

1-1-2010

Review: Nontangential Limits in $Pt(\mu)$ -spaces and the Index of Invariant Subgroups

Stephan Ramon Garcia
Pomona College

Recommended Citation

MR2480609 (2010b:47018) Aleman, A., Richter, S., Sundberg, C., Nontangential limits in $Pt(_)$ -spaces and the index of invariant subspaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 169 (2009), no. 2, 449–490. (Reviewer: Stephan R. Garcia)

This Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Pomona Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in Pomona Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

MR2480609 (2010b:47018) 47A15 (30C85 31A15 46E15 47B38)

Aleman, Alexandru (S-LUND); Richter, Stefan [Richter, Stefan¹] (1-TN); Sundberg, Carl (1-TN)

Nontangential limits in $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ -spaces and the index of invariant subspaces. (English summary)

Ann. of Math. (2) **169** (2009), no. 2, 449–490.

This deep and interesting article answers a number of fundamental questions about boundary behavior in $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ spaces and the relationship between the measure μ and the index of invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$. The introduction is well written and inviting and hence we freely paraphrase portions of it below.

In order to summarize the results of this article, a few preliminary definitions are required. First, let μ denote a finite positive measure on the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, let $1 \leq t < \infty$, and let $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ denote the closure of the analytic polynomials in $L^t(\mu)$. Multiplication by z is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ and is denoted by S . An invariant subspace of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ is a closed linear subspace \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ which satisfies $S\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

The two most familiar examples of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ spaces are those corresponding to $\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}m$ (normalized Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$) and $\mu = \frac{1}{\pi}A$ (normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{D}). These cases satisfy $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) > 0$ and $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 0$, respectively, and they illustrate the type of phenomenon that motivates the article. In particular, observe that:

- For $\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}m$, one obtains the classical Hardy spaces H^t . It is well known that each $f \in H^t$ has a nontangential limit $f^*(z)$ at m -almost every $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and that $f^* = f$ as elements on $L^t(\mu)$. Moreover, one has a strong uniqueness criterion, for $f^* = 0$ on a set of positive m -measure implies that $f = 0$. By Beurling's Theorem ($t = 2$) and its extension to other values of t , it follows that every nonzero invariant subspace of H^t has index 1.
- For $\mu = \frac{1}{\pi}A$ one obtains the Bergman spaces L_a^t . Functions in L_a^t need not have nontangential limits at any point of $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Furthermore, for any $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ there exist invariant subspaces of L_a^t having index n [C. Apostol et al., *J. Funct. Anal.* **63** (1985), no. 3, 369–404; [MR0808268 \(87i:47004a\)](#); J. Eschmeier, *Math. Ann.* **298** (1994), no. 1, 167–186; [MR1252824 \(94k:47010\)](#); H. Hedenmalm, S. Richter and K. Seip, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **477** (1996), 13–30; [MR1405310 \(97i:46044\)](#)].

In light of these results the authors are led to study the case where \mathbb{D} is the set of analytic bounded point evaluations for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ contains no nontrivial characteristic functions (i.e., $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ is irreducible). It is known in this case that the restriction of μ to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ must be of the form $h|dz|$.

If $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) > 0$, then one has a boundary function $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ for each $f \in \mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$. However, the precise relationship between $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ and the limiting behavior of the analytic function $f|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is not immediately clear. The following questions are suggested by the examples above:

1. Is $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ the boundary value function of f in some suitable sense?
2. Is $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = f^* \mu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ -almost everywhere?

3. Is f determined by $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$? In other words, does $f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = 0$ imply that $f = 0$?

4. Is the index of every nonzero invariant subspace equal to 1?

The first major theorem of this paper answers all four of these questions in the affirmative:

Theorem A. Suppose that μ is supported in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and is such that the set of analytic bounded point evaluations for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ is equal to \mathbb{D} and $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ is irreducible, and that $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) > 0$. Then:

(a) If $f \in \mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$, then the nontangential limit $f^*(z)$ of f exists for $\mu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ -almost all z , and $f^* = f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$ as elements of $L^t(\mu|_{\partial\mathbb{D}})$.

(b) Every nonzero invariant subspace of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ has index 1.

An important consequence of this work is an affirmative answer to a conjecture of J. B. Conway and L. M. Yang [in *Holomorphic spaces (Berkeley, CA, 1995)*, 201–209, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998; [MR1630651 \(99e:47027\)](#)]. In particular, the present authors show that for $1 < t < \infty$ one has $\dim \mathcal{M}/z\mathcal{M} = 1$ for every nonzero invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ if and only if $h \neq 0$.

On the other hand, away from the part of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ where μ has mass, the boundary behavior of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ functions can be wild. To be more specific, there is a natural notion of interpolating sequences for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ spaces and Theorem B of this article shows (under the hypotheses of Theorem A) that for $t \in (1, \infty)$ and $E \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ with $\mu(E) = 0$, there is an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ which clusters nontangentially at m -almost every point of E . In particular, this implies that there are functions in $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ which have nontangential limits at m -almost no points of E . In the case $\mu(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 0$ and $t \in (1, \infty)$, this proves the existence of an interpolating sequence for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ that clusters nontangentially at m -almost every point of $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The argument used to prove [A. Aleman, S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *J. Anal. Math.* **86** (2002), 139–182; [MR1894480 \(2003g:30058\)](#) (Proposition 7.3)] then yields invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$ of index greater than 1. Thus one has a new proof of the results of [C. Apostol et al., op. cit.] and [J. Eschmeier, op. cit.] on the index of invariant subspaces of the Bergman spaces L_a^t .

For bounded point evaluations λ for $\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)$, let e_λ denote the associated evaluation functional and let $M_\lambda = \|e_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{P}^t(\mu)^*}$. One of the key elements in proving Theorem A is obtaining the inequality

$$\limsup_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow z \\ \lambda \in \Gamma(z)}} (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{1/t} M_\lambda \leq \frac{C}{h(z)^{1/t}},$$

for m -almost all $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ where C is some constant. Remarkably, for $t > 1$ the authors are even able to prove the following asymptotic result:

Theorem C. Under the hypotheses of Theorem A, if $t > 1$, then

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow z \\ \lambda \in \Gamma(z)}} (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{1/t} M_\lambda = \frac{1}{h(z)^{1/t}}$$

for m -almost all $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

The proofs of these results utilize portions of J. E. Thomson's proof of the existence of bounded point evaluations [*Ann. of Math. (2)* **133** (1991), no. 3, 477–507; [MR1109351 \(93g:47026\)](#)] along with X. Tolsa's recent work on analytic capacity [*Acta Math.* **190** (2003), no. 1, 105–149; [MR1982794 \(2005c:30020\)](#)]. The methods used are similar to those developed independently by J. E. Brennan in his recent proof, based upon Tolsa's work, of Thomson's Theorem [Algebra

i Analiz **17** (2005), no. 2, 1–32; [MR2159582 \(2006m:41009\)](#)]. The authors are even able to refine Thomson's result somewhat. For a compactly supported measure ν in \mathbb{C} , they describe the locations of bounded point evaluations for $P^t(\mu)$ in terms of the Cauchy transform of an annihilating measure (Corollary 2.2).

Reviewed by [Stephan R. Garcia](#)

References

1. C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and the structure of the predual of a dual algebra. I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **63** (1985), 369–404. [MR0808268 \(87i:47004a\)](#)
2. J. R. Akeroyd, Another look at some index theorems for the shift, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **50** (2001), 705–718. [MR1871386 \(2003a:47048\)](#)
3. J. R. Akeroyd, A note concerning the index of the shift, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 3349–3354 (electronic). [MR1913014 \(2003c:30038\)](#)
4. J. R. Akeroyd, The Fejér-Riesz inequality and the index of the shift, in *Function Spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 2002)*, *Contemp. Math.* **328** (2003), 15–20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. [MR1990386 \(2004h:47032\)](#)
5. A. Aleman and S. Richter, Some sufficient conditions for the division property of invariant subspaces in weighted Bergman spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **144** (1997), 542–556. [MR1432597 \(98e:46030\)](#)
6. A. Aleman, S. Richter, and W. T. Ross, Pseudocontinuations and the backward shift, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 223–276. [MR1631561 \(2000i:47009\)](#)
7. A. Aleman, S. Richter, and C. Sundberg, The majorization function and the index of invariant subspaces in the Bergman spaces, *J. Anal. Math.* **86** (2002), 139–182. [MR1894480 \(2003g:30058\)](#)
8. A. Beurling, On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* **81** (1948), 239–255. [MR0027954 \(10,381e\)](#)
9. J. Bram, Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.* **22** (1955), 75–94. [MR0068129 \(16,835a\)](#)
10. J. E. Brennan, Point evaluations, invariant subspaces and approximation in the mean by polynomials, *J. Funct. Anal.* **34** (1979), 407–420. [MR0556263 \(81h:46026\)](#)
11. J. E. Brennan, Thomson's theorem on mean-square polynomial approximation, *Algebra i Analiz* **17** (2005), 1–32; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **17** (2006), 217–238. [MR2159582 \(2006m:41009\)](#)
12. S. W. Brown, Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **1** (1978), 310–333. [MR0511974 \(80c:47007\)](#)
13. L. Carleson. An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 921–930. [MR0117349 \(22 #8129\)](#)
14. L. Carleson, Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the Corona problem, in *Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962)*, 314–316, Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963. [MR0176274 \(31 #549\)](#)
15. J. B. Conway, *Subnormal operators*, *Research Notes in Mathematics* **51** (1981), Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA. [MR0634507 \(83i:47030\)](#)

16. J. B. Conway and L. Yang, Some open problems in the theory of subnormal operators, in *Holomorphic Spaces (Berkeley, CA, 1995)* *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **33** (1998), 201–209, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. [MR1630651 \(99e:47027\)](#)
17. P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p spaces, *Pure and Applied Mathematics* **38** (1970), Academic Press, New York. [MR0268655 \(42 #3552\)](#)
18. J. Eschmeier, Representations of $H^\infty(G)$ and invariant subspaces, *Math. Ann.* **298** (1994), 167–186. [MR1252824 \(94k:47010\)](#)
19. J. Garnett, *Analytic Capacity and Measure*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **297**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. [MR0454006 \(56 #12257\)](#)
20. J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics* **96** (1981), Academic Press Inc. (Harcourt Brace Javanovich Publishers), New York. [MR0628971 \(83g:30037\)](#)
21. P. J. H. Hedenmalm, An invariant subspace of the Bergman space having the codimension two property, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **443** (1993), 1–9. [MR1241125 \(94k:30092\)](#)
22. H. Hedenmalm, S. Richter, and K. Seip, Interpolating sequences and invariant subspaces of given index in the Bergman spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **477** (1996), 13–30. [MR1405310 \(97i:46044\)](#)
23. P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics* **115** (1998), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. [MR0565451 \(81c:30062\)](#)
24. T. Kriete and T. Trent, Growth near the boundary in $H^2(\mu)$ spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **62** (1977), 83–88. [MR0454643 \(56 #12892\)](#)
25. T. L. Miller and R. C. Smith, Nontangential limits of functions in some $P^2(\mu)$ spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **39** (1990), 19–26. [MR1052007 \(91b:30098\)](#)
26. T. L. Miller, W. Smith, and L. Yang, Bounded point evaluations for certain $P^t(\mu)$ spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **43** (1999), 131–150. [MR1665657 \(2000b:46065\)](#)
27. R. F. Olin and J. E. Thomson, Some index theorems for subnormal operators, *J. Operator Theory* **3** (1980), 115–142. [MR0565754 \(81f:47031\)](#)
28. R. F. Olin and L. M. Yang, The commutant of multiplication by z on the closure of polynomials in $L^t(\mu)$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **134** (1995), 297–320. [MR1363802 \(97m:47023\)](#)
29. F. Riesz and M. Riesz, Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion, *Quatrième Congrès des Math. Scand. Stockholm* (1916), 27–44.
30. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. [MR0924157 \(88k:00002\)](#)
31. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, *International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1991. [MR1157815 \(92k:46001\)](#)
32. H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, On some interpolation problems for analytic functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 513–532. [MR0133446 \(24 #A3280\)](#)
33. J. E. Thomson, Approximation in the mean by polynomials, *Ann. of Math.* **133** (1991), 477–507. [MR1109351 \(93g:47026\)](#)
34. X. Tolsa, On the analytic capacity γ_+ , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51** (2002), 317–343. [MR1909292 \(2003m:31004\)](#)
35. X. Tolsa, Painlevé’s problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity, *Acta Math.* **190** (2003), 105–149. [MR1982794 \(2005c:30020\)](#)

36. T. T. Trent, Extension of a theorem of Szegő, *Michigan Math. J.* **26** (1979), 373–377.
[MR0544604 \(80j:30050\)](#)
37. T. T. Trent, $H^2(\mu)$ spaces and bounded point evaluations, *Pacific J. Math.* **80** (1979), 279–292.
[MR0534718 \(81j:30054\)](#)
38. J. E. Thomson and L. Yang, Invariant subspaces with the codimension one property in $L^t(\mu)$,
Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), 1163–1173. [MR1386764 \(97c:47036\)](#)
39. D. Vukotić, A sharp estimate for A_α^p functions in \mathbf{C}^n , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993),
753–756. [MR1120512 \(93d:46042\)](#)
40. Z. Wu and L. Yang, The codimension-1 property in Bergman spaces over planar regions,
Michigan Math. J. **45** (1998), 369–373. [MR1637674 \(99g:46025\)](#)
41. L. M. Yang, Boundary values of functions in P^t spaces, *Houston J. Math.* **21** (1995), 465–472.
[MR1352599 \(96h:47030\)](#)
42. L. M. Yang, Invariant subspaces of the Bergman space and some subnormal operators in $\mathbb{A}_1 \setminus \mathbb{A}_2$,
Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), 301–310. [MR1342492 \(96f:47013\)](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2010, 2013