

1-1-1985

On the Steepest Descent for Nonpotential Locally Lipschitzian Vector Fields

Alfonso Castro
Harvey Mudd College

Recommended Citation

Alfonso Castro. "On the steepest descent for nonpotential locally Lipschitzian vector fields," *Houston J. of Math.*, Vol 11 (1985), pp. 45-48.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the HMC Faculty Scholarship at Scholarship @ Claremont. It has been accepted for inclusion in All HMC Faculty Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of Scholarship @ Claremont. For more information, please contact scholarship@cuc.claremont.edu.

**ON THE STEEPEST DESCENT FOR NONPOTENTIAL
LOCALLY LIPSCHITZIAN VECTOR FIELDS**

Alfonso Castro

ABSTRACT. In [4] J. Neuberger gave various sufficient conditions for the solvability of nonvariational operator equations via a variant of the steepest descent method. In this note we give versions of these conditions under weaker assumptions on the smoothness of the operators.

1. Introduction. In [4] J. Neuberger studied the solvability of the equation

$$(1) F(x) = 0$$

where F is an operator of class $C^{(2)}$ defined on a real Hilbert space H with values in another real Hilbert space K . The method used in [4] consists of considering the problem

$$(2) \phi(x) = 0$$

where $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the function defined by $\phi(x) = \|F(x)\|^2/2$. This converts (1) into a variational problem which can be considered via the steepest descent method. We refer the reader to [5] and [6] for the numerical relevance of this approach.

The steepest descent method is based on the study of the equation $z'(t) = -\nabla\phi(z(t))$. In order to make sense out of this equation is that in [4] F is assumed to be of class $C^{(2)}$. Here we extend the results of [4] to the case F locally Lipschitzian. We do this by introducing a vector field $g: H \rightarrow H$ which plays the role of $\nabla\phi$ in the former equation. The construction of g is based on the notion of generalized gradients defined by F. Clark in [2]. A brief account of this technique is given in Section 2.

Our main results are Theorems 1, 2 and 3 below. Their proofs follow the line of the proofs given in [4].

The author is greatly indebted to Professor J. Neuberger for his helpful comments and personal communications of unpublished work.

2. Generalized gradients. In this section we summarize the properties of

generalized gradients to be used later on. For details and proofs we refer the reader to [1] and [2].

Given a Hilbert space H and a locally Lipschitzian function $f: H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ the generalized gradient of f at $x \in H$ is the set of all elements $w \in H$ such that

$$(3) \langle w, v \rangle \leq \limsup_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ t \rightarrow 0, t > 0}} [f(x + h + tv) - f(x + h)]/t$$

for all $v \in H$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in H . We will denote, the generalized gradient of f at x by $\partial f(x)$. The set $\partial f(x)$ is nonempty closed and convex. Let $\partial^0 f(x)$ denote the element of minimal norm in $\partial f(x)$. It can be proved that $\|\partial^0 f(x)\|$ is a lower semi-continuous function (see [1, Proposition 7]). In addition we have:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $x_0 \in H$ be such that $\|\partial^0 f(x_0)\| > 0$. There exists $\epsilon \equiv \epsilon(x_0) > 0$ such that if $\|x - x_0\| < \epsilon$, $w \in \partial f(x)$ then*

$$(4) \langle w, a(x_0) \partial^0 f(x_0) \rangle \geq \|\partial^0 f(x)\|^2/2,$$

where $a(x_0) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} (\|\partial^0 f(x)\| / \|\partial^0 f(x_0)\|)^2$.

PROOF. If it were false, then there would be a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x_0 and a sequence $\xi_n \in \partial f(x_n)$ such that

$$(5) \langle \xi_n, \partial^0 f(x_0) \rangle < (\|\partial^0 f(x_n)\|^2 / 2a(x_0)).$$

Let $M > 0$ denote a Lipschitz constant for f in a neighborhood of x_0 . From the definition of f it follows then that $\|\xi_n\| \leq M$ for n large enough. Hence, we can assume that $\{\xi_n\}$ converges weakly to some $\xi_0 \in H$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 6 of [1] it follows that $\xi_0 \in \partial f(x_0)$. Therefore $\langle \xi_0 - \partial^0 f(x_0), \partial^0 f(x_0) \rangle \geq 0$ (see [3, Theorem 2.3]). On the other hand we have from (5) $\langle \xi_0, \partial^0 f(x_0) \rangle \leq \|\partial^0 f(x_0)\|^2/2$. Clearly the last two inequalities contradict each other, which proves the proposition.

3. Main results. Now we are ready to prove:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose ϕ is a locally Lipschitzian function from H into $[0, \infty)$ and there is a unique $u \in H$ such that $\phi(u) = 0$. In addition suppose that:*

- (i) *If $r > 0$, there exists $c > 0$ such that if $\|x - u\| \leq r$, then $\|\partial^0 \phi(x)\| \geq c\phi(x)$.*
- (ii) *If $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\phi(x) < \delta$, then $\|x - u\| < \epsilon$.*

Assertion. *There exists $g: H \rightarrow H$ locally Lipschitzian on $H - \{u\}$ such that if*

$z'(t) = -g(z(t))$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, and the range of z is bounded then

$$u = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t).$$

PROOF. From (i) we see that $\|\partial^0\phi(x)\| > 0$ iff $x \neq u$. For $x_0 \in H - \{u\}$ let $\epsilon(x_0)$ and $a(x_0)$ be as in Proposition 1. Since $H - \{u\}$ is paracompact, there exists a locally finite open cover $\{V_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ of $H - \{u\}$ with each V_α contained some ball $B(x_0, \epsilon(x_0))$. Let $\{\psi_\beta; \beta \in B\}$ be a partition of the unity subordinate to $\{V_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$. For each $\beta \in B$ let $h(\beta) \in H - \{u\}$ be such that the support of ψ_β is contained in $B(h(\beta), \epsilon(h(\beta)))$. We define $g(u) = 0$ and

$$g(x) = \sum_{\beta} \psi_\beta(x) a(h(\beta)) \partial^0\phi(h(\beta))$$

for $x \neq u$. From (4) it follows that

$$(6) \quad \langle \xi, g(x) \rangle \geq \|\partial^0\phi(x)\|^2/2$$

for all $x \in H$.

Let now $z'(t) = -g(z(t))$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, have bounded range. From Proposition 9 of [1] and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad (\phi(z(t)))' &\leq \max\{\langle \xi, -g(z(t)) \rangle; \xi \in \partial\phi(z(t))\} \\ &= -\min\{\langle \xi, g(z(t)) \rangle; \xi \in \partial\phi(z(t))\} \\ &\leq -\|\partial^0\phi(z(t))\|^2 \leq -c^2(\phi(z(t)))^2, t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi(z(t_0)) = 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$, then $\phi(z(t)) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Hence $z(t) \equiv u$ for all $t \geq t_0$. So in this case $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = u$.

If $\phi(z(t)) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, then from (7) we have $((\phi(z(t)))' / (\phi(z(t))))^2 \leq -c^2$.

Hence

$$\phi(z(t)) \leq \phi(z(0)) / (1 + c^2\phi(z(0))t), t \geq 0.$$

Therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z(t)) = 0$ and so, using (ii), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = u$, and the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2. Suppose F is a locally Lipschitzian function from H to K . Let $\phi(x) = \|F(x)\|^2/2$ for $x \in H$. Suppose also that if $r > 0$ there is $c > 0$ such that $\|\partial^0\phi(x)\| \geq c\|F(x)\|$ for $\|x\| \leq r$.

Assertion. There exists $g: H \rightarrow H$, locally Lipschitzian on $H - \{u; F(u) = 0\}$, such that if $z'(t) = -g(z(t))$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, and the range of z is bounded then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists and $F(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)) = 0$.

SKETCH OF PROOF. The function g is constructed in the same manner we build g in the previous theorem. Using that such a g satisfies (6) and the arguments of the proof of Theorem 4 of [4] it is shown that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists and that $F(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (z(t))) = 0$.

The same methods lead to the proof of the following version of Theorem 5 of [4].

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that $\phi: H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a locally Lipschitzian function. Then there exists $g: H \rightarrow H$, locally Lipschitzian on $\{u; g(u) \neq 0\}$, such that if $z'(t) = -g(z(t))$, $t \geq 0$, and for some $t_0 \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi(z(t_0 + n\delta)) - \phi(z(t_0 + (n+1)\delta)))^{1/2}$ converges, then $u \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$ exists, and $\partial^0 \phi(u) = 0$.*

REFERENCES

1. K. Chang, *Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 80(1981), 102-129.
2. F. H. Clarke, *A new approach to Lagrange multipliers*, Math. Oper. Res., 1(1976), 165-174.
3. D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*, Academic Press, 1980.
4. J. W. Neuberger, *Some global steepest descent results for nonlinear systems*, preprint.
5. ———, *A type-independent method for systems of nonlinear partial differential equations: application to the problem of transonic flow*, Computers and Math. Appl., 6(1980), 67-68.
6. ———, *Steepest descent method for systems of nonlinear partial differential equations*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, CSD TM-161, 1981.

Southwest Texas State University
San Marcos, Texas 78666

Received August 5, 1983