the nice remarks and wonderful reviews of my State of the Union Speech. It was my great honor to have done it!” -@RealDonaldTrump, Feb 5, 2020

As the Senate prepared to vote on whether to remove Donald Trump from office, he delivered the State of the Union, echoing the 1998 SOTU that Bill Clinton gave right after the Lewinsky story broke and his 1999 SOTU during the Senate trial. The speech was an opportunity to showcase accomplishments (as his campaign said, “promises made, promises kept”) but also reassure swing voters of his dedication to the office.

---

Contrasting his usual rhetorical style with an uplifting yet restrained State of the Union could increase his support among key demographics, and provide a preview of a classic election strategy to expand his existing base.\textsuperscript{90}

The text of the speech essentially followed that structure, save his characteristic attacks on socialism, abortion, and Medicare for All. At the time, Bernie Sanders was a leading candidate for president and Biden seemed to be heading for a humiliating defeat in the primaries. Trump invoked “socialism” as a scare tactic in the campaign, especially among Cubans and Venezuelans in Florida who had firsthand experience with repressive socialist regimes. This was to be expected. The interactions outside of the speech were what many critiqued as unnecessarily, overtly partisan. Trump set the tone for the night by rebuffing Speaker Pelosi’s handshake after handing her a copy of the speech (at the end of the speech, she famously ripped it up on camera). A Gallup poll conducted at the time showed an 84-point-gap between Democrats’ and Republicans’ approval of the president.\textsuperscript{91} While Republicans chanted “four more years,” some Democratic members of Congress, including Tim Ryan and Bill Pascrell, walked out. Rep. Ryan tweeted: “I’ve had enough. It’s like watching professional wrestling. It’s all fake.”\textsuperscript{92} Throughout the speech, Trump peppered in moments of spectacles and made-for-tv gimmicks that truly showcased his strengths as a television host rather than a president. From presenting conservative talk show host Rush Limbaugh with a Presidential Medal of Freedom to


\textsuperscript{92}Tim Ryan (@RepTimRyan), tweet, February 4, 2020, https://twitter.com/RepTimRyan/status/1224889867798224896
reuniting a sergeant with his wife and daughter, he ensured that entertainment dominated the night.

Trump touted an economic success record, called on Republicans by name to send him bills, and pledged to never give “illegal aliens” free health care.\(^{93}\) Overall, Trump delivered the kind of speech analysts said he needed. But once Trump finished with the formal remarks, he immediately switched back to Twitter. Instead of using the same tactic of ignoring the impeachment and Democrats and focusing on his successes, he set his sights on Nancy Pelosi. He retweeted a number of anti-Pelosi tweets from Republican members of Congress as well as conservative news outlets such as the New York Post, alternating between attacking her for tearing up his speech and impeachment. Notably, the official @WhiteHouse Twitter account published an original tweet condemning Pelosi: “Speaker Pelosi just ripped up: One of our last surviving Tuskegee Airmen. The survival of a child born at 21 weeks. The mourning families of Rocky Jones and Kayla Mueller. A service member's reunion with his family. That's her legacy.” on February 5th.\(^{94}\) Trump’s attacks against the Speaker took place solely online after a heated exchange in October 2019, where he tweeted a photo of her along with the caption “Nervous Nancy’s unhinged meltdown!” which she proceeded to turn into her Twitter cover photo.\(^{95}\)


\(^{94}\)White House, @WhiteHouse45, tweet, Feb 4 2020, https://twitter.com/whitehouse/status/1224902083209502721?lang=en

Trump’s delivery of the State of the Union illustrated his attitude towards messaging. He could read prepared remarks that tempered his rhetoric, but always returned to Twitter to speak to his followers unrestrained. In 2017, almost half of Republicans, two thirds of independents, and 90 percent of Democrats disapproved of his Twitter use, but these numbers never kept him off the application for long. Formalities like the State of the Union were never the primary focus of his personal messaging, even though political analysts regarded it as one of the most successful demonstrations of a typical campaign strategy.

2.4 COVID-19

“So, the Coronavirus, which started in China and spread to various countries throughout the world, but very slowly in the U.S. because President Trump closed our border, and ended flights, VERY EARLY, is now being blamed, by the Do Nothing Democrats, to be the fault of ‘Trump.’” -@RealDonaldTrump, Feb 28, 2020

In the midst of election season, a pandemic ravaged the world. For some presidents facing low approval ratings, a crisis can provide them with an opportunity to unite the country and gain bipartisan support. Presidential historian Julian Zelizer asserted that "The number one thing a president can do in a moment like this is try to calm the nation." During the initial phases of the virus, Trump assured Americans there

---

was nothing to worry about. On January 24th, he tweeted in support of the Chinese government: “China has been working very hard to contain the Coronavirus. The United States greatly appreciates their efforts and transparency. It will all work out well. In particular, on behalf of the American People, I want to thank President Xi!”

Trump quickly changed course as the pandemic became an American reality. He resorted back to his classic messaging style: blame others and praise himself. China became his scapegoat, as he dubbed COVID-19 the “Chinese Virus” in multiple speeches and briefings while assuring the American public that everything was under control. He reinstated daily press briefings, placing himself front and center. On February 24th, Trump tweeted: “The Coronavirus is very much under control in the USA. We are in contact with everyone and all relevant countries. CDC & World Health have been working hard and very smart. Stock Market starting to look very good to me!” Journalist Bob Woodward later released tapes showing that Trump knew much more about the severity and mechanisms for infection than he originally let on. Trump shared with Woodward that the virus “goes through the air” and is “more deadly” than the flu months before he acknowledged either of those facts publicly.97 This comment marked a stark contrast from his outward messaging during a time when the country looked to the president for information and comfort.

In addition to downplaying the threat of the virus, Trump’s constant attacks on China had direct consequences for Asian Americans. Historically, when blame for disease spread has been placed on a specific subgroup of the population, xenophobia and

---

violence against that population has increased.\textsuperscript{98} Jewish populations were accused of poisoning wells across Europe during the “Black Plague,” Irish Catholic immigrants were blamed for the spread of cholera, and residents of Chinatown in San Francisco were blamed and sequestered for outbreaks of smallpox.\textsuperscript{99} Since the beginning of his presidency, Trump had confronted China through tariffs and trade policy.\textsuperscript{100} In March 2020, two-thirds of surveyed Americans had an unfavorable view of China with Republicans holding a more negative view than Democrats by 10 points.\textsuperscript{101} The amount of online harassment targeted towards Asian Americans on sites such as Twitter and 4chan also increased dramatically during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{102} In March 2020, the FBI warned that Asian Americans might experience more hate crimes “based on their assumption that a portion of the U.S. public will associate COVID-19 with China and Asian American populations.”\textsuperscript{103} The share of Americans who called China an “enemy” increased for Republicans after Trump’s campaign released an email saying “America is under attack — not just by an invisible virus, but by the Chinese.”\textsuperscript{104} Although Trump spent the first few weeks of January praising China and Xi Jinping, the consistency was


\textsuperscript{99}Shoichet, “What Historians Hear When Trump Calls Coronavirus ‘Chinese’ and ‘Foreign’.”


\textsuperscript{101}Wike, “U.S. Image Plummets Internationally as Most Say Country Has Handled Coronavirus Badly.”


\textsuperscript{103}Li, “‘When Model Minorities’ Become ‘Yellow Peril’-Othering and the Racialization of Asian Americans in the COVID-19 Pandemic.”

never the point — it was another effort to target a scapegoat for a growing problem in America.

By labeling Covid-19 the “chinese virus,” Trump encouraged xenophobia and anti-asian sentiment to spread. After Trump first tweeted the worlds “Chinese Virus” in March 9th, tweets over the next two weeks containing the hashtag “#chinesevirus” contained significantly more anti-asian sentiment than those with the hashtag “covid19.”105 In 2015, the World Health Organization reminded the public that “Disease names really do matter. . . . We’ve seen certain disease names provoke a backlash against members of particular religious or ethnic communities.” The WHO cautioned against using Trump’s terms, reminding the public that “this is not a ‘Wuhan Virus,’ ‘Chinese Virus’ or ‘Asian Virus.’”106 Trump’s twitter account has widespread impact on the populace, and in opposing the scientific community and blaming a specific subgroup for the spread of the disease, he gave his supporters an enemy. The sheer reach and impact of his Twitter allowed hashtags like “chinesevirus” to spread even further, exacerbating the anti-asian bias felt by his supporters.

Below is the evolution of tweets from @RealDonaldTrump about COVID-19:

---

Feb. 24: “The Coronavirus is very much under control in the USA. We are in contact with everyone and all relevant countries. CDC & World Health have been working hard and very smart. Stock Market starting to look very good to me!”

March 9: “So last year 37,000 Americans died from the common Flu. It averages between 27,000 and 70,000 per year. Nothing is shut down, life & the economy go on. At this moment there are 546 confirmed cases of CoronaVirus, with 22 deaths. Think about that!”

May 3: “Intelligence has just reported to me that I was correct, and that they did NOT bring up the CoronaVirus subject matter until late into January, just prior to my banning China from the U.S. Also, they only spoke of the Virus in a very non-threatening, or matter of fact, manner…”

May 11: “Coronavirus numbers are looking MUCH better, going down almost everywhere. Big progress being made!”

May 25: “Great reviews on our handling of Covid 19, sometimes referred to as the China Virus. Ventilators, Testing, Medical Supply Distribution, we made a lot of Governors look very good – And got no credit for so doing. Most importantly, we helped a lot of great people!”

July 20: “We are United in our effort to defeat the Invisible China Virus, and many people say that it is Patriotic to wear a face mask when you can’t socially distance. There is nobody more Patriotic than me, your favorite President!”

July 21: “You will never hear this on the Fake News concerning the China Virus, but by comparison to most other countries, who are suffering greatly, we are
doing very well – and we have done things that few other countries could have done!”

- Aug. 3: “With the exception of New York & a few other locations, we’ve done MUCH better than most other Countries in dealing with the China Virus. Many of these countries are now having a major second wave. The Fake News is working overtime to make the USA (& me) look as bad as possible!”

His use of Twitter during COVID-19 followed the style he had employed for every other aspect of his campaign and presidency, which made no attempt to take responsibility for bad news while celebrating himself and his accomplishments. He politicized COVID-19, ensuring that the political party of an individual was the biggest predictor of mask wearing. He pitted his supporters against lawmakers who were trying to enact safety measures in their communities, encouraging them to “LIBERATE” their states. He spread treatment conspiracy theories. The National Center for Disease Preparedness at Columbia estimated that over 100,000 lives could have been saved with quicker policy interventions and government cooperation.

Trump also promoted dubious cures. From the beginning of March to the end of April, he tweeted 11 times about treatment theories that had not yet been approved by the

---


FDA. These tweets received 300% more interactions than his usual average. The unproven theories were also given airtime on conservative news networks such as Fox News. As a result, purchases of these medications increased, with hydrochloroquine’s sales increasing by 200% on Amazon in the following months. These purchases may have even caused a shortage of the drug for those who needed it, including lupus patients. Many were left with a limited supply in March and April and were forced to split their doses or stop taking it altogether.

On March 21, 2020, a man died from attempting to self medicate with chloroquine (different than hydroxychloroquine but the timing was not coincidental) after testing positive for COVID-19. That weekend, Trump tweeted that the drug had “a real chance to be one of the biggest game changers in the history of medicine.” The FDA rushed an approval of the drug in early March but later walked back their claims, stating that it “showed no benefit for decreasing the likelihood of death or speeding recovery.”

---
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Trump’s messaging also prompted the creators of Lysol to issue a statement discouraging consumption after he questioned the effectiveness of injecting the cleaning product into infected patients.118

The Trump administration contributed to actual American deaths. His health policies, or lack thereof, caused the United States to suffer disproportionately compared to other G7 countries such as Canada and the European Union.119 He made fun of Joe Biden at a presidential debate for the size of his mask, effectively equating wearing a mask to weakness. He encouraged his supporters to protest science-based restrictions in their states. He blamed China while the country saw an influx of anti-Asian American sentiment. He did not fulfill the normal role of a leader during a crisis. Instead of being a calm, comforting figurehead, he placed himself and his partisanship at the center of the pandemic, politicizing it in a way no other developed country experienced. It came with real consequences, as America’s reputation on the global stage reached a record low.120

2.5 Black Lives Matter Protests

“These THUGS are dishonoring the memory of George Floyd, and I won’t let that happen...when the looting starts, the shooting starts.” - @RealDonaldTrump, May 29, 2020.

In 1991, in the midst of George Bush’s presidency, a video showing police officers beating Rodney King made its way through major news outlets. At the time, President Bush called the brutality “outrageous,” claiming that “law enforcement officials cannot place themselves above the law that they are sworn to defend.” After the officers were acquitted, riots spread throughout Los Angeles. Bush called it the “brutality of a mob,” deeming the protestors un-American and attempting to spread a message of unity. Almost a decade later, Bill Clinton faced a series of similar situations. In response to a publicized acquittal of officers who shot 23-year-old Amadou Diallo, Clinton acknowledged that “most people in America of all races believe that if it had been a young white man in an all-white neighborhood, it probably wouldn’t have happened.”

Technological innovation coincided with Barack Obama’s presidential tenure, introducing the ability to record videos on a phone. In 2014, bystanders caught Eric Garner’s death on camera and shared it far and wide, spurring a movement to protest racial profiling and misconduct by law enforcement. One month later, an officer shot Michael Brown. On Garner, Obama called for unity while addressing racial tensions: “It is incumbent upon all of us, as Americans, regardless of race, region, faith, that we recognize this is an American problem, and not just a black problem or a brown problem

or a Native American problem. This is an American problem.”  

On Brown, he prompted police departments to reflect the communities they serve, to increase training, and to work with their communities to reduce crime. In July 2016, in response to two more police killings, he took to Facebook first to express his disdain for the events and call out racial disparities by name. Later that day he released a statement, concluding by saying:

“To be concerned about these issues is not political correctness. It’s just being an American, and wanting to live up to our best and highest ideals. And it’s to recognize the reality that we’ve got some tough history and we haven’t gotten through all of that history yet. And we don’t expect that in my lifetime, maybe not in my children’s lifetime, that all the vestiges of that past will have been cured, will have been solved, but we can do better. People of goodwill can do better.”

---


Donald Trump declined to comment on a number of police killings in the beginning of his presidency after painting himself as the “pro-police” candidate and receiving overwhelming support from law enforcement during his 2016 campaign. But after millions mobilized to protest the death of George Floyd in May, he shared his thoughts on Twitter. He initially tweeting his condolences at the “very sad and tragic death in Minnesota of George Floyd,” and appeared at a press conference alongside Attorney General William Barr to express that “that was a very, very bad thing that I saw. I saw it last night and I didn’t like it.” The next day, as protesters took to the streets, Trump took to Twitter to threaten “the very weak Radical Left Mayor, Jacob Frey” of Minneapolis with the National Guard, call the protesters “THUGS,” and famously claim “when the looting starts, the shooting starts.” This phrase carried historical weight — after Miami police Chief Walter Headley, well-known for his previous statements against African Americans, said the same thing during a hearing on crime in 1967, it was thought to have intensified the race riots.

Trump claimed he was unaware of the history, tweeting on May 29th:

“Looting leads to shooting, and that's why a man was shot and killed in Minneapolis on Wednesday night - or look at what just happened in Louisville

---
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with 7 people shot. I don't want this to happen, and that's what the expression put out last night means. It was spoken as a fact, not as a statement. It's very simple, nobody should have any problem with this other than the haters, and those looking to cause trouble on social media. Honor the memory of George Floyd!”

As big cities saw a spike in violent crime, Trump capitalized on the unrest by associating all protestors with violent uprising. His message of punishment and division coupled with the assertion that his words were not a “statement” but “fact” and that those that disagreed were “haters” played into the rhetorical claims of his entire presidency.

Former Presidents Barack Obama and George W. Bush released their own statements. While condemning violence and looting, Bush made a call for unity, writing “America’s greatest challenge has long been to unite people of very different backgrounds into a single nation of justice and opportunity. The doctrine and habits of racial superiority, which once nearly split our country, still threaten our Union.” Obama said that “the waves of protests across the country represent a genuine and legitimate frustration over a decades-long failure to reform police practices and the broader criminal justice system in the United States. The overwhelming majority of participants have been peaceful, courageous, responsible, and inspiring.” Both men drew upon a collective unity, encouraged cooperation and understanding, and pointed to the legitimate anger,


hurt, and frustration of the Black community. Contrasted with these messages that appealed to police and activists alike were Trump’s flurry of Twitter threats that earned him a reprimand from Twitter.

This would not be the first time Trump glorified violence to his followers — in all four years of his presidency, his supporters have used his words as justification for racially charged acts of violence. In 2015, two brothers in Boston beat a homeless man and said in custody that “Trump was right. All of these illegals need to be deported.”135 In 2016, a white man threatened his Black neighbors with a knife and told the police that “Donald Trump will fix them.”136 Later that year, a man threatened to burn down his Muslim neighbors’ house after Trump touted the Muslim ban and the man became concerned.137 In 2019, a mass shooter killed 23 people while following an anti-immigrant manifesto that closely resembled Trump’s own comments against immigrants.138 And in the midst of a call for racial justice, Trump defended Kyle Rittenhouse who shot three people at a Black Lives Matter protest.139

Trump followed a clear pattern of failing to initially condemn during his campaign and later in his presidency, and then eventually walking his claims back. In response to the Boston brothers, Trump said “I will say, the people that are following me are very passionate. They love this country. They want this country to be great again. But
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they are very passionate. I will say that.” He later clarified over Twitter that “Boston incident is terrible. We need energy and passion, but we must treat each other with respect. I would never condone violence.” But this did not stop him from repeatedly encouraging or celebrating violence during his campaign rallies. Below is a timeline of statements he made while campaigning in 2015 and 2016:

- August 11, 2015 (in response to Black Lives Matter protesters taking the stage at a Bernie Sanders rally): “That will never happen with me. I don’t know if I’ll do the fighting myself or if other people will.”

- October 23, 2015 (in response to immigration protesters): “See, the first group, I was nice. ‘Oh, take your time.’ The second group, I was pretty nice. The third group, I’ll be a little more violent.”

- November 22, 2015 (to Fox News, in response to the previous day’s violence): “Maybe he should have been roughed up, because it was absolutely disgusting what he was doing.”

[In December 2015, the Trump campaign attempted to discourage this kind of violence with a pre-recorded message played at the beginning of rallies encouraging attendees to “not touch or harm the protester.” Attendees often laughed the warning off.]

---
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• January 23, 2016: “I could stand in the middle of Fifth Avenue and shoot somebody and I wouldn’t lose voters.”\textsuperscript{144}

• February 1, 2016: “If you see somebody getting ready to throw a tomato, knock the crap out of them, would you? Seriously. Just knock the hell out of them. I promise you, I will pay for the legal fees. I promise. There won’t be so much of them because the courts agree with us.”\textsuperscript{145}

• February 23, 2016 (in response to a protester): “I’d like to punch him in the face...we’re not allowed to punch back anymore. I love the old days. You know what they used to do to guys like that when they were in a place like this? They’d be carried out on a stretcher, folks.”\textsuperscript{146}

• February 23, 2016 (at the same rally): “They said to me, ‘What do you think of waterboarding?’ I said I think it’s great, but we don’t go far enough. It’s true. We don’t go far enough. We don’t go far enough.”

• February 27, 2016: “You see, in the good old days, law enforcement acted a lot quicker than this. A lot quicker. In the good old days, they’d rip him out of that seat so fast — but today, everybody’s politically correct.”\textsuperscript{147}

• March 11, 2016 (in response to one of his supporters punching a protestors): “I thought it was very, very appropriate...that’s what we need a little bit more of.”\textsuperscript{148}
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Counties where Trump held rallies from 2015-2016 saw a 226% increase in incidents motivated by hate, proving that Trump’s pro-white, inflammatory rhetoric led to very real consequences.\(^{149}\) Organizations classified as hate groups also continued to rise since 2016.\(^{150}\) This trend of encouraging violence continued into his presidency, as he encouraged the police and his supporters to “deal” with those who opposed him. His lukewarm attempts to provide sympathy for George Floyd paled in comparison to the ways he encouraged and continued to radicalize his base. With a few phrases, he could classify anyone as an enemy. Though his words often started with a tweet, the actions taken by some of his supporters were very real.

2.6 Attempted Kidnapping

“I love Michigan, one of the reasons we are doing such a GREAT job for them during this horrible Pandemic. Yet your Governor, Gretchen “Half” Whitmer is way in over her head, she doesn’t have a clue. Likes blaming everyone for her own ineptitude! #MAGA”

- @RealDonaldTrump, March 27, 2020

In mid-April, in response to strict shutdowns in Democratic-led states such as Virginia and Michigan, he tweeted calls to “LIBERATE MICHIGAN!” and “LIBERATE VIRGINIA, and save your great 2nd Amendment. It is under siege!” while critiquing


\(^{150}\)Branton, “Analysis | Counties That Hosted a 2016 Trump Rally Saw a 226 Percent Increase in Hate Crimes.”
each state’s governor. In late April, armed demonstrators entered the Michigan statehouse to protest the extended stay-at-home orders. In October, the FBI discovered a plot to overthrow the government and kidnap Michigan Governor Gretchen Whitmer, which they directly traced to the attempted kidnappers’ discontent with the coronavirus restrictions. The kidnappers allegedly discussed the need to “murder tyrants” and “take” sitting governors during the pandemic.

After Trump tweets an attack, threats and toxicity levels from the general public increase. In the case of Governor Whitmer specifically, threatening tweets increased in quantity twice directly after Trump released a Twitter thread that claimed she had done a terrible job and failed to thank him for foiling her kidnapping plot. The attacks also focused on her gender after Trump called her “that woman from Michigan.” She was the only female governor who instituted strict lockdown measures and the one most frequently singled out by Trump and his supporters. The kidnappers used gender-based slurs while talking about her and classified the mask requirements as unmanly. The Anti-Defamation League released a report showing that misogyny often connects many white supremacists who possess a “deep-seated loathing of women.”
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on the only female governor combined with his encouragement of his followers to rally against lockdown measures clearly resulted in the kidnapping plot.

2.7: Election day week

“We are up BIG, but they are trying to STEAL the Election. We will never let them do it.

Votes cannot be cast after the Polls are closed!”

- @RealDonaldTrump, November 4, 2020

In the weeks leading up to the election, prominent social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook took measures to limit the spread of disinformation. By November 12, 2020, Twitter had labeled over 300,000 tweets for content that was disputed and/or misleading.\(^{158}\) Trump took issue with their labels, tweeting “Twitter is going wild with their flags, trying hard to suppress even the truth. Just shows how dangerous they are, purposely stifling free speech. Very dangerous for our Country. Does Congress know that this is how Communism starts? Cancel Culture at its worst.”\(^{159}\)

Trump had sowed the seeds of misinformation well before that, preemptively claiming voter fraud as millions of Americans prepared to cast their ballots in 2016. When asked during the third presidential debate if he would accept the results of a Clinton victory, he stated unequivocally, “I will keep you in suspense.”\(^{160}\) After losing the
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popular vote, he tweeted: “In addition to winning the Electoral College in a landslide, I won the popular vote if you deduct the millions of people who voted illegally” and “Serious voter fraud in Virginia, New Hampshire and California - so why isn't the media reporting on this? Serious bias - big problem!”\(^{161}\) During the 2018 midterms, he tweeted “Law Enforcement has been strongly notified to watch closely for any ILLEGAL VOTING which may take place in Tuesday’s Election (or Early Voting).”\(^{162}\) As COVID-19 precautions increased before November 3rd leading to unprecedented rates of early voting, Trump took to Twitter to warn his supporters. With Democrats largely voting early and Republicans voting in person, Trump found a new justification for charges of fraud — in many states, particularly those that begin counting early votes after the polls closed on election day, his lead quickly and decisively slipped away when early vote totals were added. Between November 3rd and December 16th, he tweeted 729 times — 70% about the election, and only 2% about the Covid-19 pandemic that had taken over 300,000 lives.\(^{163}\) Most of his tweets falsely claimed that he won the election, that there were “massive dumps of votes” in the middle of the night, that votes were counted in foreign countries, that dead people were voting, and that his campaign’s poll watchers were banned from watching the vote count.\(^{164}\)


\(^{162}\)Donald Trump (@realDonaldTrump), tweet, November 5, 2018.


In the weeks after his electoral college defeat, Trump began to turn on his former allies. As he divided the country into friends and enemies, he quickly attacked Republicans who spoke out against him or acknowledged Joe Biden’s win. Reverting back to insults, he called Governor Brian Kemp a “disaster,” Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan a “puppet,” Fox News “unwatchable,” Senator John Thune a “RINO” (Republican in Name Only), among many others. In the meantime, he celebrated Sens. Ted Cruz and Josh Hawley for leading the charge to examine the results. On January 4th, as members of Congress prepared to certify the election results, Trump tweeted: “The ‘Surrender Caucus’ within the Republican Party will go down in infamy as weak and ineffective ‘guardians’ of our Nation, who were willing to accept the certification of fraudulent presidential numbers!” His claims of fraud galvanized thousands of his supporters to fly to Washington, D.C. to “stop the steal.”

2.8 Conclusion

Donald Trump frequently used his Twitter to weaponize his supporters against a group who opposed him. While violent responses to his rhetoric have always existed, as evidenced by the increase in crimes motivated by hate after his 2015 and 2016 rallies, the final year of his presidency pushed this unrest into the spotlight. By painting Democrats and the liberal ideology as “snowflakes,” weak, and not worthy of conversation, he set up an environment for his supporters to take action. Trump’s twitter strategy did not change in the lead up to November 3rd — he continued to focus squarely on energizing his base.
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instead of promoting unity. His State of the Union was the closest outward messaging he communicated to the kind of tone expected from an incumbent president running for reelection, but it was marred by his subsequent Twitter attacks on Nancy Pelosi and impeachment.

As tensions rose during the Covid-19 crisis, Black Lives Matter protests, and 2020 presidential election, Trump’s antagonistic tweets translated into concrete consequences. His misrepresentation of Covid-19 as the “Chinese Virus” led to an increase in anti-asian sentiment, his tweets to “liberate” states with Democratic governors coincided with a kidnapping plan, and his demonizing of everyone who opposed his impeachment highlighted his true loyalties to himself over any one political party. This set up a dangerous precedent, as his supporters remained loyal to him as an idol-like leadership figure. With the sheer reach of his social media, he created a tangible threat to the United States government.
Chapter 3: The Beginning of the End

3.1 Introduction

Since his campaign for the presidency began, Trump has promoted conspiracies and failed to condemn violence. When Trump made a pointed attack against someone, online harassment to that person increased drastically.\textsuperscript{167} His supporters took his verbal attacks as a cue that they should follow his lead. With Twitter, he was able to reach millions at a time, with his message amplified in mainstream and far-right news outlets and social media platforms. When his supporters became violent, he repeatedly failed to condemn their actions, instead labeling them as “passionate.” After years of concentrating on a mantra of friends versus enemies and attacking anyone who disagreed with him, his most die-hard supporters did not have true allegiance to any political party, and were more than ready to take action against his detractors.

Other Republican politicians and public figures legitimized his efforts by challenging the election results, appearing at rallies, and tweeting out support of the cause. They garnered hundreds of thousands of responses online, and their message spread throughout mainstream and far-right platforms. On January 6th, thousands of Trump supporters took to D.C. to challenge the election. They engaged in a violent march, broke into the Capitol, and called for the death of prominent politicians such as

Mike Pence and Nancy Pelosi. Trump encouraged the march, remained silent during the violence, and finally issued a half-hearted call for supporters to go home (while also asserting his personal affection for all of them). Then, every prominent social media platform banned him from their services. Trump was impeached for a second time for his actions, although in his post-Twitter reality he could do little to comment on the affair.

3.2 Stop the Steal

“If we have corrupt elections, we have no country!”
-@RealDonaldTrump, December 26th, 2020

In early April 2020, Trump stepped up his tweets about voter fraud. He claimed that the United States must “GET RID OF BALLOT HARVESTING, IT IS RAMPANT WITH FRAUD. THE USA MUST HAVE VOTER I.D., THE ONLY WAY TO GET AN HONEST COUNT!” He tweeted 70 more times about the election being rigged or the potential for fraud to spread before November 3rd.\(^{168}\) He generally targeted mail-in voting, sowing the seeds of doubt that the election would be conducted fairly during the pandemic. While there was no evidence of widespread fraud in the 2020 election (with one US agency calling it the most secure election in history), Trump and his supporters propagated theories of thrown out ballots, dead people voting, faulty electronic voting
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systems, banned poll watchers, and even victory. In the week following the election, Trump tweeted 300 attacks on election integrity. He did attempt to take a legal route, but his many lawsuits were rejected by 86 different judges, including some that he had appointed. While he was allowed to request a recount in close states such as Wisconsin and Georgia, it did not shift the outcome. But outside of the institutional checks on the election, Trump used his online platform to encourage his supporters to take action as members of Congress were preparing for an official count of electoral votes.

To challenge the election, Trump called back to a 2016 saying deployed by Roger Stone: “Stop the Steal.” Stone created this phrase in preparation for a Republican primary nomination challenge and later a Hillary Clinton victory. Stop the Steal 2020 began in September, two months before the election. On September 7th, One America News correspondent Jack Posobiec tweeted “#StopTheSteal 2020 is coming…” (this tweet and all other mentions of Stop the Steal have since been deleted. On the same day, fringe conservative activist Ali Alexander broadcasted on Periscope that he planned to create a Trump supporter database to dispatch wherever their “physical presence is needed” to
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solidify a Stop the Steal infrastructure. At the same time, Donald Trump Facebook fan pages with millions of followers began to post messages with “Stop the Steal” in them. At press conferences, Trump again refused to state if he would accept the results of the election, saying “You know that I’ve been complaining very strongly about the ballots, and the ballots are a disaster.”

While Trump was actively undermining election integrity, his supporters cultivated a violent online presence encouraged by his statements. Both QAnon and the Proud Boys white supremacist group took his lukewarm condemnation and dismissal as endorsements of their groups, and gained followers. Members of the Oath Keepers, an anti-government far-right extremist group discussed the need to “Choose a side and fight, looking down the sights of a rifle at our fellow Americans” in a chatroom. Their leader told Alex Jones, a conservative radio host, that they planned to station themselves across the country at polling stations and “do what we have to do” to follow directives from President Donald Trump. Another group called “AMERICAN CIVIL WAR 2.0” claimed that “Leftists have already fired the first shots” and “when the Right eventually hits back, the bloodshed will be Biblical.” Around that time, Trump tweeted “I LOVE #StopTheSteal: Timeline of Social Media and Extremist Activities Leading to 1/6 Insurrection.”

TEXAS” along with a video of his supporters surrounding a Biden campaign bus that led Biden’s team to cancel an event in fear of public safety.\(^\text{180}\)

In preparation for the election, “Stop the Steal” spread through Twitter and into the mainstream media. A Facebook group entitled “Stop the Steal” gained 300,000 followers between November 4th and 5th, and while Facebook quickly took it down, it had generated almost half a million interactions through comments, shares, and reactions and dozens of new groups with the same goal had emerged.\(^\text{181}\) Many far-right commentators push the message on their own platforms, including newly-elected Republican Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene and American Conservative Union Chairman Matt Schlapp.\(^\text{182}\) Pro-Trump Facebook groups created petitions and the Stop the Steal website gathered contact information for future organizing while armed protests took place outside election departments.\(^\text{183}\)

Between September 1, 2020 and February 2, 2021, over 8,200 online news articles contained the words “Stop the Steal” or “#StopTheSteal.”\(^\text{184}\) Combined, they amassed over 70,000,000 engagements across platforms like YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, Pinterest, and Reddit.\(^\text{185}\) YouTube videos alone with “Stop the Steal” were viewed over 21,000,000 times.\(^\text{186}\) In the weeks following the election, encouraged by
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instructions to take action, Trump’s supporters began to organize for a Stop the Steal rally in Washington, D.C. Coinciding with the certification of Biden’s victory came the spread of the “Million MAGA March” with support from the Proud Boys and the Oath Keepers. Alex Jones’s show Infowars used Parler to promote multiple caravans travelling to D.C. from around the country, and the Oath Keepers prepared to station armed members around D.C. “in case they attempt to remove the president [Trump] illegally.”\(^{187}\) They asserted that they were ready for Trump to call them to action.\(^{188}\)

Donald Trump responded positively to the news of the march, tweeting “Wow! Thousands of people forming in Washington (D.C.) for Stop the Steal. Didn’t know about this, but I’ll be seeing them! #MAGA.”\(^{189}\) On the day of the march, Trump made an appearance in his motorcade. Later, the Proud Boys and various Trump supporters engaged in violence, including one stabbing, in downtown D.C. Instead of immediately condemning the violence, Trump turned against Antifa, tweeting: “Antifa SCUM ran for the hills today when they tried attacking the people at the Trump Rally, because those people aggressively fought back. Antifa waited until tonight, when 99% were gone, to attack innocent #MAGA People. DC Police, get going — do your job and don’t hold back!!!”\(^{190}\) In reality, the Department of Homeland security found that white extremists were the biggest lethal threat in America while Antifa did not even rank in the domestic threat assessment.\(^{191}\)

\(^{187}\)Johnson, “Militia Leader Stewart Rhodes Says That His Group Will Be at Polling Locations and Is Ready to Kill Democrats.”
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\(^{189}\)Donald Trump (@RealDonaldTrump), tweet, December 12, 2020
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This tweet exemplified the danger in Trump’s rhetoric, which comes when he gives any group a direct command: *stop* the steal, *don’t* hold back. As armed, radicalized groups such as the Oath Keepers already indicated that they were following Trump’s signals, statements such as this one create an immediate danger for violence. His tweets, picked up by news outlets, other political commentators, and his millions of followers, contained instructions: “Don’t hold back” against Antifa, the group Trump repeatedly equated with his detractors. “Stop the steal” by marching on state capitols and eventually in D.C. Many of the groups that appeared at Stop the Steal rallies had already been classified as dangerous domestic terrorist groups by the FBI. Online mentions of “Stop the Steal” peaked on November 5th during vote counting, again on November 14th during the first Million MAGA March, on November 21st when protestors marched in Georgia, on December 12th during the second Million MAGA March in D.C., and finally in the days immediately before the January 6th insurrection.\(^{192}\)

```

```

“Stop the steal” trends according to Google trends search. Two clear peaks from November 8-14 and Jan 3-9.

Trump was not the only one encouraging violence to a national audience. Many of his surrogates who appeared on conservative outlets such as Newsmax asserted that violence was the answer to the election result, including his campaign lawyer Joe
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diGenova who said that Chris Krebs, the former director of the Department of Homeland Security’s Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency that Trump fired over Twitter, “should be drawn and quartered. He should be taken out at dawn and shot” (diGenova later apologized).  

Lin Wood, a member of Trump’s legal team, wrote on Parler that “Our country is headed to civil war...President Trump must follow the precedent of Abraham Lincoln and declare martial law.” Former National Security Advisor Michael Flynn tweeted an ad that called on Trump to “suspend the Constitution” and declare martial law so the military could hold a new election. 

A Stop the Steal organizer tweeted that he was “willing to give [his] life for this fight” which the Arizona GOP retweeted, adding “He is. Are you?” Asserting that the election certification would be a battle and clearly rallying their Republican supporters, major players in the Republican party seemed to encourage preventing a Biden presidency by any means necessary.

The weeks of protests before the electoral count underscored the larger theme of Trump’s ideology: anyone who disagreed with him, regardless of party or constitutional precedent, was a traitor to the cause. He frequently invoked the idea of treason as a charge against his detractors, using it against Obama, Biden, James Comey, and “election fraud.” After refusing to back Trump’s claims of fraud in Georgia, Brian Kemp and other Republican state leaders were sent death threats. Protestors showed up at Georgia

---

193 #StopTheSteal: Timeline of Social Media and Extremist Activities Leading to 1/6 Insurrection.
194 Patriot Takes (@patriottakes), tweet, December 1, 2020 https://twitter.com/patriottakes/status/1333811302939037696?s=20
196 #StopTheSteal: Timeline of Social Media and Extremist Activities Leading to 1/6 Insurrection.
197 Sardarizadeh, “The 65 Days That Led to Chaos at the Capitol.”
Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson’s house, yelling that she was a villain and a threat to democracy and that the protestors were not going away. Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs received messages that she was being watched. Groypers, a far-right movement aimed at targeting Republicans who are too moderate, said at a D.C. rally that they “are going to destroy the GOP.” At the same rally, MyPillow CEO Mike Lindell said that “the Republicans out there that were cowards, now’s your chance. We’re not going anywhere and you better stand up.” Later, crowds from the protest took to the streets to vandalize churches, burn Black Lives Matter posters, and engage in brawls. Those targeted spoke out, asking Trump to denounce the violence. Gabriel Sterling, a Georgia election official, warned that “someone’s going to get hurt, someone’s going to get shot, someone’s going to get killed, and it’s not right.”

Leaders of far-right fringe groups who marched on D.C. now had space in the mainstream political discourse, and called on Trump to invoke a series of acts they believed would give him the power to redo the election and maintain the office of the presidency. Trump conducted a strategy meeting with public figures such as Michael Flynn and Sidney Powell, two lawyers who prepared voter fraud cases for President Trump and who QAnon viewers as their saviors, in mid December. In the meeting, Flynn called on Trump to impose martial law and redo the election. After that meeting, QAnon followers turned their focus to January 6th, which they believed would be the day Trump would order the arrest of the “deep state cabal” and send them all to Guantanamo Bay.
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military prison as a consequence for rigging the election. While QAnon had promoted a series of false claims before and during the Trump presidency, this one manifested itself into real organization as far-right groups began to create “patriot caravans” designed to transport supporters to D.C. on January 6th. OAN released a since-deleted video, stating that “supporters of President Trump are continuing to fight for four more years, storming the nation’s capital to participate in dueling rallies.” Lin Wood tweeted out a need to collect “2nd Amendment supplies” in preparation for a fight. The Three Percenters, an anti-government militia group, released a statement saying, “We stand ready and are standing by to answer the call from our President should the need arise that We The People are needed to take back our country from the pure evil that is conspiring to steal our country away from the American people. We are ready to enter into battle with General Flynn leading the charge. We will not act unless we are told to.” Meanwhile, Ron Watkins, former administrator for 8chan, tweeted at Trump to “Cross the Rubicon” as a comparison to Julius Caesar’s crossing of the Rubicon River to stage a coup and become the sovereign. Kelli Ward, the chair of the Arizona GOP, shares the hashtag #CrossTheRubicon, which was used over 30,000 times before January 6th.
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Trump engaged on December 19th, with a call for supporters to attend the “big protest in DC on January 6th” asserting that it “will be wild!” Woman for America First, a pro-Trump group with a large online following, created a website titled TrumpMarch.com and assured the president that the “calvary is coming!” (the typo of “calvary” instead of the word “cavalry” would become a point in the second impeachment trial, where Trump’s lawyers would argue that by retweeting this, Trump intentionally invoked religious imagery instead of calls for war). On December 21st, the Stop the Steal group sent an email to their supporters encouraging them to donate to cover January 6th expenses for “security, audio/video personal, signage, secure lodging, and other related expenses for an undertaking of this magnitude.” At the same time, newly-elected Rep. Madison Cawthorn told Turning Point Conference attendees to “call your congressman and feel free — you can lightly threaten them. Say: ‘If you don’t support election integrity, I’m coming after you. Madison Cawthorn’s coming after you. Everybody’s coming after you.’” Other far-right groups openly circulated instructions for joining the caravans, published maps of their routes, and shared videos that called on supporters to travel to D.C. on January 6th in protest. The website WildProtest.com collected registrations and listed speakers, including Reps. Mo Brooks, Marjorie Taylor Green, Lauren Boebert, and Paul Gosar.
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supporters to attend and assuring them that there would be “information to follow!”

Many groups organizing the protests took this as a green light, blasting out email with the subject line “TRUMP JUST TWEETED JAN 6TH EVENT! AGAIN!” and linking to WildProtest.com for a complete list of details. The email also included a map of the Capitol.

![Surround the Swamp](image)

There were no attempts to keep the January 6th protest a secret, as details were spread across all social media platforms and Trump himself tweeted repeatedly to encourage attendance. Rep. Gosar retweeted Trump’s “JANUARY SIXTH, SEE YOU IN DC!” tweet, adding that he was ready to “fight back against the leftists who’ve have engaged in sedition to run a Technology Coup.” Lawyer Lin Wood decided to tweet that Vice President Mike Pence “will be in jail awaiting trial for treason. He will face execution by firing squad.”
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“it’s time to fight back.”219 A post on Parler with instructions for rally attendees to send the group information on the location of “BLM and Antifa buses” so they can send the Proud Boys to “get them before they go out to the streets” received hundreds of thousands of views.220 Trump retweeted another graphic with January 6th protest information. Rep. Cawthorne tweeted that it’s “time to conquer our fears and retake our republic.” Sen. Ted Cruz, speaking at another Stop the Steal rally said that “we will defend liberty.” TheDonald.win, a pro-Trump messaging board, posted about storming congressional offices, stopping the steal, and executing the “stealers.”221 In the lead up to January 6th, 50 percent of their top posts contained calls for violence.222

The sheer amount of information available prior to January 6th highlights two things: the protestors were incited and encouraged by Donald Trump’s response to the election, and they were not hiding their intentions. Multiple groups with hundreds of thousands of followers clearly expressed violent intentions towards Trump’s detractors, which in the case of January 6th included sitting members of Congress as well as the Vice President. They were encouraged by Trump’s refusal to accept the election results and promotion of the January 6th rally, which became legitimized by the presence of elected officials. On January 5th, Trump tweeted and posted to Facebook what could only be considered a warning: “I hope the Democrats, and even more importantly, the weak and ineffective RINO section of the Republican Party, are looking at the thousands of people

pouring into D.C. They won’t stand for a landslide election victory to be stolen.”

He mentioned the Twitter handles of Sens. Mitch McConnell, John Cornyn, and John Thune in the tweet, giving supporters specific targets.

The volume of unrest felt online by Trump’s supporters was exacerbated by his repeated encouragement of the protests in the wake of the clear threats of violence. Police braced for January 6th after election officials, politicians, and staffers warned about the potential for confrontation at Trump’s urging. Protestors clearly viewed their march on D.C. as the beginning of a war they were prepared to fight on Trump’s behalf. While Trump did not actively promote calls for violence, he did nothing to discourage it even after members of both parties implored him to denounce violent groups. After four years of dividing the country into friends and enemies while sidestepping calls to reject white supremacy, it is not surprising that his supporters felt empowered to travel to D.C. and create civil unrest. At every stage of planning, Trump continued to tweet out his support to his millions of followers who were actively promoting violence on both mainstream and far-right online platforms. His tweets and Facebook posts calling his supporters to action clearly resulted in a very real, legitimate threat to American democracy.

3.3 Coup in the Capitol — will be wild!

“Big protest in D.C. on January 6th. Be there, will be wild!”

-@RealDonaldTrump, December 19th, 2020
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On January 6, 2020, Congress met to open and count the electoral votes. Sens. Marsha Blackburn, Mike Braun, Ted Cruz, Steve Daines, Ron Johnson, John Kennedy, and James Lankford, with Sens.-elect Bill Hagerty, Cynthia Lummis, Roger Marshall and Tommy Tuberville, announced that they would challenge the results for Arizona, Georgia, and Nevada, which would prompt two hours of debate per state. Early that morning, Donald Trump tweeted encouragement to Mike Pence to overturn the election: “States want to correct their votes, which they now know were based on irregularities and fraud, plus corrupt process never received legislative approval. All Mike Pence has to do is send them back to the States, AND WE WIN. Do it Mike, this is a time for extreme courage!”

The “Save America” rally, originally organized by supporters, boasted a list of speakers including members of Congress and Trump himself. Rep. Mo Brooks asked the crowd, “Are you willing to do what it takes to fight for America? Louder! Will you fight for America?” Rep. Paul Gosar tweeted, “Biden should concede. I want his concession on my desk tomorrow morning. Don’t make me come over there.” Rudy Giuliani asked for a “trial by combat” to figure out which side was right. At noon, Trump spoke to the crowd for an hour. He delivered an unequivocal call to action, saying, “it is up to Congress to confront this egregious assault on our democracy. And after this, we’re going to walk down, and I’ll be there with you, we’re going to walk down, we’re going to walk
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down. Anyone you want, but I think right here, we’re going to walk down to the Capitol, and we’re going to cheer on our brave senators and congressmen and women, and we’re probably not going to be cheering so much for some of them. Because you’ll never take back our country with weakness. You have to show strength and you have to be strong." He also encouraged them to “fight like hell.” Later, the mob did exactly that. They stormed the Capitol, with some calling for Mike Pence to be hanged and Nancy Pelosi to be shot.

Not very well hidden in Trump’s months of election conspiracy promotions was a more dangerous force at play — he repeatedly and successfully suggested that supporters take up arms against a cause. He had already used Twitter to encourage his supporters when threatening others. In response to a protest against Democrats in the State Capitol of Virginia, he sent: “The Democrat Party in the Great Commonwealth of Virginia are working hard to take away your 2nd Amendment rights.” His “LIBERATE [state]” tweets encouraged supporters to fight back against Covid-19 lockdowns and he celebrated a video of Texans surrounding a Biden campaign bus with their trucks, tweeting “I LOVE TEXAS” and “These patriots did nothing wrong,” in response to an FBI investigation.

His string of “stop the steal” tweets weaponized claims of election fraud and gave his supporters a tangible command: do whatever they could to stop Democrats from unfairly stealing the election. He started priming supporters months in advance with claims of potential widespread voter fraud due to the increase in mail-in voting during the pandemic, which gave him the ammunition needed to challenge the eventual results. By
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naming specific members he falsely claimed were in control of the outcome, he provided targets to his supporters, now energized by the idea of a stolen election.

As Mike Pence released a statement saying he would not intervene in Congress’s electoral count, Trump’s supporters, on his command, marched to the Capitol and began to overtake security. Although Trump reportedly knew about the threat against the Vice President and saw the mob had entered the building, he still tweeted the attack: 228 Later, protestors were heard shouting “Hang Mike Pence” and calling him a traitor.229

The mostly-maskless mob broke doors and shattered windows to enter, forcing members of Congress to evacuate the floor. At the same time, pipe bombs were found at the headquarters of the Democratic and Republican National Committees just blocks from the Capitol. The mob forced its way farther inside, confronting and at times violently attacking the outnumbered Capitol police. Ten minutes after his attack on Pence, Trump tweeted: “Please support our Capitol Police and Law Enforcement. They are truly on the side of our Country. Stay peaceful!” An hour later, a Trump supporter was shot while trying to enter the Speaker’s Lobby. Trump tweeted: “I am asking for everyone at the U.S. Capitol to remain peaceful. No violence! Remember, WE are the Party of Law & Order – respect the Law and our great men and women in Blue. Thank you!” White House Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany tweeted that the National Guard was on its way.
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The armed mob breached the entrance, searching for members of Congress and the Vice President, while Trump remained silent. While the mob entered official offices, stole computers and important documents, and threatened members of the press, similar protests appeared around the country. Armed Trump supporters gathered in front of the Arkansas and Oregon State Capitols. Arizona protesters cracked the windows of the Arizona Capitol, demanding that Republican Governor Doug Ducey come outside. Those protestors also set up a makeshift guillotine. In Washington state, the gates to Governor Jay Inslee’s home were overrun by Trump supporters as they chanted “stop the steal” outside his front door. The Georgia, Utah, and New Mexico State Capitols were all evacuated. In Los Angeles and Sacramento, conflict broke out between Trump supporters, counterprotestors, and the police. Protests also occurred in Michigan, Minnesota, Colorado, Texas, and Idaho.

Three hours later, as members of Congress hid in their offices and protestors trashed the Capitol, Trump released a video from the White House telling them to "go home,” while also saying “we love you. You're very special.” After the Capitol was secured, Trump tweeted “These are the things and events that happen when a sacred landslide election victory is so unceremoniously & viciously stripped away from great patriots who have been badly & unfairly treated for so long,” also adding “Go home with
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love & in peace. Remember this day forever!” An hour later, Facebook and Twitter removed his content and shut down his account for 12 hours. Twitter warned that continuous tweeting about election conspiracies could result in a permanent suspension.

The next day, Trump released a video calling for a “smooth, orderly, seamless transition of power.” He followed up with a tweet that he would not be attending Biden’s inauguration. Twitter proceeded to permanently suspend his account. When asked by a reporter if he took any responsibility for the insurrection, he issued one of his only public statements on the matter after being banned from his choice social media sites. Refusing to take responsibility, Trump said “if you read my speech, and many people have done it, it's been analyzed, and people thought that what I said was totally appropriate.”

3.4 Social Media Fights Back

“To all of those who have asked, I will not be going to the Inauguration on January 20th.”

- @RealDonaldTrump’s final tweet, January 8, 2021

---
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For almost the entirety of Trump’s presidency, mainstream social media platforms rebuffed calls for banning or censoring the president’s accounts. Although he frequently posted controversial or inaccurate statements, retweeted fringe conspiracy accounts, and attacked foreign and domestic political rivals, Twitter defended their decision to leave his tweets up even though they sometimes violated their terms of service. They claimed that it was in the “public interest” to allow elected and government officials to tweet unfiltered, and that the public deserved to know and be able to discuss statements and actions by their government.243 In some cases, they put a warning before the tweet to inform the viewer that it may violate a rule. In a 2017 statement, they said that they weighed the potential risk or harm with the public-interest value of the tweet. However, they asserted that they would likely remove a tweet that “includes a declarative call to action that could harm a specific individual or group.”244 While they took steps before the election to mitigate Trump’s claims of fraud, they failed to censor tweets that promoted violence sent by him, other Republicans, and his supporters. Twitter’s algorithms are not perfect, and they often miss almost half of all tweets that violate their norms.245

An internal Facebook report that leaked to Buzzfeed News detailed their failure to recognize the various “Stop the Steal” groups before January 6th. Facebook faced years of backlash for providing little to no regulation of Trump’s false claims, with CEO Mark
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Zuckerberg telling Fox News that “I just believe strongly that Facebook shouldn’t be the arbiter of truth of everything that people say online.”246 Their report highlighted the growing levels of hate present in these groups, how quickly their reach increased in the weeks prior to the insurrection, and how direct coordination between the groups could be observed by Facebook administrators.247 Facebook’s self-described pitfalls included:

- Failing to notice the potential harm in the broader network because of the focus on individual policy violations.
- Lacking existing tools or protocols for handling growing movements.
- Lacking policy around a movement that is coordinated through grassroots means but is also inherently harmful.

After news of the report leaked, Facebook revoked viewing access from some of its employees. Their awareness and complacency before and during the insurrection shown in this report contradict the statements made by Mark Zuckerberg at a hearing in front of the House of Representatives in March 2021 where he said Facebook “made our services inhospitable to those who might do harm.”248

After January 6th, Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, YouTube, Reddit, and Twitch banned all Trump-affiliated accounts and pages. Amazon Web Services and

LiquidWeb kicked far-right platforms such as Parler and Oath Keepers from their servers, Google and Apple removed Parler from their app stores, and Shopify banned all Trump merchandise.\textsuperscript{249} All social media platforms were slightly buffered in their decision to ban the former president because he would soon be a private citizen, no longer a person of specific interest to the American people. However, their decisions did not come without repercussions. After Twitter banned Trump and his affiliates for the potential to incite additional violence, their stock dropped by 6 percent.\textsuperscript{250} Both Twitter and Facebook employees received security and death threats, and all were told to brace for potential protests outside their headquarters.\textsuperscript{251} Parler sued Amazon for acting unlawfully, and Amazon shares fell slightly in the wake of the lawsuit.\textsuperscript{252} Specifically, Parler’s lawsuit stated that shutting down Parler’s account, “will kill Parler’s business — at the very time it is set to skyrocket.”\textsuperscript{253} Beyond banning key players in the Capitol riot, Twitter also purged over 70,000 ordinary accounts affiliated with QAnon, which caused high-profile conservatives to lose large amounts of followers.\textsuperscript{254} It also signaled a growing commitment to regulate hate and violent speech on their platform, effectively issuing a warning to all users who had not yet been banned that they must ensure their content does not violate any norms.
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There are consequences for shifting users off of mainstream social media platforms. In the wake of Trump’s bans, applications that continued to host far-right users saw a spike in downloads. Messaging apps like Telegram and Signal jumped on the list of most downloaded apps from 110th to fifth and 750th to first, respectively.\textsuperscript{255} MeWe, a far-right social platform that previously did not even crack the top 1000 list, rose to twelfth.\textsuperscript{256} Monitoring less-centralized platforms is difficult, and there is little to no information on who has jurisdiction over them. The same conversations that occurred in public-facing channels like Twitter shifted to secure, encrypted servers, making it much more difficult for law enforcement to track potential violence.\textsuperscript{257} While mainstream applications such as Telegram and Signal both have terms of service that prohibit illegal activity to take place on their platforms, it is unclear how effective their policing will be.

3.5 Impeachment (part 2)

[silence]

On January 11th, members of the House of Representatives introduced an article of impeachment against Donald Trump for his role in encouraging the insurrectionists. On the 12th, the House passed a resolution calling on Mike Pence to invoke the 25th
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amendment to strip Trump of his presidential powers, but Pence refused. On January 13th, the House passed the article of impeachment, and Trump became the only president to be impeached twice. After Biden’s inauguration, the article of impeachment went to the Senate, where all but six Republican senators attempted to dismiss the trial as unconstitutional because Trump had left office. Their motion failed, and after three days of oral arguments and senator questions, the Senate once again acquitted Donald Trump.

There were a few key differences between Trump’s first and second impeachments. During the first one he provided a constant running commentary on Twitter, he had been banned from the platform and unable to share his thoughts or conspiracies. Second, both sides used social media as evidence. Unlike other impeachment trials, there were no whistleblowers detailing events that occurred out of the public eye. The lead-up to the insurrection and the other events of January 6th took place in front of all Americans. Many of the protestors used their phones to document the day on various social media platforms, which only added to the case against the president.

During the presentation of evidence, the House impeachment managers showcased the string of threats and calls to action Trump tweeted in the weeks before the insurrection as evidence that he incited the violence that followed. As he spoke to his supporters during the rally, mentions of a civil war intensified by four times on far-right social media platforms such as Parler.258 Deputy Director of the NYU Stern Center for

---

Business and Human Rights, Paul Barrett, who studies social media, told USA Today that “In those crucial moments, it appears that for many Parler users – including some who marched to the Capitol and participated in the rampage – vague hostility hardened into a call for violent action. Trump helped transform an angry protest into a mob whose insurrection left five people dead.” While Trump never specifically mentioned the term “civil war” in his speech, he called the crowd “American patriots,” said that the House Republicans expected to vote against the Electoral College certification were “warriors” and spoke on the need to “fight” for America.

House managers also drew a clear line between Trump’s specific mention of Mike Pence and the threats that followed. He tweeted three times about Mike Pence on January 6th:

- If Vice President @Mike_Pence comes through for us, we will win the Presidency. Many States want to decertify the mistake they made in certifying incorrect & even fraudulent numbers in a process NOT approved by their State Legislatures (which it must be). Mike can send it back! (1:00 AM ET)
- States want to correct their votes, which they now know were based on irregularities and fraud, plus corrupt process never received legislative approval. All Mike Pence has to do is send them back to the States, AND WE WIN. Do it Mike, this is a time for extreme courage! (8:17 AM ET)
- Mike Pence didn’t have the courage to do what should have been done to protect our Country and our Constitution, giving States a chance to certify

---
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a corrected set of facts, not the fraudulent or inaccurate ones which they were asked to previously certify. USA demands the truth! (2:24 PM ET)

Rep. Joaquin Castro showed clips of the mob searching for Pence, with many chanting that he deserved to be hanged. They also built a model gallows, used for hanging prisoners, outside the Capitol. Trump’s assertion that Mike Pence was in control of the electoral result was by no means the first falsehood he had peddled, but by ignoring the fact that this request was effectively impossible, he put his Vice President directly at risk. Rep. David Cicilline said, “The undisputed facts confirm that not only must President Trump have been aware of the vice president's danger, but he still sent out a tweet attacking him, further inciting the very mob that was in just a few feet of him inside of this very building.” After establishing that the rioters’ primary loyalty was to Trump over the truth or any political party, anyone publicly called out by the president was in immediate danger.

Since Trump had so intently focused on traitorous “RINOs” whenever a Republican official acted contrary to what he wanted, the rioters targeted high profile Republicans that would not challenge the election results. In addition to calling for the hanging of Mike Pence, they searched for Sens. McConnell and Romney who, in their eyes, had betrayed the president and the American people. The day before the riot, Trump supporters on their way to D.C. heckled Sen. Romney in the Salt Lake City airport,

calling him a traitor and demanding his resignation.\textsuperscript{262} As the sole Republican to vote to convict Trump on an article of impeachment in 2019, Trump supporters viewed Sen. Romney as one of the worst. While his policies and voting often aligned with Trump, he vocally criticized the president, did not support him in 2020, and called efforts to overturn the election an “egregious ploy” that “dangerously threatens our Democratic Republic.”\textsuperscript{263} That, coupled with Trump’s repeated attacks, was enough for supporters who listened to the president’s rhetoric over his political ideology to demonize Sen. Romney. The impeachment managers showed a video from the day of the riot where Capitol police escorted Romney away from the direction of the mob. Had they came into contact with him, they likely would have severely harmed or even killed him.

While the Senate did not reach the two thirds majority it needed to convict Donald Trump and issue a follow up vote to bar him from holding future office, it did receive seven Republican votes and ended as the most bipartisan impeachment in history. A majority of senators asserted on the record that Trump did something wrong, and even some that did not vote to convict him voiced their concern over his actions. Most sidestepped the question of wrongdoing to claim the trial was unconstitutional, refusing to evaluate the facts. With the close of his second impeachment, Democrats formed a 9/11-style commission to gather accounts of the day, and the FBI continued to round up rioters. Ironically, while social media played a key role in the organization of the protest, it also brought the insurrectionists down. Many had used Facebook, Twitter, Parler, and


even dating apps to boast about their visit to the Capitol, giving law enforcement all the evidence they needed to carry out over 300 arrests.\textsuperscript{264}

### 3.6 Conclusion

No one expected Donald Trump to accept electoral defeat graciously. For years, he had painted America and its allies in terms of those who agreed with him and those that did not. He had no allegiance to any specific political party, and had no qualms attacking Republicans when they spoke up, however half-heartedly, against him or his policies. From the moment he lost the popular vote in 2016, he began to spread lies about election results. Come 2020, he spent months sowing the groundwork, online and at rallies, for an eventual electoral challenge. His supporters promoted his message through social media, and his hundreds of tweets were not only seen by his millions of followers but those who watched cable television and read newspapers. With the history of violence demonstrated by his supporters during his 2016 campaign and presidency coupled with his abrasive and divisive rhetoric, the events of January 6th were almost inevitable. In addition, Donald Trump’s role in inciting the insurrection is undeniable, as evidenced by his string of tweets and speeches encouraging the events.

Donald Trump’s supporters took their cues from his messaging. Throughout the final year of his presidency, he repeatedly demonized those who opposed him while offering tepid condemnations of his most extreme supporters, such as the white

supremacist Proud Boys, which they took as approval of their mission. In a break from re-election precedent, he focused not on unifying the country but instead on laying the groundwork for an electoral challenge in November. As his supporters clung to the idea of bad-faith Democrats rigging the election against the president, they engaged in protests and intimidation tactics. After he lost, they took to the internet to follow Trump’s orders to stop the steal and fight for their country. Massive amounts of online organizing took place largely unregulated on mainstream platforms like Twitter and Facebook, as well as far-right applications like Parler. The potential protests became legitimized by the presence of Trump and other Republican members of Congress. Trump tweeted nothing but support to those wishing to organize, as well as those protesting at state capitals, and his supporters did not receive any kind of warning against violence until hours into the insurrection. Even after he was banned from Twitter, they still attempted to parse messages out of his speeches, searching for further instructions during the Biden presidency.265

Social media allowed Trump’s messages to spread far and wide. Not only did it provide a hub for his supporters to organize the January 6th riot, but it also contributed to the radicalization of Americans. Sites such as YouTube and Facebook use algorithms to show users content related to their interests.266 Facebook’s investigation in 2018 showed

its recommendation engine caused division and polarization among users, with 64 percent of people who joined extremist groups doing so only after the group was recommended to them by the Facebook algorithm.\(^{267}\) Its internal investigation after the insurrection proved that there was coordination and organization on its platform that their content administrators failed to catch.\(^{268}\) Social media users overwhelmingly interact with others of their same ideology online, further fanning the partisan divide. Without the filter that traditional news media had for fact-checking stories released to the public, fake news can spread unregulated. Small, concentrated attempts can make a small difference, such as Twitter flagging premature content about election results, but these are few and far between.

Trump is no longer on Twitter, but that does not mean the danger of his presidency is over. As the country has become more polarized, with partisan tensions at an all-time high, the potential for violence remains. An internal study conducted by the House Appropriations Committee found that “In the first four months of 2021, there has been a 64.62 percent increase in threats to Members compared to the same period in 2020. And from 2017 to 2020, there has been a 118.66 percent increase in total threats and directions of interests, with the overwhelming majority of suspects residing outside of the [National Capital Region].”\(^{269}\) The Justice Department found that Trump’s perpetuation of the lie that the election was stolen from him could continue to incite


violence among his followers.\textsuperscript{270} Seventy-six percent of Republicans still believe there was “widespread fraud” in the 2020 election.\textsuperscript{271} Seventy-five percent of Trump voters do not consider Biden the legitimate winner.\textsuperscript{272} Many far-right news outlets, such as Newsmax and One America News, still air supportive pieces on these false claims. Consequently, both news outlets have risen in ranks for the most-trusted networks among conservatives while Fox News has fallen almost 20\%.\textsuperscript{273}

Polarization is on the rise, with certain measures of hatred against the opposing political party surpassing animosity felt between different races or religions.\textsuperscript{274} There are discriminatory attitudes against hiring a member of the opposing party for a job, as well as having their child marry someone with opposing political views.\textsuperscript{275} Over 50\% of Republicans and over 40\% of Democrats view the opposing party as their enemy, but while ideological polarization increases, it is the non-policy oriented debates that could cause the most harm.\textsuperscript{276}

One of the dangers of Trump and Trumpism as a whole was the inclination of his supporters to view him as an idol-like figure, not bound by any policy positions or
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ideological consistency. Through his divisive “us versus them” rhetoric, perpetuated on a platform like Twitter that only allows for condensed messaging, he created an atmosphere where supporters almost worshipped the president for his way of being over his political promises. One of the insurrectionists charged for the riot had posted images of Trump with the phrase “my idol” under it.277 This meant that regardless of the content or actions as the leader of the country, he had his followers’ undying support. Through Twitter, he could praise those who subscribed to his beliefs and demonize all who opposed him, which was picked up and spread by his die-hard fans. While Trump has since left the platform, this new attitude has not. Instead of the previous political disputes over issues such as abortion and gun control, media sites have perpetuated conflicts surrounding pop-culture issues like Dr. Seuss, because outrage over “cancel culture” excites the base in a way policy-oriented debate does not. In March, Republicans had heard more about Dr. Seuss than the Covid-19 relief package.278

After receiving a record number of votes by any Republican presidential candidate in 2020, Trump is still a major player in the Republican Party. Most Republicans would support his nomination in 2024 over any other candidate.279 His endorsement also carries weight. With no allegiance to the established Republican party, he will support any candidate that subscribes to his ideals, incumbent or not. This means

his rhetoric style has grown stronger, with new Republican members such as Marjorie Taylor-Greene and Lauren Boebert openly promoting the same conspiracies and messaging tactics.

Trump’s social media ban still has an effect. As a private citizen, his messaging does not have nearly the same spread as before, as it is mainly concentrated in speeches at conservative events or written statements and does not have the kind of free-media pickup he enjoyed as a candidate or as president. He has floated the idea of creating his own social media platform, but it would have far less reach than a mainstream site like Twitter. But while Trump is off of Twitter, the dangers he left remain. Social media lends itself to polarization based on the nature of its content, the algorithms, and the ability to spread a message throughout the country. January 6th was indisputable evidence of that. With tensions between parties at an all-time high, political discourse centered around inflammatory rhetoric receives more attention than policy content. With Trump supporters still poised to incite future violence, the role of social media cannot be overstated. Congressional Democrats are attempting to hold these companies accountable through hearings and policy recommendations, which is a necessity in the current political climate. The question of future danger is no longer a hypothetical — we saw the very real implications of allowing Trump-like discourse to flourish online. Now, it is up to legislatures, private companies, and Americans everywhere to ensure that misinformation is properly combatted, for the sake of our democracy.
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