

1-1-2015

Permutation Invariant Lattices

Lenny Fukshansky
Claremont McKenna College

Stephan Ramon Garcia
Pomona College

Xun Sun
Claremont Graduate University

Recommended Citation

Fukshansky, L., Garcia, S., Sun, X. Permutation invariant lattices, *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 338 no. 8 (2015), pg. 1536--1541.

This Article - postprint is brought to you for free and open access by the CMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in CMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

PERMUTATION INVARIANT LATTICES

LENNY FUKSHANSKY, STEPHAN RAMON GARCIA, AND XUN SUN

ABSTRACT. We say that a Euclidean lattice in \mathbb{R}^n is *permutation invariant* if its automorphism group has non-trivial intersection with the symmetric group S_n , i.e., if the lattice is closed under the action of some non-identity elements of S_n . Given a fixed element $\tau \in S_n$, we study properties of the set of all lattices closed under the action of τ : we call such lattices *τ -invariant*. These lattices naturally generalize cyclic lattices introduced by Micciancio in [8, 9], which we previously studied in [1]. Continuing our investigation, we discuss some basic properties of permutation invariant lattices, in particular proving that the subset of well-rounded lattices in the set of all τ -invariant lattices in \mathbb{R}^n has positive co-dimension (and hence comprises zero proportion) for all τ different from an n -cycle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of rank n , $n \geq 2$, its successive minima

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$$

are defined as

$$\lambda_i = \min \{r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda \cap B_n(r)) \geq i\},$$

where $B_n(r)$ is a ball of radius r centered at $\mathbf{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . A collection of linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i \in \Lambda$ such that $\|\mathbf{x}_i\| = \lambda_i$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean norm, is referred to as a collection of vectors *corresponding to successive minima*; this collection is not unique, but there are only finitely many of them in a lattice with an upper bound on their number depending only on n . These vectors do not necessarily form a basis for Λ , however their span over \mathbb{Z} is a sublattice of finite index in Λ . If Λ has a basis consisting of vectors corresponding to successive minima, it is called a *Minkowskian lattice*. Vectors with norm equal to λ_1 are called *minimal vectors*. A lattice is called *well-rounded* (abbreviated WR) if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$, which is equivalent to saying that it has n linearly independent minimal vectors. WR lattices are vital in extremal lattice theory and discrete optimization, as well as in connection with several other areas of mathematics; see [7] for a detailed overview. This provides motivation for studying distribution properties of WR lattices.

Let S_n be the group of permutations on $n \geq 2$ elements. We can define an action of S_n on \mathbb{R}^n by $\tau(\mathbf{x}) := (x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(n)})^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $\tau \in S_n$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *τ -invariant* (or invariant

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11H06, 11H55.

Key words and phrases. automorphism groups of lattices, well-rounded lattices, cyclic lattices.

Fukshansky acknowledges support by Simons Foundation grant #279155 and by the NSA grant #1210223, Garcia acknowledges support by NSF grant DMS-1265973.

under τ) for a fixed $\tau \in S_n$ if $\tau(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. An important example of such lattices are lattices of the form

$$\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{\mathbf{x}, \tau(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \tau^{\nu-1}(\mathbf{x})\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and ν is the order of τ in S_n . Cyclic lattices introduced by Micciancio in [8,9] are precisely the full-rank sublattices of \mathbb{Z}^n invariant under the n -cycle $\sigma_n := (1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$. In [1] we investigated geometric properties of cyclic lattices, in particular studying well-rounded lattices of the form $\Lambda_{\sigma_n}(\mathbf{x})$ in every dimension. The goal of this note is to extend this investigation to more general permutation invariant lattices, as well as to outline some basic properties of permutation invariance.

There is another way to view the notion of permutation invariance. Given a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of full rank, its automorphism group is defined as

$$\text{Aut}(\Lambda) = \{\sigma \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Lambda\}.$$

It is a well known fact that $\text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ is always a finite group; on the other hand, any finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the automorphism group of some lattice. In all dimensions except for $n = 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ (dimensions with exceptionally symmetric lattices) the largest such group is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$, the automorphism group of the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n (see [7] for more information). Lattices with large automorphism groups usually have a large degree of geometric symmetry. This often correlates with having many minimal vectors, and hence they have increased chances of being well-rounded. We show, however, that chances of being well-rounded are determined not just by the size of the automorphism group but by the type of elements it contains. Let $\tau \in S_n$ and define $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ to be the set of all full rank τ -invariant lattices in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ if and only if $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$.

Question 1. *Given $\tau \in S_n$, how big is the subset of WR lattices among all lattices in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$?*

In order to make this question precise, we need some further notation. The space of all lattices in \mathbb{R}^n can be identified with the quotient space $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ via their basis matrices. Hence lattices are identified with points in a full dimensional subset of the n^2 -dimensional Euclidean space $\text{Mat}_{nn}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{A \in \text{Mat}_{nn}(\mathbb{R}) : \det(A) = 0\}$ modulo $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence. Each lattice has a Minkowski-reduced basis, consisting of short vectors, and reduction conditions amount to a finite collection of polynomial inequalities cutting out a full dimensional subset in the above set, called the *Minkowski reduction domain* (see [12] for detailed information on its construction). WR lattices correspond to points in this space with $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n$, which can be interpreted as a collection of nontrivial polynomial conditions on the Minkowski reduction domain, and hence defines a subset of positive co-dimension. One can similarly talk about the dimension of the set $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ by viewing it as a space of matrices with appropriate restrictions. With this notation in mind, we can state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\tau \in S_n$ be different from an n -cycle. Then the subset of WR lattices in the set $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ of full-rank τ -invariant lattices in \mathbb{R}^n has positive co-dimension.*

In other words, the main difference between n -cycles and other permutations in this context is the following. If τ is an n -cycle, then lattices of the form $\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ are

almost always of full rank and are often well-rounded. On the other hand, when τ is not an n -cycle, lattices of the form $\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ are *never* of full rank.

This note is structured as follows. In Section 2 we prove that no vector can have n linearly independent permutations by an element $\tau \in S_n$ unless τ is an n -cycle, discuss invariant subspaces of a permutation, and obtain an explicit formula for the number of linearly independent permutations of a generic vector. We then use these observations in our proof of Theorem 1.1, which is presented in Section 3, along with a more geometric interpretation of this result.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on showing that the polynomial conditions defining the subset of WR lattices remain nontrivial on $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ whenever τ is not an n -cycle, and hence carve out a subset of $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ of positive co-dimension. On the other hand, these conditions are automatically satisfied (i.e., become trivial) for a positive proportion of lattices of the form $\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ when τ is an n -cycle, as discussed in [1]. We are now ready to proceed.

2. PERMUTATION INVARIANCE PROPERTIES

In this section we discuss several basic properties of permutation invariance with respect to a fixed element of S_n . Let $\tau \in S_n$ be an element of order ν , and for each vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the $n \times \nu$ matrix

$$M_\tau(\mathbf{x}) := (\mathbf{x} \ \tau(\mathbf{x}) \ \dots \ \tau^{\nu-1}(\mathbf{x})).$$

Then

$$\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) := M_\tau(\mathbf{x})\mathbb{Z}^\nu = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mathbf{x}, \tau(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \tau^{\nu-1}(\mathbf{x})\}$$

is a lattice, as in (1) above, and we define the τ -order of \mathbf{x} to be $o_\tau(\mathbf{x}) := \text{rk}(\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}))$. We start by giving a bound on $o_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ for an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Let τ have a decomposition into disjoint cycles

$$\tau = c_1 \dots c_\ell, \tag{2}$$

where each $c_i \in S_n$ is a k_i -cycle and $\sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i = n$. The following lemma will serve as an important tool in the proof of our main result in Section 3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then*

$$o_\tau(\mathbf{x}) \leq n - \sum_{\substack{d|\text{gcd}(k_i, k_j) \\ i < j}} \varphi(d), \tag{3}$$

where φ is the Euler totient function and the sum above is understood as 0 if $\ell = 1$.

Proof. Let T be the permutation matrix representation of τ . Since T is diagonalizable, the degree of its minimal polynomial $m_T(t)$ equals the number of distinct eigenvalues of T (see, for instance, Corollary 3.3.10 of [4]). Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} o_\tau(\mathbf{x}) &= \text{rk} \Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) = \dim \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\mathbf{x}, \tau(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \tau^{\nu-1}(\mathbf{x})\} \\ &\leq \dim \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{I, T, T^2, \dots, T^{\nu-1}\} \leq \deg m_T. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $\chi_T(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of T . It is a well-known fact (see, for instance, Section 2.1 of [3]) that

$$\chi_T(t) = \prod_{i=1}^\ell (t^{k_i} - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^\ell \left(\prod_{d|k_i} \Phi_d(t) \right), \tag{5}$$

where $\Phi_d(t)$ is the irreducible d -th cyclotomic polynomial, whose roots are the d -th primitive roots of unity and whose degree is $\varphi(d)$. The minimal and characteristic polynomials of T have the same roots, although possibly with different multiplicities. Since minimal polynomial of a permutation matrix T must have distinct roots, these are precisely the distinct roots of $\chi_T(t)$. Therefore to compute $\deg m_T$ we need to determine the number of distinct roots of $\chi_T(t)$. Now (5) implies that this number is equal to the sum of degrees of distinct cyclotomic polynomials dividing the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (t^{k_i} - 1),$$

and hence (4) combined with (5) implies that

$$o_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) \leq n - \sum_{\substack{d|k_i, d|k_j \\ i < j}} \varphi(d) \leq n - (\ell - 1) \quad (6)$$

for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the sum above is understood as 0 if $\ell = 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 2.1. In particular, (6) implies that unless τ is an n -cycle, $o_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}) < n$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Next we discuss invariant subspaces of a given permutation. We say that a proper subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is τ -invariant if $\tau(V) = V$. We make two brief remarks on invariant subspaces of permutations.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $\tau \in S_n$ is not an n -cycle. Then there exist infinitely many τ -invariant subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. Suppose τ is a product of ℓ disjoint cycles, $\ell \geq 2$. Pick any real numbers a_1, \dots, a_{ℓ} , and define a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by setting $x_i = a_j$ if i is in the j -th cycle. Then $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ is a τ -invariant subspace. Since τ is not an n -cycle, there clearly are infinitely many such subspaces. \square

The situation is different when τ is an n -cycle, as we discussed in [1]. Let σ_n be the n -cycle $(1 \dots n)$, then for any n -cycle $\tau \in S_n$ there exists $\rho \in S_n$ such that $\tau = \rho\sigma_n\rho^{-1}$. Given a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, write $\mathbf{a}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i t^{i-1} \in \mathbb{R}[t]$. For each non-constant polynomial divisor $f(t)$ of $t^n - 1$, define

$$V(f) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(t) \mid \mathbf{a}(t)\}, \quad (7)$$

which is a proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\tau = \rho\sigma_n\rho^{-1} \in S_n$ be an n -cycle. Then $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a τ -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $W = \rho(V(f))$ for some $V(f)$ as in (7). This is a finite collection of proper subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n , and $o_{\tau}(\mathbf{a}) < n$ if and only if \mathbf{a} is in the union of these subspaces.*

Proof. It is an easy observation that W is a τ -invariant subspace if and only if $\rho^{-1}(W)$ is a σ_n -invariant subspace. Hence it is sufficient to prove that σ_n -invariant subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n are precisely those of the form $V(f)$ for some $f(t) \mid t^n - 1$, as in (7). The fact that all such subspaces are σ_n -invariant follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 of [10]. Now suppose that a proper subspace U of \mathbb{R}^n is σ_n -invariant. Suppose that U is not of the form $V(f)$ for any non-constant $f(t) \mid t^n - 1$. Then there exists

$\mathbf{a} \in U$ such that $\mathbf{a}(t)$ is not divisible by any non-constant factor of $t^n - 1$, which implies that the collection of vectors $\mathbf{a}, \sigma_n(\mathbf{a}), \dots, \sigma_n^{n-1}(\mathbf{a})$ is linearly independent (see, for instance, [4]), contradicting the assumption that U is a proper subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

The argument above in particular shows that $o_\tau(\mathbf{a}) < n$ for any \mathbf{a} in the union of the finitely many τ -invariant subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . On the other hand, suppose that $o_\tau(\mathbf{a}) < n$, and let $W = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{\mathbf{a}, \tau(\mathbf{a}), \dots, \tau^{n-1}(\mathbf{a})\}$. Then W is a proper τ -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , and hence must be one of those described above. \square

We say that a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is generic (with respect to τ) if $o_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ is as large as possible. We can now show that the inequality of Lemma 2.1 for the τ -order becomes equality on generic vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\tau \in S_n$ have a disjoint cycle decomposition as in (2) above. For a generic vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$o_\tau(\mathbf{x}) = n - \sum_{\substack{d|\gcd(k_i, k_j) \\ i < j}} \varphi(d),$$

where the sum above is understood as 0 if $\ell = 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let us write

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_\ell)^t,$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{k_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = (c_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots c_\ell(\mathbf{x}_\ell))^t,$$

and \mathbf{x} is generic if and only if none of the \mathbf{x}_i 's are contained in any of the invariant subspaces of the cycles c_1, \dots, c_ℓ . Then Lemma 2.3 implies $o_{c_i}(\mathbf{x}_i) = k_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and so $o_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ must be equal to $n = \sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i$ minus the number of repeated eigenvalues of the permutation matrix of τ , i.e., the right hand side of (3). \square

3. PROPORTION OF WELL-ROUNDED LATTICES

In this section we prove our main result on the proportion of WR lattices among permutation invariant lattices. As above, let $\tau \in S_n$ be an element of order ν and let $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ be the set of all full-rank τ -invariant lattices in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ be the subset of all Minkowskian lattices in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$. Naturally, every lattice $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ has a Minkowskian sublattice $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ spanned by the vectors corresponding to successive minima of Γ . While Minkowskian sublattices may not be unique, there can only be finitely many of them, where an upper bound on this number depends only on n . On the other hand, the index $|\Gamma : \Gamma'|$ of a Minkowskian sublattice is also bounded from above by a constant depending only on n , and hence a given lattice in $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ can be a Minkowskian sublattice for only finitely many lattices in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ (see [6] and subsequent works of J. Martinet and his co-authors for more information on the index of Minkowskian sublattices). Furthermore, it is clear that a lattice $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ is WR if and only if each corresponding lattice $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ is WR.

As discussed in Section 1 above, our goal in this note is to address the question of how often lattices in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ are well-rounded. From the discussion above, it is evident that we can instead consider lattices in $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ and ask how often are they

WR. We first make some dimensional observations. If τ_1 and τ_2 are conjugate in S_n , then there exists $\rho \in S_n$ such that $\tau_2 = \rho\tau_1\rho^{-1}$. Then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau_1)$ if and only if $\rho(\Gamma) \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau_2)$ and Γ is WR if and only if $\rho(\Gamma)$ is WR. Furthermore, the sets $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau_2)$ have the same dimension. Define

$$\mathcal{W}_n(\tau) = \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau) : \Gamma \text{ is WR}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tau \in S_n$ be an n -cycle, define*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}'_n(\tau) &= \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_n(\tau) : \Gamma = \Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \\ \mathcal{G}'_n(\tau) &= \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau) : \Gamma = \Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \\ \mathcal{W}'_n(\tau) &= \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}_n(\tau) : \Gamma = \Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $n \leq \dim \mathcal{W}'_n(\tau) \leq \dim \mathcal{G}'_n(\tau) = \dim \mathcal{C}'_n(\tau)$.

Proof. By the remark above, we can assume without loss of generality that $\tau = \sigma_n := (1 \dots n)$, and it is also clear that $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ have the same dimension. Then the statement of the lemma follows from Lemma 3.2 of [1]. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\tau = c_1 \dots c_\ell$ be a disjoint cycle decomposition for τ so that c_i is an m_i -cycle ($m_i > 1$) for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $q = n - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i$. Then the dimension of the sets $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ is at least $n + q(q-1)$. This includes the case of τ being the identity in S_n , when we have $\ell = 0$ and $n = q$.*

Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, let $\Lambda_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ be a lattice in $\mathcal{C}_{m_i}(\sigma_{m_i})$, where σ_{m_i} is the standard m_i -cycle $(1 \dots m_i)$, and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ be any lattice in \mathbb{R}^q . Let us view each of the lattices Λ_i and Ω as embedded into \mathbb{R}^n : each Λ_i into the $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j + 1$ through $\sum_{j=1}^i m_j$ coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n , and Ω into the last q coordinates. Then the lattice

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_\ell \oplus \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \tag{8}$$

is a lattice in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$. By Lemma 3.1, each $\mathcal{C}_{m_i}(\sigma_{m_i})$ has dimension at least m_i , while the dimension of the space of all lattices in \mathbb{R}^q is q^2 . Hence dimension of the set of all lattices as in (8) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_i + q^2 = n - q + q^2,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Next we consider the dimension of the set of WR lattices in $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ when τ is not an n -cycle.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\tau \in S_n$ not be an n -cycle, then τ has a decomposition into $\ell > 1$ disjoint cycles, as in (2). Define*

$$o_\tau := n - \sum_{\substack{d|\gcd(k_i, k_j) \\ i < j}} \varphi(d)$$

to be the τ -order of a generic vector in \mathbb{R}^n , as in Corollary 2.4, where the sum above is understood as 0 if $\ell = 1$. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{W}_n(\tau) \leq \dim \mathcal{G}_n(\tau) - \left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{o_\tau} \right\rceil - 1 \right) < \dim \mathcal{G}_n(\tau). \tag{9}$$

Proof. A trivial implication of Lemma 3.2 is that the set $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ is not empty for any $\tau \in S_n$. Furthermore, the set $\mathcal{W}_n(\tau)$ is also not empty, since $\mathbb{Z}^n \in \mathcal{W}_n(\tau)$ for every $\tau \in S_n$. Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$, then in order for Λ to be in $\mathcal{W}_n(\tau)$ the following conditions have to be satisfied by the successive minima of Λ :

$$\lambda_1(\Lambda) = \dots = \lambda_n(\Lambda). \tag{10}$$

We now show that there are at least $\left\lceil \frac{n}{o_\tau} \right\rceil - 1$ nontrivial polynomial conditions on $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ among equations (10). Let us write $S(\Lambda)$ for the set of minimal vectors of Λ , then $\Lambda \in \mathcal{W}_n(\tau)$ if and only if $S(\Lambda)$ contains n linearly independent vectors. Suppose that $\mathbf{a} \in S(\Lambda)$, then $\tau^k(\mathbf{a}) \in S(\Lambda)$ for each k and automatically,

$$\|\mathbf{a}\| = \|\tau^k(\mathbf{a})\|$$

for each k . From Lemma 2.1 we know that $o_\tau(\mathbf{a}) \leq o_\tau < n$, hence there must exist some $\mathbf{b} \in S(\Lambda)$ such that $\mathbf{b} \neq \tau^k(\mathbf{a})$ for any k . On the other hand, we must still have

$$\|\mathbf{a}\| = \|\mathbf{b}\|,$$

which now constitutes a nontrivial equation. Hence the polynomial conditions (10) defining $\mathcal{W}_n(\tau)$ as a subset of $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ are not automatically satisfied, and thus carve out a subset of positive co-dimension. In fact, the number of such equations is at least the number of blocks of the form $\Lambda_\tau(\mathbf{x})$ required to span a lattice of rank n minus 1, which is $\left\lceil \frac{n}{o_\tau} \right\rceil - 1 > 1$. This implies (9). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The theorem now follows from Lemma 3.3 and our previous observation that for any lattice in $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ there are only finitely many in $\mathcal{C}_n(\tau)$ and vice versa, where these proportions depend only on the dimension n . \square

There is also another geometric interpretation of our result. Notice that the set $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ is closed under isometries, i.e., a lattice Γ is isometric to some lattice $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$ only if Γ itself is in $\mathcal{G}_n(\tau)$. It is a well known fact (see, for instance, [2] or [7]) that lattices up to isometry are in bijective correspondence with positive definite quadratic forms up to arithmetic equivalence. In other words, isometry classes of matrices in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ are in bijective correspondence with arithmetic equivalence classes of positive definite $n \times n$ symmetric matrices modulo $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Specifically, this correspondence is given by $A \rightarrow A^t A$ where A is a basis matrix of a full-rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . The conditions on the basis being Minkowski reduced translate into a finite collection of linear inequalities in the entries of $A^t A$, which define a polyhedral cone of full dimension with finitely many facets in the $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ -dimensional space of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices; this cone is called the Minkowski reduction domain (see [12] for details). Points in the interior of each facet of this cone correspond to lattices with the same automorphism group, i.e., automorphism groups are constant on the open facets and lattices in the interior of the cone have the trivial automorphism group $\{\pm 1\}$; this fact goes back to the work of Minkowski. Furthermore, the set of all quadratic forms in n variables whose automorphism groups contain a fixed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is known to be a linear space, called a Bravais manifold (see, for instance, [12, 13]), and the positive definite forms (which correspond to lattices) in this space form a polyhedral cone. On the other hand, the subset of well-rounded lattices has co-dimension n in the space of all full-rank lattices in \mathbb{R}^n and is known to be a minimal $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant contractible deformation retract of this space (see Remark 3.3 of [5] for a detailed overview of

this construction, which was originally introduced in the work of Soule, Lannes, and Ash; see also [11] for the proof of minimality of this retract). Then our Theorem 1.1 can be interpreted as a certain characterization of the “size” of intersection of different facets of the Minkowski domain and Bravais manifolds with the well-rounded retract.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Fukshansky and X. Sun. On the geometry of cyclic lattices. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 52(2):240–259, 2014.
- [2] P. Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker. *Geometry of Numbers*. North-Holland Mathematical Library, Second Edition, 1987.
- [3] B. M. Hambly, P. Keevash, N. O’Connell, and D. Stark. The characteristic polynomial of a random permutation matrix. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 90(2):335–346, 2000.
- [4] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2013.
- [5] L. Ji. Well-rounded equivariant deformation retracts of Teichmüller spaces. *to appear in Enseign. Math.*; *arXiv:1302.0877*, 2014.
- [6] J. Martinet. Sur l’indice d’un sous-réseau. In *Réseaux euclidiens, designs sphériques et formes modulaires, Monogr. Enseign. Math.*, 37, pages 163–211. Enseignement Math., Geneva, 2001.
- [7] J. Martinet. *Perfect Lattices in Euclidean Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [8] D. Micciancio. Generalized compact knapsacks, cyclic lattices, and efficient one-way functions from worst-case complexity assumptions. *FOCS, IEEE Computer Society*, pages 356–365, 2002.
- [9] D. Micciancio. Generalized compact knapsacks, cyclic lattices, and efficient one-way functions. *Comput. Complexity*, 16(4):365–411, 2007.
- [10] C. Peikert and A. Rosen. Efficient collision-resistant hashing from worst-case assumptions on cyclic lattices. *Theory of cryptography, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, 3876, Springer, Berlin,, pages 145–166, 2006.
- [11] A. Pettet and J. Souto. Minimality of the well-rounded retract. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1543–1556, 2008.
- [12] A. Schürmann. *Computational geometry of positive definite quadratic forms*, volume 48 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [13] P. P. Tammela. Two remarks on Bravais varieties. *Investigations in number theory, 2. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 3:90–93, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 850 COLUMBIA AVENUE, CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE,
CLAREMONT, CA 91711, USA
E-mail address: `lenny@cmc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POMONA COLLEGE, 610 N. COLLEGE AVE, CLAREMONT, CA
91711, USA
E-mail address: `stephan.garcia@pomona.edu`
URL: `http://pages.pomona.edu/~sg064747/`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY, CLAREMONT, CA
91711, USA
E-mail address: `foxfur_32@hotmail.com`